

11-19-2009

**The Politics of Space and Place in Virginia Woolf's *The Years*,
Three Guineas and *The Pargiters***

Ángel Luis Jiménez
University of South Florida

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.usf.edu/etd>



Part of the [American Studies Commons](#)

Scholar Commons Citation

Jiménez, Ángel Luis, "The Politics of Space and Place in Virginia Woolf's *The Years*, *Three Guineas* and *The Pargiters*" (2009). *USF Tampa Graduate Theses and Dissertations*.
<https://digitalcommons.usf.edu/etd/2030>

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the USF Graduate Theses and Dissertations at Digital Commons @ University of South Florida. It has been accepted for inclusion in USF Tampa Graduate Theses and Dissertations by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ University of South Florida. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@usf.edu.

The Politics of Space and Place in
Virginia Woolf's *The Years*, *Three Guineas* and *The Pargiters*

by

Ángel Luis Jiménez

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree of
Master of Arts
Department of English
College of Arts and Sciences
University of South Florida

Major Professor: Elizabeth Hirsh, Ph.D.
Gurleen Grewal, Ph.D.
Shirley Toland-Dix, Ph.D.

Date of Approval:
November 19, 2009

Keywords: public, private, home, subjectivity, textual space

© Copyright 2009, Ángel Luis Jiménez

I dedicate this Masters Thesis to my *abuelos*, Lucy and Mario Castro.

Acknowledgements

Although my name is on the cover, this thesis is the product of the insight, encouragement, patience, and generosity of Dr. Elizabeth Hirsh, to whom I am forever indebted. Many thanks are also due to my readers Drs. Shirley Toland-Dix and Gurleen Grewal for their perceptive feedback. Maintaining perspective during tough times would have been impossible without Dr. Joyce Karpay, whose warmth and laughter always made things seem not so bad after all. Finally, I would like to thank my family and close friends for the love that they give to me and the love that they accept from me.

Table of Contents

Abstract	ii
Chapter One – Introduction: Virginia Woolf and the Politics of Space	1
Chapter Two – Space and Place in <i>The Years</i>	13
Chapter Three – Textual Space and Geopolitics in <i>Three Guineas</i>	35
Chapter Four – Conclusion: Textual Dis/Junction, Textual Con/Junction: <i>The Pargiters, The Years, and Three Guineas</i>	48
Notes	58
Works Cited	60
Bibliography	63

The Politics of Space and Place in
Virginia Woolf's *The Years*, *Three Guineas* and *The Pargiters*

Ángel Jiménez

ABSTRACT

A critique of the social construction of space was fundamental to Virginia Woolf's overall feminist project of decentering patriarchal and imperial values. In *A Room of One's Own* (1929) Woolf famously emphasized that financial independence and a private space were vital to female creativity. But Woolf was concerned with the politics of space throughout her writing, an aspect of her thought that has not been widely addressed. My thesis examines Woolf's ongoing preoccupation with spatiality in two closely related works of her late career, *The Years* (1937) and *Three Guineas* (1938). In these texts, Woolf interrogates the cultural construction of private and public realms as mutually exclusive, with domestic space being women's proper place and public space as the territory of men. Some critics stress Woolf's portrayal of the imbrication of urban space and individual consciousness in *The Years*, but tend to overlook the action of the English countryside and its influence on subjectivity. Also overlooked by critics is the way that the deployment of textual space in *Three Guineas*, and the intertextual connections between *The Years* and *Three Guineas*—which were originally conceived of as one text entitled *The Pargiters*—develop Woolf's critique of the politics of space.

My argument draws on key texts of sociology, geography and cultural theory that address the construction of space and place. Henri Lefebvre's *The Production of Space*

(1974), Doreen Massey's *Space, Place and Gender* (1994), and Susan Stanford Friedman's *Mappings: Feminism and the Cultural Geographies of Encounter* (1998) frame my discussion and help to show how *The Years* and *Three Guineas* unsettle dominant spatial dualisms: public/private, here/there, home/abroad, and inside/outside. In doing so these works foreground the relationship between subjectivity and space and demonstrate how space is produced through ideology and practice. In addition I show how Woolf's dramatization of social spaces as mobile and interpenetrating illustrates the interface between constructions of family, nation, and empire.

Chapter One: Introduction

Virginia Woolf and the Politics of Space

In recent decades the interdisciplinary field of space studies has challenged received notions that construct space as, in Michel Foucault's words, "the dead, the fixed, the undialectical, the immobile" (70). Geographers, sociologists, philosophers and cultural critics have contributed to this ongoing critique. As Henri Lefebvre observes in his groundbreaking work *The Production of Space* (1974), representations of space as static derive in part from Immanuel Kant, who described space as both a pre-existing void filled up by human activity and a reified thing (2-3). Lefebvre instead argues that space is culturally and historically constructed and therefore imbued with ideology. As Marx theorized that in the logic of the commodity reification obscures the relations of production, Lefebvre asserts that "(social) space is a (social) product" so that "any space implies, contains, and dissimulates social relationships" (Lefebvre 26; 82-83). One example of Lefebvre's approach, cited by the cultural critic Rob Shields, is the articulation of geographical space in capitalist societies as "'spatialized' as lots—always owned by someone." As Shields observes, "a privatized notion of space anchors the understanding of property" (210).

Since the appearance of Lefebvre's tome, Marxists and other theorists have further explored the relationship between space and social relations. For Fredric Jameson, the overlapping of social space and social relations can be seen in a "postmodern hyperspace" such as the Bonaventure Hotel in Los Angeles, a space that reproduces "the

great global multinational and decentered communicational network” that constitutes contemporary capitalism (1972). Similarly, Allen Scott and Edward Soja read the “reinforcement of private business control over the economic development of L.A.” as a way of spatially segregating blacks and Mexicans from a white majority (10). In addition to the spatial analysis of race and class, the flourishing of feminist geographies has enlarged space studies to account for the significance of gender in the production of space and vice versa. Doreen Massey, for instance, underscores how gender and identity intersect with spatiality in the long-standing Western practice of restricting women to domestic space. This “was both a specifically spatial control and, through that, a social control on identity” (179), she notes. Linking space, gender, and subjectivity, Massey enlarges space studies to accommodate “place,” since for her both space and place are closely related. “If *space* is conceptualized . . . as taking the form not of some abstract dimension but of the simultaneous coexistence of social interrelations at all geographical scales,” then place can be thought of as “constructed out of a particular constellation of social relations, meeting and weaving together at a particular locus. . . . a unique point of their intersection,” suggests Massey (168; 154).

