

@ UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH FLORIDA

University of South Florida Digital Commons @ University of South Florida

USF St. Petersburg campus Faculty **Publications**

USF Faculty Publications

2020

A trace bound for integer-diagonal positive semidefinite matrices

Lon Mitchell University of South Florida St. Petersburg, lonmitchell@usf.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.usf.edu/fac_publications

Part of the Mathematics Commons

Recommended Citation

Mitchell, L. (2020). A trace bound for integer-diagonal positive semidefinite matrices. Special Matrices, 8(1), 14-16. https://doi.org/10.1515/spma-2020-0002

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the USF Faculty Publications at Digital Commons @ University of South Florida. It has been accepted for inclusion in USF St. Petersburg campus Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ University of South Florida. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@usf.edu.

Note

Lon Mitchell*

A trace bound for integer-diagonal positive semidefinite matrices

https://doi.org/10.1515/spma-2020-0002 Received September 27, 2019; accepted December 2, 2019

Abstract: We prove that an *n*-by-*n* complex positive semidefinite matrix of rank *r* whose graph is connected, whose diagonal entries are integers, and whose non-zero off-diagonal entries have modulus at least one, has trace at least n + r - 1.

Keywords: positive semidefinite matrices, integer-diagonal, trace

MSC: 15B48, 15A15, 05C50

1 Introduction

The graph of an *n*-by-*n* Hermitian matrix $M = (m_{ij})$ has vertex set $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ and edge set $\{ij \mid i < j, m_{ij} \neq 0\}$. As part of their work on the Schur-Siegel-Smyth problem for totally positive algebraic integers, James McKee and Pavlo Yatsyna [3] proved that an *n*-by-*n* positive definite matrix *S* whose entries are integers and whose graph is connected must have trace at least 2n - 1. As a consequence, 2 is the smallest limit point of the absolute trace (which for an *n*-by-*n* matrix is the trace divided by *n*) of such matrices.

The integer entries are important to McKee and Yatsyna's proof: since *S* is positive definite, it can be factored as $S = B^T B$, and thus viewed as the Gram matrix of the columns $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$ of *B*. In a minimal-trace connected counterexample, we can assume without loss of generality that x_1 is a unit vector. Then the Gram matrix of $x_1, x'_2, x_3, ..., x_n$, where $x'_2 = x_2 - (x_1^T x_2)x_1$, still has integer entries and eventually provides a contradiction.

Are the integer entries necessary? In this note, we prove a generalization for complex positive semidefinite matrices and show that while the diagonal entries must be integers, the off-diagonal non-zero entries need only have modulus at least 1. A generalization of McKee and Yatsyna's absolute trace result follows as a corollary.

In addition to standard tools and definitions from matrix analysis [2] and graph theory [1], one fact we will use repeatedly is that, because the sum of a positive definite matrix and a positive semidefinite matrix is still positive definite, adding a positive number to a diagonal entry of a positive definite matrix results in another positive definite matrix. Also note that an empty graph on a single vertex is connected.

2 New Results

Lemma 1. An *n*-by-*n* complex positive definite matrix whose graph is a tree, whose diagonal entries are integers, and whose non-zero off-diagonal entries have modulus at least one, has trace at least 2n - 1.

Open Access

^{*}Corresponding Author: Lon Mitchell: Lon Mitchell, University of South Florida St. Petersburg, Florida, USA, E-mail: lon-mitchell@usfsp.edu

Proof. Proceed by induction on *n*, noting the result is true for n = 1. Assume that the result is true for all *k*-by-*k* matrices where $1 \le k < n$, and let $M = (m_{ij})$ be an *n*-by-*n* positive definite matrix whose graph is a tree with vertices labeled v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n corresponding to the rows of *M*. Assume for the sake of eventual contradiction that the trace of *M*, tr *M*, is less than 2n - 1.

Since the graph *G* of *M* is a tree, it has a pendant vertex (a vertex of degree one). Without loss of generality, we can assume vertex v_1 has unique neighbor v_2 . If the diagonal element m_{11} of *M* is greater than 1, then applying the induction hypothesis to M_{11} , the matrix obtained from *M* by deleting the first row and column, yields tr $M \ge 2 + \text{tr } M_{11} \ge 2 + 2(n - 1) - 1 = 2n - 1$, a contradiction. So we may assume $m_{11} = 1$. Since v_1 is pendant,

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \overline{\alpha} e_1^* \\ \alpha e_1 & M_{11} \end{bmatrix},$$

where α is a complex number with $|\alpha| \ge 1$ and e_1 is the standard basis vector.

Consider next the Schur complement $M' = M_{11} - |\alpha|^2 e_1 e_1^T$, which is an (n-1)-by-(n-1) positive definite matrix. All off-diagonal elements of M' remain unchanged from the corresponding entries of M, so the graph of M' is a tree. All main-diagonal elements of M' also remain unchanged with the exception of $m'_{11} = m_{22} - |\alpha|^2 \le m_{22} - 1$.

Since m'_{11} may not be an integer, let M'' be the matrix obtained from M' by replacing m'_{11} with $m''_{11} = m_{22} - 1$. Since $m''_{11} \ge m'_{11}$, M'' is also positive definite. Further, its graph is a tree, its diagonal entries are integers, and its non-zero off-diagonal entries have modulus at least one. Finally, tr M'' = tr M - 2 < 2n - 3, a contradiction of the induction hypothesis. Thus tr $M \ge 2n - 1$.