Place, then, is the specific site where the social relations that constitute space interact. This locational aspect of place, however, can underwrite dominant constructions of place as defined by “stability and a reassuring boundedness” (Massey 169). A sense of “boundedness” often accompanies notions of a self-derived authentic identity of place. Given that boundaries are often used to dichotomize here/there, inside/outside and the correlary insiders/outside, Timothy Cresswell notes that “[p]lace, at a basic level, is space invested with meaning in the context of power” (12). The feminist geographer

Linda McDowell suggests that “[p]laces are made through power relations which construct the rules that define boundaries. These boundaries are both social and spatial. . . .” (4). But like social space, place should be thought of as processual and fluid in that “the identity of place is in part constructed out of . . . interrelations with elsewhere” (Massey 169). Rather than inscribing places as bounded and thus antagonistic toward the “outside,” “the presence of *the outside within* which helps to construct the specificity of the local place” must be affirmed (Massey 170, emphasis added).

In Woolf Studies, attention to Woolf’s treatment of space coincided with the re-emergence of critical interest in her work during the 1970s. Notably, Elaine Showalter’s *A Literature of Their Own* (1977) criticized Woolf’s privileging of domestic space in *A Room of One’s Own* (1929), arguing that this influential symbol ultimately dissociates women from a socially and politically engaged life. Other critics, such as Julie Robin Solomon, have contested Showalter’s view, positing instead that “‘the room’ serves as a potent political metaphor for women because it concretizes visually, tactilely the politicization of the personal and the personalization of the political” (331-2). This debate epitomizes the most salient feature of scholarship devoted to Woolfian space: a sustained focus on the relationship between domestic and public space, considered both materially and metaphorically. However, Woolf’s writings of the 1930s, including *The Years* (1937) and *Three Guineas* (1938), have received less attention in this regard than her work of the 1920s—*A Room of One’s Own* or *Mrs. Dalloway* (1925), for instance. My thesis will spotlight depictions of space in *The Years* and *Three Guineas* as equally important to a better understanding of Woolf’s evolving cultural politics. This chapter will situate these

works in Woolf's career and survey existing scholarship about them in order to contextualize my argument.

In the early 30s Woolf had worked to create a new literary form that could accommodate two disparate types, what she identified as “the novel of fact” and “the novel of vision” (*Diary* 4: 129). This experimental work was provisionally entitled *The Pargiters*. As Jane Marcus explains, the text that would eventually become *The Years* was “originally conceived as a series of fictional chapters to be interleaved with factual chapters from *Three Guineas*” (xlv). Ultimately, Woolf decided that the combination was, as Eleanor McNees writes, “an irreconcilable collision of genres” in that the “factual” essays smacked of didacticism (xli; l). Wishing to avoid the authoritarian pitch that often accompanies didactic writing, Woolf concluded that in order to best articulate her concerns, a formal separation of fact and fiction was necessary.

As in much of her fiction, in *The Years* Woolf foregrounds the interconnection between family and nation. Depicting political and social change in England from 1880 to 1937, *The Years* chronicles the adaptation of one middle-class family, the Pargiters, to the cultural transformations that marked the shift from a Victorian to a modern world. The novel charts the movement of middle-class women from the oppressive confines of the private house to the public realm, the gradual dissolution of the British Empire, and the emergence of possibilities for a nation that values differences in gender, class, race, and sexuality. Structurally, the novel is divided into eleven chapters titled after the year in which the action of each section takes place.¹ Although she once said that *The Years* was intended to be a “novel of fact,” Woolf introduces each chapter by, in McNees's words, “blend[ing] poetic description with specific material fact to draw the reader forward

chronologically and at the same time to fuse the linear progression of the years with spatial depictions of weather and seasons” (*liv*).

Woolf’s critique of familial and social relations took a unique turn with *Three Guineas*. Foregrounding the relationship between patriarchy, fascism, war, and the subjugation of women, Woolf’s “ferocious political pamphlet” (Marcus *lvii*) initially takes form as a response to a letter from a male barrister who asks ‘how are we to prevent war?’ but also gradually integrates answers to letters from other correspondents soliciting her help, such as an honorary treasurer of a woman’s college. Addressing her response not only to the barrister but also to what Woolf insistently calls “the daughters of educated men”—members of her own class--Woolf develops a polemic that underscores the imbrication of masculinist values and war-making. Aggressivity, competitiveness, territoriality, privatized property—all of these traits that Woolf associates with patriarchal values serve to perpetuate war. Not only does she reveal the inherent violence of patriarchal values, Woolf cautions women who are entering or have entered the professions against reproducing masculinist values. Her response to the author of the letter from the women’s college, for example, stresses that women participating in the public sphere are obligated to challenge and transform patriarchal values in order to prevent—and not provoke—war. Moreover, Woolf’s most explicit connection of fascism abroad with despotism at home emerges when she demonstrates the similarities between quotes taken from Hitler and Mussolini, on one hand, and male English public figures, on the other, both of which naturalize women’s relegation to domestic space (66; 166). In addition, Woolf exploits the scholarly convention of footnoting in order to develop a history of women’s participation in social and political life from the domestic realm

(Marcus *lix*). Woolf also ransacks newspapers for photographs of English patriarchs in both military and academic ceremonial dress in an effort to deflate the grandeur associated with these cultural signifiers and to emphasize the vast amounts of money spent on ornamenting these men.

Both *The Years* and *Three Guineas* were published toward the end of Woolf's career, and both continue a legacy of social critique that Woolf initiated with her first works. Her two early "apprentice" novels, *The Voyage Out* (1915) and *Night and Day* (1919), develop (mostly) through the conventions of realism, the *Bildungsroman* structure (albeit in an aborted form) of *The Voyage Out*, for example. But even at this more conventional stage of her career Woolf was already critical of the relationship between the family and the nation. Enlarging her investigation of treatment of the entwinement of family and nation, Woolf throughout the 1920s also experimented with unconventional forms that could better communicate modern experience after the wreckage wrought by World War I. The 20s novels *Jacob's Room* (1922), *Mrs. Dalloway* (1925), *To the Lighthouse* (1927), and *Orlando* (1928) crystallize Woolf's formal "modernism" in employing non-linear temporal patterns, emphasis on individual psychology, unannounced perspectival shifts, and an overall sense of narrational dislocation relative to the conventions of novelistic realism. Her heightened attention to aesthetic innovation did not result in a subordinated social critique, however. Instead the novels of the 20s "began to articulate and illuminate the connections between the patriarchal status quo, the relatively subordinate position of women, and war making," Mark Hussey notes (*xiv*). This period also marks the height of Woolf's popularity during her lifetime. *A Room of One's Own*, one of Woolf's most popular texts, would be the last

of the longer works published in the 20s, while *The Waves* (1931) is generally regarded as the last of Woolf's full-blown experimental novels.

Following *The Waves*, Woolf experimented with representing animal subjectivity in *Flush* (1933) while continuing her critique of family and nation. The remainder of the works published in the 30s, *The Years* and *Three Guineas*, show us a more realistic and a more explicitly political Woolf conscious of the rise of fascism in Europe and the inevitable carnage it would bring. The threat of annihilation posed by the oncoming Second World War proved immensely stressful for Woolf, and this coupled with her struggle with mental illness may have led to her suicide in 1941. Just before her death Woolf was putting the finishing touches on the novel that would be published posthumously as *Between the Acts* (1941). Critical interest in Woolf's fiction, which peaked in the 20s, waned during the years following her death to be revived in the late 1960s with the rise of academic feminism and literary theory (Snaith 3). Today, critical approaches to Woolf are polyvocal, and Woolf Studies has become an academic mainstay. As Anna Snaith observes, "the plurality of approaches to Woolf speaks to the richness of her writing" (1).

With respect to the question of space in Woolf's corpus, Anna Snaith and Michael Whitworth note that

Woolf's fictional and non-fictional writing is consistently concerned with the politics of spaces: national spaces, civic spaces, private spaces, or the textual spaces of the writer/printer. The psychology of space resonates through her autobiographical writing, from the claustrophobic, Victorian rooms of Hyde Park Gate, heavy with tangled emotions, to the airy,

liberating rooms of 46 Gordon Square, Bloomsbury. While private, domestic space, the woman's room, is at the hub of her feminist politics, it is from this room that she became one of the key writers of urban modernity, particularly in its feminist articulation. (1)

Despite the importance of spatial politics in Woolf's life and writing, its centrality "has not been adequately addressed", according to Snaith and Whitworth (2). The scholars who have addressed spatiality in her writings, though, agree that Woolf sees space as fundamental to a critique of power relations, especially those predicated on gender. Criticism focusing on space in *Three Guineas* and *The Years*, furthermore, tends to analyze the relationship between public and private spaces with an emphasis on Woolf's interrogation of dichotomized space(s) generally. In particular, constructs such as interior/exterior and home/nation undergo close inspection. Most scholars affirm that by underscoring the interdependency of these dualisms, Woolf articulates how the cultural construction of space interfaces with the production of subjectivity.

Elizabeth F. Evans, for example, identifies two important spatial themes at play in *The Years*. On the one hand, Woolf portrays indoors/outdoors as simultaneously oppositional and intersecting; on the other, individual understandings of broader social relations are informed by relationships to material urban space (112). For instance, Woolf depicts city space as providing women with "a vehicle for thought, contrasting the freedom women experience in the city streets with the constraints of domestic life" (113). This illustrates how, in Evans's words, "*The Years* links the politics of home and nation through its exploration of the interconnections of space, gender, and the social system" (112).

Like Evans, Youngjoo Son sees Woolf foregrounding the entwinement of private and national space. Son argues that she thereby reconceptualizes the metonymic association of the home with the nation in *The Years*. According to Son, “the Pargiter family’s move from single family houses to flats can be interpreted as a step toward a more inclusive space of home and nation that is tolerant of and even willing to live together with marginalized others” (13). Son’s argument suggests that Woolf’s domestic spaces are relational rather than constructed according to the absolutism that underwrites patriarchal constructions of home and nation. Linden Peach’s work also investigates domestic and public spaces for a clearer understanding of Woolf’s “concern with the fusion of the material and the psychological” (66). Calling attention to the importance of “interior rooms” in *The Years*, Peach argues that domestic rooms function as the interface between self and society, as in the intrusion of “outside” noises into the “inside” space of Maggie Pargiter’s room at Hyam’s Place. Merry Pawlowski has recently analyzed the interweaving of time and space in *The Years* in relation to Woolf’s gender politics. Pawlowski argues that Woolf unsettles the conventional patriarchal logic of time as linear and space as static: “History and individual existence . . . unfold as series of repeating patterns . . . suggestive of a feminine, cyclical (time folding back on itself), and circulating (space folding back on itself) conception of space/time” (76).

Turning to *Three Guineas*, we find that one of the earliest studies to focus on space was Julie Robin Solomon’s response to Showalter, “Staking Ground: The Politics of Space in *A Room of One’s Own* and *Three Guineas*” (1989). Arguing that Woolf deploys “spatial metaphor to describe women’s political needs and powers” (332), Solomon underscores the potential for Woolfian space to challenge capitalist

prerogatives. In particular, where in *A Room of One's Own* Solomon sees Woolf affirming the possession of private property, *Three Guineas*, by contrast, repudiates the need for a proper “room” (and the naturalization of privatized space) through the “Society of Outsiders,” a (loosely) organized group that “works on the periphery of centralized . . . patriarchal institutions” (332).

Son’s book also discusses the complex relationship between private and public space in *Three Guineas*, a dynamic he interprets as part of Woolf’s feminist critique of social relations. “While mindful of her own implication in the public space produced by the social system,” Son asserts, “Woolf explores a possibility for critical intervention into public space.” Since their historical exclusion from the public realm potentially offers women a unique position as “outside” the status quo, they can communicate “spatial perspectives that challenge dominant ones” (70-71).

In an opaque but interesting article, “Virginia Woolf’s Veil: The Feminist Intellectual Organization of Public Space,” Merry Pawlowski discusses the intersection of the textual space of *Three Guineas* with the material and metaphorical spaces depicted therein. According to Pawlowski, Woolf “maps geographical space divided by gender” and arranges “textual space around and in relation to” the photographs included in *Three Guineas*, cogently interweaving “inner, inter, and extra visual/textual spaces” (723). For instance, by describing and not including photographs of the Spanish Civil War, Woolf “veils” these images to extinguish the impulse to war that they elicit while simultaneously “unveiling” images of patriarchs in public space to show that these seemingly innocent snapshots encourage war (726).

As the scholarship suggests, Woolf's efforts to depict the interconnection between public and private space was vital to her project of decentering patriarchal values and demonstrating the intersection of the personal and the political. While I find no major disagreements among the scholars discussed here, critics have yet to address certain features of Woolfian space that I interpret as important to a fuller understanding (though never entirely "full") of Woolf's cultural politics. Significantly, Woolf's problematizing of the city/country binary in *The Years* has yet to be scrutinized. This oversight reflects a privileging of urban space in the existing literature, including criticism that addresses Woolf's portrayal of the reciprocity between the material environment and individual consciousness. In *The Years*, Kitty Malone/Lasswade's recurring movement from city to country and vice versa, for instance, suggests that Woolf was concerned with interrogating the city/country divide. Similarly, Kitty's relation to the "natural" space of the countryside deserves closer inspection for the light it casts on the interaction between space and subjectivity, a concern I explore in both *Three Guineas* and *The Years*. In addition, the deployment of textual space in *Three Guineas* has received little attention. An examination of the relationship between the text and endnotes will begin to address this neglect. In fact, the particular organization of textual space in *Three Guineas* constitutes Woolf's depiction of the connection between fascism "abroad"/"elsewhere" and fascism "at home"/"here" thereby playing a central role in the spatial relationships developed throughout.²

A close study of the relationship between the spatial politics in *Three Guineas* and *The Years* has yet to materialize, interestingly, given that Woolf originally envisioned the two texts as a single, experimental work. The final chapter of my project, therefore, will

analyze the relationship between these two texts in terms of Woolf's evolving cultural politics during the 1930s. Examining the intertextual relationship between the factual *Three Guineas* and the fictional *The Years* reveals that the formal division of the two itself serves to articulate the politics of both textual and social space.