Theorem 1. An *n*-by-*n* complex positive definite matrix whose graph is connected, whose diagonal entries are integers, and whose non-zero off-diagonal entries have modulus at least one, has trace at least 2n - 1.

Proof. Proceed by induction on *n*, noting the result is true for n = 1. Assume that the result is true for all *k*-by-*k* matrices where $1 \le k \le n - 1$, and let *M* be an *n*-by-*n* positive definite matrix whose diagonal entries are integers, whose graph is connected, and whose non-zero off-diagonal entries have modulus at least one.

Assume for the sake of eventual contradiction that tr M < 2n - 1. By adding to a diagonal entry if needed, we can assume that we have a matrix M with the above-mentioned properties and with tr M = 2n - 2.

Let *G* be the graph of *M* and let m_v be the diagonal entry of *M* corresponding to vertex *v* in *G*. For each vertex *v* of *G*, let c(v) be the number of connected components of $G \setminus v$.

Suppose first that there is a vertex v of G such that $m_v > c(v)$. Consider M(v), the matrix obtained from M by removing the row and column corresponding to v. Applying the induction hypothesis to the principal submatrices $M_1, M_2, \ldots, M_{c(v)}$ of M(v) corresponding to the connected components $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_{c(v)}$ of $G \setminus v$, we find that

$$\operatorname{tr} M = m_{\nu} + \operatorname{tr} M(\nu) = m_{\nu} + \sum_{i=1}^{c(\nu)} \operatorname{tr} M_{i}$$

$$\geq m_{\nu} + \sum_{i=1}^{c(\nu)} (2|C_{i}| - 1) = m_{\nu} - c(\nu) + 2(n-1) \geq 2n - 1.$$

Thus we must have that $m_v \le c(v)$ for each vertex *v*.

Let *T* be a spanning tree of *G*. Since *T* is a tree on *n* vertices, it has n - 1 edges, and so

$$\sum_{v\in G} d_T(v) = 2(n-1)$$

where $d_T(v)$ is the degree of the vertex v in T. Since $d_T(v) \ge c(v) \ge m_v$ for each v but

$$\sum_{v\in G} d_T(v) = 2(n-1) = \operatorname{tr} M = \sum_{v\in G} m_v,$$

we must have $d_T(v) = c(v) = m_v$ for each *v*.

16 — Lon Mitchell

For any vertex v of G, because $d_T(v) = c(v)$, there is a bijective correspondence between the neighbors of v in T and the connected components of $G \setminus v$. Thus, if vertices v_i and v_j are not adjacent in T, then they belong to different connected components of $G \setminus w$ for any vertex w on a path between them in T, and so are not adjacent in G either. So, in fact, G = T, and Lemma 1 requires tr $M \ge 2n - 1$, contradicting our earlier assumption. Thus tr $M \ge 2n - 1$.

Corollary 1. The smallest limit point of the set of absolute traces of matrices satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1 is 2.

Remark. The matrices $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 1.0 & 0.5 \\ 0.5 & 1.0 \end{bmatrix}$, and $\begin{bmatrix} 1.1 & 1.0 \\ 1.0 & 1.1 \end{bmatrix}$ show that none of the conditions of Theorem 1 can be removed.

Theorem 2. An *n*-by-*n* complex positive semidefinite matrix of rank *r* whose graph is connected, whose diagonal entries are integers, and whose non-zero off-diagonal entries have modulus at least one, has trace at least n + r - 1.

Proof. Proceed by induction on the nullity. The nullity zero case is Theorem 1. Assume the result is true for all nullities less than some k > 0. Let M be an n-by-n complex positive semidefinite matrix of nullity k = n - r whose graph is connected, whose diagonal entries are integers, and whose non-zero off-diagonal entries have modulus at least one.

Consider *M* as the Gram matrix of linearly dependent vectors $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$ in \mathbb{C}^n . Let *l* be such that x_l is in the span of the other vectors, and let *y* be a unit vector in \mathbb{C}^n orthogonal to each x_i . Then the Gram matrix M' of $x_1, x_2, ..., x_{l-1}, x_l + y, x_{l+1}, ..., x_n$ is equal to *M* except for an increase of 1 in the m_{ll} main-diagonal element, so its graph is connected, its diagonal entries are integers, and the non-zero off-diagonal entries have modulus at least one. The nullity of M' is k - 1, so by the induction hypothesis and by construction, tr $M = \operatorname{tr} M' - 1 \ge (n + (r + 1) - 1) - 1 = n + r - 1$.

Corollary 2. An *n*-by-*n* complex positive semidefinite matrix of rank *r* whose graph has *s* connected components, whose diagonal entries are integers, and whose non-zero off-diagonal entries have modulus at least one, has trace at least n + r - s.

Acknowledgement: Publication of this article was funded by the University of South Florida St. Petersburg's Open Access Publication Fund.

References

- [1] Reinhard Diestel, Graph theory, 5th ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 173, Springer, Berlin, 2018.
- [2] Roger A. Horn, Charles R. Johnson, *Matrix analysis*, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013.
- [3] James McKee, Pavlo Yatsyna, *A trace bound for positive definite connected integer symmetric matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl., 444, 227–230, 2014.