Chapter Two: Space and Place in *The Years*

The Years may be Woolf's most thoroughgoing treatment of the politics of space and place. In a sense this novel was founded on a crisis of mental space. Woolf writes in her diary: "Now again I pay the penalty of mixing fact and fiction: cant [*sic*] concentrate on *The Years*. I have a sense that one cannot control this terrible fluctuation between the 2 worlds" (*Diary* 4: 350). Woolf refers here to the two worlds of fact and fiction that she had originally sought to unite in an experimental novel-essay once entitled *The Pargiters*. As noted in Chapter I, ultimately the novel portion became *The Years*, and the essay segments developed into *Three Guineas*. Reconciling the "terrible fluctuation between 2 worlds" by composing two distinct texts, Woolf, however, maintains an intimate relationship between *The Years* and *Three Guineas*. Thematically resonant, both works share a marked attempt to denaturalize dichotomous constructions of public/private, inside/outside, here/there, home/elsewhere. While this intention emerges explicitly in *Three Guineas* when Woolf asserts that "the public and the private worlds are inseparably connected," the imbrication of dichotomized spaces is registered throughout *The Years*, too, as we shall see.

Indicating the work's deep concern with space and place, some other titles Woolf considered for *The Years* were "Here and Now" and "Other People's Houses." This chapter examines the politics of space and place in Woolf's fictional portrait of fifty years of English history. Focusing on the spatial experience of Eleanor Pargiter and Kitty Malone (later, Lady Lasswade)—two characters portrayed throughout the novel as almost always *in motion* through space—it attempts to illuminate Woolf's critique of gendered

and nationalized spaces and places. Indeed, politically charged spaces and places abound in *The Years*. The novel explores spatial and temporal change in the family and in the English nation through portrayals of shifting social dynamics between 1880 and (roughly) the onset of the Second World War, focusing on the Pargiter family members' relationship to these transformations. Two branches of the Pargiter family are depicted. When the novel opens in the 1880 chapter, Colonel Abel Pargiter, his wife Rose (whose death concludes the first chapter), and their seven children (Eleanor, Edward, Morris, Milly, Delia, Martin, and Rose) all live at Abercorn Terrace in a respectable London neighborhood. Abel's brother Sir Digby Pargiter, Digby's wife Eugénie, and their daughters Maggie and Sara reside at Browne Street in the more affluent and fashionable Westminster. A third branch of the family, but on the maternal side, resides in Oxford: Dr. and Mrs. Malone and their daughter Kitty, Mrs. Malone being Rose Pargiter's elder sister.

In this chapter, I argue that *The Years* shows how space and place can function as a means of social control through processes that naturalize stasis and fixed boundaries as their defining features. These processes anchor an understanding of public and private realms as mutually exclusive and, to borrow Son's words, "work to maintain the patriarchal, class-stratified social order by promoting a homogenized vision of the home as free of domination, conflicts, and resistance" (12). But Woolf also suggests that space and place can be de-formed and re-formed so as to promote the affirmation of difference and diversity. By depicting space as something produced, *The Years* unglues hegemonic constructions of space and place. Changes in the experience of space and place by individual characters align with changes in the relationship between self and society,

domesticity and otherness, here and there. Such perceptual transformations ultimately suggest rearticulations of the nation and national identity. Rather than being self-derived, bounded and unrelational, the nation appears as an ideological effect obscuring its own dependence as home and “here-ness” on “there-ness” and otherness. In addition, dominant notions of family and its place within the nation are undermined as characters begin to experience the porosity of space and place.

I. “Things pass, things change”: Eleanor Pargiter, Leaving Home

The novel opens with a scene at Abercorn Terrace which shows the Pargiter daughters’ daily routine typified by the monotony of staring at the teakettle, waiting for it to reach a boil (9). Denied access to public space, their relegation to domestic space also implies that women’s proper place is in the home, fulfilling a duty to making that home comfortable for the Pargiter men. This early scene also shows men’s access to public space as three figures, Colonel Abel and his sons Martin and Morris, are shown entering the home. Implying not only men’s access to public space but also women’s debarment from a formal education, the narrative indicates that Edward Pargiter is attending school at Oxford and Morris is working in the Law Courts. By juxtaposing images of men’s movement from public to private space with women’s enclosure within private space, Woolf’s narrative immediately foregrounds the gender politics of place. Additionally, women’s domestic captivity and exclusion from educational institutions, as Woolf demonstrates in *Three Guineas*, served to increase the funds available to educate the men. “Where there are many sons to educate,” Woolf explains,

it required a great effort on the part of the family. . . . And to this [the] sisters . . . made their contribution. Not only did their own education . . .

go into it; but many of those luxuries and trimmings which are, after all, an essential part of education—travel, society, solitude, a lodging apart from the family house—they were paid into it too. (7)

By demonstrating the way in which women's confinement to domestic space serves to bolster the patriarchal system, Woolf foregrounds how the middle-class home functions as a site wherein economic relations take place.

The importance of place in shaping and sustaining patriarchal gender relations becomes even clearer when the eldest daughter Eleanor writes a letter to Edward (at school in Oxford), reminding him to contact their dying mother. Interestingly, her brother Morris, who both opens and closes the house door, takes the letter outside to the pillar-box, not Eleanor. "Eleanor went to the front door with him," instead, "and stood holding it open" (42). Images of women standing inside doorways—and thus on the threshold between the private and public spheres—run throughout the novel, but here the image of Eleanor as gatekeeper of the private sphere compellingly draws attention to the fixity of place and identity at the Abercorn Terrace home. This particular identity of place and the social relations with which it resounds, in turn, construct a domestic(ated) identity for the women of the family. For Peach, this correlates with Woolf's recurring attempts throughout her fiction to demonstrate "the way in which the material parameters of people's lives and their individual psychologies are peculiarly concentrated and interleaved in the spaces in which they live" (68).

The Pargiter home at Abercorn Terrace reproduces a notion of "home" as characterized by, in Doreen Massey's words, "stability and a reassuring boundedness" (169). Woolf's narrator, for example, describes the home as a place where "[t]he world

outside seemed thickly and entirely cut off” (19). Photographs like “Uncle Horace in his uniform” decorate the walls of the Pargiter home (21). Through such elements of decor, a patriotic and upper-middle class perspective secretes itself in and through domestic space. Further, when the youngest daughter Rose sneaks out of the home in the evening to buy toys at a nearby retailer, she is confronted by a male exhibitionist, but escapes.¹ When she returns home, visions of the man terrorize Rose as she tries to fall asleep. After hearing Rose’s trauma-induced cries, Eleanor rushes to her room and tries to comfort her younger sister, who does not disclose the incident to Eleanor. Rose instead states that she thought a burglar was in her room. Trying to calm her by treating Rose’s fear of a robber entering the home as misguided, Eleanor asks, ‘A robber? *Here?* . . . how could a robber get into your nursery? There’s Papa, there’s Morris—they would never let a robber come into your room’ (39; emphasis added). Eleanor’s response speaks to the construction of the middle-class Victorian home as marked by secure boundaries, parameters guarded by the men of the home. Nonetheless, Woolf’s narrative subtly contrasts this notion of a secure identity of place with the actual vulnerability of the home when the Pargiter Nurse is unable to find the latchkey: “Every night it was hidden in a new place for fear of burglars” (25).

Although the Pargiter daughters are largely immobilized by the politics of place, Eleanor does have “her Grove day,” a weekly detour from the responsibilities of the house to visit the poor at Canning Place.² This charitable activity was common among Victorian upper-middle class women such as Woolf’s mother Julia Stephen and half-sister Stella Duckworth (McNees 419n), indicating an extension of their traditional role as nurturers. While this practice speaks to the limited possibilities for women’s mobility

in and through spaces outside the home, the impact of her experience compels Eleanor to consider the relationship between the here of her home and the there of the Grove.

Woolf's narrator tells us, "when she came back from the Grove – so many different things were going on in her head at the same time: Canning Place; Abercorn Terrace; this room; that room" (29). Eleanor's attempt to draw interconnections between the home of the impoverished class and her own middle-class home demonstrates the way in which any understanding of one place is contingent upon knowledge of other places. Moreover, the way in which these places are sequenced (or "spaced") in Eleanor's thoughts suggests the relational character of place in that "Abercorn Terrace" and "this room" are book-ended by "Canning Place" and "that room" in Eleanor's thought pattern. Eleanor's movement from Abercorn Terrace to Canning Place compels Eleanor to think about her own home in relation to places conventionally deemed "lower" in the class structure.

Eleanor's contact with poor people also facilitates an understanding of their humanity, marking a shift in her consciousness that is inflected by her movement from Abercorn Terrace to Canning Place/The Grove. For instance, after visiting the Grove, "Eleanor did not like talking about the 'poor' as if they were people in a book" as her relatives do, the narrator notes. Moreover, while she reflects back on her day, Eleanor thinks of her "great admiration for Mrs. Levy, who [is] dying of cancer" (29). While this form of middle-class philanthropy may be seen as patronizing, Woolf suggests that it is not totally devoid of value. Identifying with Ms. Levy, a Jew, Eleanor realizes that Mrs. Levy's condition resembles that of her own bedridden mother, Rose Pargiter. By illustrating the knowledge women can obtain from "leaving home," Woolf denaturalizes private space as woman's proper place. Eleanor's realization that the lives of both the

poor and the middle-class overlap with similarities uncovers the constructedness of hierarchy and patriarchal values. We can begin to feel what is at stake in barricading middle-class women within domestic space. For example, Eleanor's philanthropy does not please Colonel Pargiter; when he asks of Eleanor's whereabouts at teatime and learns that it is her Grove day, he begins to "[stir] the sugar round and round in his cup as if to demolish it" (13). Why should Eleanor's movement out of the home give rise to such a (comical) display of anger? Perhaps we can better understand this if we consider how the Pargiter daughters, with the exception of Eleanor, "never see anyone outside their own set. . . . cooped up [in the home], day after day" (30). Through Eleanor, *The Years* demonstrates how those "outside" the middle-class set are both different and like those "inside" it. But Woolf also shows the power of place to curtail Eleanor's critical thought because her place in the Victorian social system predetermines a particular duty to the home. The narrator notes that, after realizing the parallels between her home and Mrs. Levy's, "she [Eleanor] checked herself," turning her attention to attend to the family (29). In "checking" herself, moreover, Eleanor's self-silencing resounds with a prevalent theme in *Three Guineas* – that financial dependence on men forces women to suppress ideas that conflict with those of men. Underscoring an important facet of the financial independence that accompanies women's entrance into the professions, Woolf asserts that now

[a woman] need no longer use her charm to procure money from her father or brother. Since it is beyond the power of her family to punish her financially she can express her own opinions. In place of the admirations and antipathies which were often unconsciously dictated by the need of

money she can declare her genuine likes and dislikes. In short, she need not acquiesce; she can criticize. (21)

Access to the professions and thus public space enhances women's critical thoughts and facilitates their articulation. In *Three Guineas*, however, Woolf was writing at a time when women could legally partake in professional life; in 1880 this opportunity was not available to Eleanor.

Eleven years elapse between the first and second chapters of *The Years*, and when Eleanor reappears in the second chapter, 1891, she has greater mobility through public space. In one day we see her going to a multitude of places dispersed throughout the space of London—attending a committee meeting concerned with aiding the poor, visiting an impoverished section of the city to set in motion repairs for apartments in housing projects, buying a gift for her cousin, attending a luncheon at Abercorn Terrace, and sitting in on a trial her brother is litigating at the Law Courts. All of these movements from place to place are aided by the technological innovations of the omnibus and the hansom cab. These technological developments, in fact, alter spatial relationships because they greatly accelerate the pace at which human movement in and through space can occur.

The politics of space and place perhaps become most salient in the Law Court scene of this chapter. When Eleanor first catches sight of the Judge, she “[feels] a little thrill of awe run through her” (103). The impression made by the Judge on Eleanor resounds with the elevated status of the men involved in managing England's foundational institutions such as law. However, with the shift in the politics of place that enables women's movement out of the private sphere, the grandeur conventionally

associated with judges is deflated. In fact, after “the first glamour had worn off,” the Judge seems “no longer immune from human weakness” (105). The constructed nature of these figures is underscored, too, as Eleanor thinks, “they [the barristers and judge] all looked like pictures. . . cut out, like eighteenth-century portraits hung upon a wall” (103). Eleanor’s perspectival change corresponds with her enlarged access to places beyond Abercorn Terrace. For Eleanor, witnessing firsthand the ordinary workings of the ruling class deflates the superiority of the English patriarchs. Woolf foregrounds this understanding of the constructedness of English values in terms of space and place. When Eleanor exits the Law Courts and roams the Strand, she feels “herself expand. . . . It was as if something had broken loose – in her, in the world” (105). Here, the once solid identity of her world, epitomized in the image of Abercorn Terrace, comes undone, signaling a change in Eleanor’s subjectivity. As Evans affirms, moreover, “[t]he ability of an individual’s spatial sense of the city to represent her sense of the larger social structure indicates that interiority and external material space are intertwined” (114).

Through Eleanor the 1891 chapter shows us that access to public space by “the daughters of educated men” may facilitate an understanding of the fabricated nature of hierarchy. But in 1891 Eleanor’s sense of duty to her father and the home persists, suggested by the hectic pace of her movements as she tries to fulfill her responsibility to overseeing repairs to the homes of the poor while making it back to Abercorn Terrace in time for lunch with her father. However, twenty years later in the 1911 section Colonel Pargiter has died, the Abercorn Terrace home is up for sale, and Eleanor has taken to traveling, having already visited Spain, Italy, and Greece. As she goes to stay with her brother Morris in the North of England, Eleanor thinks of England as “small” and

“pretty” yet she “[feels] no affection for her native land—none whatever” (188). This diminished love for her nation suggests that an understanding of place as relational may shatter the absolutism that characterizes dominant constructions of the nation. Moreover, she ponders whether or not she should purchase a home; she decides against it, and she then imagines “a ship padding softly through the waves; of a train swinging from side to side down a railway line” (202). By juxtaposing Eleanor’s refusal to purchase a home with images of fluid movement, the text suggests the potential for women’s spatial mobility to challenge the naturalization of a woman’s place as in the home. As the chapter closes, moreover, Eleanor understands that “[t]hings pass, things change”—such as middle-class women’s role as devoted homemaker (202).

II. “All passes, all changes”: Kitty Malone/Lady Lasswade and the City/Country

Woolf further develops the politics of space and place through the character Kitty Malone, cousin to the Pargiters, and her experiences through and in Oxford and the countryside of North England. Our first view of Kitty, in the 1880 chapter, is in the Lodge at Oxford, the place where Kitty lives that also serves as temporary accommodations for guests being entertained by Dr. and Mrs. Malone. Because Dr. Malone is a don residing at the Lodge, he and his family are responsible for entertaining “distinguished” guests and undergraduates. Immediately, Woolf depicts the Lodge as a place, like Abercorn Terrace and the Law Courts, marked by spatial arrangements that articulate broader social relations that are hierarchically organized. For instance, when a dinner party at the Lodge ends, one of the departing guests, Mrs. Larpent, asks if the type of bird she hears singing outside is a nightingale. In response, “old Chuffy,” the prominent Dr. Andrews, finding great humor in such an apparently ridiculous question,

“correctly” identifies the bird as a thrush.³ But Old Chuffy’s position as epistemic authority is not the only indicator of the social relations that shape the identity of the Lodge. In the same scene Woolf’s narrator observes that “with a wave of the hand directed by centuries of tradition, Mrs. Larpent drew back her foot, as if she had encroached upon one of the chalk marks which decorate academic lintels and, signifying that Mrs. Lathom, wife of the Divinity professor, should precede her, they passed out into the rain” (53-4). Here we sense that the relationships in the Lodge reverberate with the “centuries of tradition” that divide social groups into superior and inferior. The tradition implicated in the wave of a hand provokes Mrs. Larpent’s retreat from a spatial transgression, one that resembles the spatial code of Oxbridge portrayed by Woolf in *A Room of One’s Own*, where her narrator-lecturer trespasses on the grass plot of the men’s college and is chased away by a Beadle (6). Here, gender and class differences overlap with the centuries-old tradition that assumes a spatial form—in the procession leading out of the Lodge, Mrs. Larpent is literally placed behind her social “superiors.”

The politics of place become clearer as we learn that the Lodge features rooms reserved for “distinguished guests” and that gold-framed portraits of former schoolmasters of the college gaze ominously from the walls. This image of The Lodge articulates the patriarchal social relations that govern Victorian England. The Lodge is also a site where the normalization of women’s “proper place” is perpetuated. When Kitty spills ink on her father’s “history of the college,” for instance, Dr. Malone remarks, ‘Nature did not intend you to be a scholar, my dear’ (76). Importantly, the Lodge is not only a house but also, for Kitty and her mother, a kind of workplace. For instance, after some guests have left and others have gone to bed, Kitty contemplates the day’s events

and the narrator informs us that for her, “It had been Thursday at its worst . . . sights in the morning; people for lunch; undergraduates for tea; and a dinner-party in the evening” (57). Although Kitty has access to public space, this privilege is inextricably tied up with her (unpaid) domestic duty, a fact that underscores the interrelational character of public and private spaces. But the Lodge’s arrangement seeks to veil this relationship by maintaining a sense of enclosure—“they always shut the windows and [draw] the curtains,” making the place “very stuffy,” the narrator observes (57).

Like Eleanor, Kitty has a limited opportunity to escape the confines of the middle-class home. She too visits places conventionally identified as “lower class,” such as the home—“haloed with romance” in Kitty’s view—of her teacher Lucy Craddock (60). Kitty’s obligation to the Lodge, however, interferes with her education. When she attends her private lesson with Miss Craddock, with whom she shares a mutual admiration, Kitty’s failure to have completed her assignment because of her responsibilities at the Lodge causes Lucy to admonish her. The gender politics of education are such that Kitty’s first duty is to make the Lodge a place of leisure and entertainment for distinguished visitors and (male) students, whose studies take priority over her own.

In contrast to the patriarchal identity of the Lodge, Lucy’s place is marked by openness to flows of movement. For example, in an effort to mitigate her harsh words to Kitty, Lucy directs Kitty’s attention to “a bowl of flowers on the table; wild flowers, blue and white, stuck into a cushion of wet green moss” sent from the Scarborough moors of North England (61). Importantly, this image intimates the porosity of place, while the moss and flowers motif suggests that rootedness—a conventional attribute of place—concomitantly implies mobility, perhaps. In a sense, Woolf suggests that the notion of

place as rooted is not inherently restrictive, but that this idea must be complemented by an understanding of place as open to change and movement. Lucy's place, then, takes on a relational feature unlike the fixed, self-derived identity of the Lodge. This image suggests that places are not essentially enclosed or located. Furthermore, Woolf complicates the division between city and country, here and there, and this proves significant in Lucy's understanding of the constructedness of notions of private property (as I discuss further below).

After leaving Lucy Craddock's place, Kitty travels to her friend Nelly Robson's home for tea. This family is "lower" in social rank than the Malones, as indicated by the material condition of the Robson home. Immediately after Kitty enters, "[s]he seemed to see the whole room at once. The table was too large; there were hard green-plush chairs; yet the table-cloth was coarse; darned in the middle; and the china was cheap with its florid roses"(64). But the Robson home is depicted as a space of motion and openness antithetical to the patriarchal structure of the Lodge. Mr. Robson, an Oxford don who resembles the historical figure Joseph Wright (Hussey 381), the compiler of a well known dialect dictionary, encourages Nelly's education and is particularly fond of Lucy Craddock, despite the fact that "so many of the Dons sneered at her [Lucy]" (65). Instead of relegating domestic work to the women of the home, the entire family shares in this activity. As Kitty prepares to depart, she notices that "[t]hey were all about to go on with what they were doing. . . . Nell was about to go into the kitchen and wash up the tea things; [Nell's brother] Jo was about to return to his hencoops; the children were about to be put to bed" (69). Contrasting the portraits of patriarchs that adorn the walls of the Lodge, the focalizing pictures hanging in the Robsons' home depict Mr. Robson's mother

and the Yorkshire moors. This feature of the Robsons' decor hints at a more feminine, alternative heritage and a fluid identity of place that can be defined in relation to a "different" place. The represented presence of the moors also links the Robsons' and Lucy Craddock's homes.

After she visits Lucy's and the Robsons', Kitty imagines a more liberating life "[i]f she had been the daughter of people like the Robsons" (69). Appreciating the values and places of people designated "lower-in-class" gives Kitty a new frame of reference for understanding her own world. On her way back to the Lodge, Kitty is overcome by a sense of displacement, "tossed aloft out of her usual surroundings. She forgot where she was" (69). Recovering her bearings once back in the familiar Oxford street of her home, Kitty sees it differently. The narrator notes that "the street she had always known" is transformed into a comic spectacle:

There were the cabs and the awnings and the book-shops; the old men in black gowns billowing; the young women in pink and blue dresses flowing; and the young men in straw hats carrying cushions under their arms. But for a moment all seemed to her obsolete, frivolous, inane. The usual undergraduate with books under his arm looked silly. And the portentous old men, with their exaggerated features, looked like gargoyles, carved, medieval, unreal. They were all like people dressed up and acting parts (70).

The former solidity of the place dissolves in relation to Kitty's experience with different classes and different perspectives. Here the values and practices of the upper middle class appear arbitrary to Kitty, rather than inherently superior. Not only does her view of public

space in Oxford change, but Kitty's attention to maintaining the household begins to falter—so much so, that later in the night after Kitty returns to the Lodge, her mother asks, ““Why don't you take more interest in things here?”” (76). Kitty's experience at and in the places of Lucy, an independent working woman, and the Robsons, a family that shares domestic responsibilities and encourages women's education, intersects with her diminished interest in fulfilling a stereotypical feminine identity, one that assumes pleasure and satisfaction in satisfying domestic obligations.

Despite this aversion to a purely domestic life, Kitty ultimately marries a Lord, becomes Lady Lasswade, and has three sons. Thirty-four years after the episode with Lucy Craddock and the Robsons, in the 1914 section, Kitty is hosting a party at her city home at Grovesnor Square in London. Although the novel never indicates a specific reason why Kitty hosts the event, her disdain for the conventions of such parties—which she associates with the city—is evident in her haste to get back to her estate located in the countryside of the North. Moreover, Kitty's obligation to entertain the guests recalls her weekly duties as a young woman at the Lodge.

Given her experience of the porosity of place and the changes in consciousness elicited by this experience, Kitty paradoxically perceives her country estate in the North as having a secure, permanent identity. Kitty's perspective, in fact, corresponds with the long-standing tradition of identifying the British countryside as the “real” England as opposed to the corrupt urban industrial areas. In *The Country and The City* (1973), Raymond Williams challenges this myth that the countryside was a rural democracy before the encroachment of industrial capitalism and the eighteenth century Enclosure

Acts. He instead argues that rural society was also hierarchical (519) and that Enclosure had been happening

since at least the thirteenth century, and had reached a first peak in the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries. Indeed in history it is continuous from the long process of conquest and seizure: the land gained by killing, by repression, by political bargains. (Williams 514)

Interestingly, the notion of a rural utopia annihilated by industrial capitalism was long maintained even in the face of a rigid social order. Williams suggests that the “ancient or ancient-seeming titles and houses [of the landowning elites] offered the illusion of a society determined by obligations and traditional relations between social orders” (508). Social hierarchy was naturalized in part by the way in which aristocratic homes are conceptualized as “ancient,” thereby becoming “a visible display of power, of displayed wealth and command” (521). Whether the homes are “ancient” or “ancient-seeming,” the associative link between *ancient* and *power* informs the perceived fixed social order and identity of the countryside.

The static identity of the countryside described above influences Kitty’s interpretation of her estate in the North. Arriving at her estate, Kitty feels “warm, stored, and comfortable” because she was “on [her] own land now” (261) and observes that her home “looked . . . ancient, and enduring” (262). Here Kitty’s viewpoint is consonant with the mythologized version of Britain’s countryside. Woolf’s narrator shows us, however, that Kitty’s estate is materially produced to simulate an air of permanence: “Gateposts were branded with their initials; their [coat-of] arms swung above the doorways of inns, their crest was mounted over cottage doors” (260). These symbols are what constitute the

sense of stability that Kitty feels, rather than any inherent identity of place. Even the “natural” space surrounding her home is produced by human intervention, to say nothing of the plural possessives that indicate ownership of space: “Every path through the woods had its name. There was Keepers’ Path, Lovers’ Walk, Ladies’ Mile, and . . . Earl’s Ride” (262).

While the narrator’s description of the estate undercuts Kitty’s perspective on the identity of her place, Kitty begins to understand the constructedness of this fixity. Interestingly, as she walks through the woods, Kitty notices “blue flowers and white flowers, trembling on cushions of green moss (263). The flowers and moss exactly resemble those in Lucy Craddock’s place in the 1880 chapter. As at Lucy’s many years earlier, the flowers and moss disclose to Kitty that places are open to change. Shortly after this moment, Kitty experiences something of an epiphany:

All passes, all changes, she thought, as she climbed up the little path between the trees. Nothing of this place belonged to her; her son would inherit; his wife would walk here after her. . . . But she was in the prime of life; she was vigorous. She strode on. The ground rose sharply; her muscles felt strong and flexible as she pressed her thick-soled shoes to the ground. (263)

The class privilege that accompanies being a Lasswade is shown here to be mediated by gender, as ownership of the estate is dictated by patrilineal inheritance. Rather than causing fear or anger at the fact that essentially Kitty owns none of this place, this understanding of the multiform character of place and its interconnection with the laws that determine that sons inherit the home invigorates Kitty. Not only does this realization

hinge on an understanding of the changing nature of place, it leads Kitty to interpret space in contrast to the dominant notion of space as private property. Kitty imagines the landscape as

[u]ncultivated, uninhabited, existing by itself, for itself, without towns or houses. . . . Dark wedges of shadow, bright breadths of light lay side by side. . . . light moved and dark moved; light and shadow went traveling over the hills and over the valleys. A deep murmur sang in her ears—the land itself was singing to itself, a chorus, alone. She lay there listening. She was happy, completely. (263)

By understanding the fluxional properties of space and place, their openness to change, and the possibility of contradictory phenomena occupying the same space—as with dark and light—Kitty displaces her value of a sense of private property. Moreover, Kitty perceives space as having something like its own autonomy, an existence outside the realm of human needs and interests. It should be noted, too, since critics like Evans and Son emphasize the significance of the relationship between urban space and psychological changes, that Kitty's subjective transformation happens in the country and not the city. This suggests that Woolf envisioned the significance of spatial dynamics beyond her own immediate urban context.

III. "We're only just beginning . . . to understand, here and there":

The Interface of Familial, National and Imperial Spaces

In the novel's final chapter, "Present Day," the surviving members of the Pargiter family reunite. They attend a party given by Delia at a place indicative of changes in the use of domestic and public spaces over time. Delia rearranges an office on the ground

floor of her building “so that it could be used as a cloak-room” (326). Moreover, the heterogeneity of the party-goers contrasts with the segregation of classes via space and place seen in earlier chapters. The narrator informs us, “[t]here were nobles and commoners; people dressed and people not dressed; people drinking out of mugs, and people waiting with their soup getting cold for a spoon to be brought to them” (378). This amalgam of difference implies a movement toward more inclusive uses of space and place.

Both Eleanor and Kitty make it to the party, and as they converse with various family members they recall the past and discuss the present and the future. We learn that Kitty now lives alone in ‘a nice little house’ in the north. Although the conditions under which Kitty moved away from the estate are not mentioned, the decision to take up more modest accommodations suggests that she has renegotiated her values, especially if we recall the feeling of elation she previously felt when returning to the Lasswade estate in the country in the “1914” chapter. Kitty’s reaction upon seeing her cousin Edward, moreover, suggests that place and identity are intimately connected. When she hears Edward (who is an Oxford don like her father) speaking, Kitty immediately recalls why she didn’t marry him. Importantly, she associates Edward with the Lodge (397), and this reminds Kitty why she chose to deny Edward’s marriage proposal. Because marrying a man like Edward would “place” Kitty in the same circumstances under which she had grown up—dutiful to the home—this image of the Lodge in association with Edward foregrounds the importance of an understanding of place as active in shaping identity.

While Kitty has chosen a home in the north, Eleanor has taken a flat in London but continues to travel. Her experience in and through places other than her “native land”

of England gives her a new perspective on human relations: the social world of England is only one place out of many. And yet, being located in England also means the possibility of being in another world, “[n]ot in dreams; but here and now, in this room, with living people,” Eleanor ponders, and the narrator notes that “[s]he felt as if she were standing on the edge of a precipice” (404). Here, the precipice image coupled with Eleanor’s ideas on the possibility of other worlds in the here and now calls attention to the way in which inside and outside, here and elsewhere co-exist.

The shifting understandings and uses of place exemplified by the amalgam of domestic and social space at Delia’s home and both Eleanor and Kitty’s refusal to reproduce Victorian domestic conventions underscores a more inclusive home and nation. Woolf, however, is careful not to idealize present-day England. For instance, when North Pargiter, Morris’s son who fought in World War I and is now returning to England after living on a farm in Africa for some time, is confronted by Milly and Hugh Gibbs over whether North will stay or return to Africa. As they discuss North’s feelings over what he perceives as the vast changes England has undergone during his time away, Hugh remarks, ‘but you won’t find many changes in our part of the world’ in the northern countryside of England (357). Not only do Hugh and Milly take pride in the fixity of their place, they oppose new developments as Milly expresses disgust with the fact that “little red villas” are being built (357). The little red villas, like the home of Lucy Craddock, signify the encroachment of an inferior class onto the space of the aristocratic Milly and Hugh. Moreover, North’s discussion with Hugh about Africa foregrounds the relationship between home and abroad and how the nation and family interface spatially. Hugh tells North, ‘I hope you’re going to stay in England now . . . though I dare say it’s a fine life

out there [in Africa]' (357). The men then go on to discuss "Africa and the paucity of jobs" in England, the narrator remarks. Here, the coupling of "Africa and the paucity of jobs" in England underscores how "out there" serves to alleviate employment woes at home, undercutting imperialist notions of colonized space as merely the elsewhere for the staging of colonial exploits. In fact, the nation depends on "her" colonies, is interdependent with them.

The Years shows us that although space and place can be shaped to maintain dominant cultural values, the possibilities for more inclusive social relations can emerge from an understanding of the potential multiformity of space and place. Through Woolf's portrayals of Eleanor and Kitty, the space that separates here and there also betrays a certain interdependency. Eleanor's refusal to marry and make a home and thus replicate the oppressive relations seen at Abercorn Terrace hinges on her increased mobility through space and place. Kitty's movements from city to country and back demonstrate the porosity of place and its openness to change. Kitty's insight into the dynamism of place informs her understanding of the gendered character of private property, thereby undermining the construction of the English countryside as timeless and fixed. Cultural constructions of here and there, furthermore, underpin the family-nation-empire relationship. Woolf, however, does not simply celebrate the transformative potential of space and place. After all, the "Present Day" chapter is set in a time when Europe is on the verge of yet another war.

The "Present Day" section also reminds us that although more inclusive spaces are being produced, the space of London is also used to propagate fascism, as North witnesses symbols of the British Union of Fascists inscribed on "[d]oor after door,

window after window” as he drives along a London neighborhood (294). This resonates with the themes Woolf takes up in *Three Guineas*, namely, that fascism exists not only abroad but simultaneously in the heart of England.

Chapter Three: Textual Space and

Geopolitics in *Three Guineas*

At a time when the rhetoric of “fighting the good fight” against Fascism abroad was galvanizing English national pride, Woolf issued an unsettling account of the fascism infesting English culture. Her feminist-pacifist polemic *Three Guineas* foregrounds how the English patriarchy encourages war, pursuing this theme in an attempt to understand how war might be prevented. In fact, *Three Guineas* opens with Woolf responding to a letter sent from an English male barrister posing the question, ‘how are we [English men and women] to prevent war?’ (5). Woolf—representing and speaking on behalf of “the daughters of educated men”¹—takes the opportunity to write an essay-epistle that tries to answer the barrister’s question by unearthing the fascistic roots of foundational institutions of English society such as the patriarchal family, the system of education, and the professions, especially including the military and the church.

Although historically men had dominated these institutions (and still do), the Sex Disqualification (Removal) Act of 1919 formally gave women the right to enter the professions, to escape the confines of the private house, and to achieve economic independence. Woolf cautions, however, that with this advance women are now in danger of reproducing the masculinist values that she identifies as the stimuli to war. Woolf communicates this dilemma in her response to a correspondent seeking funds for a woman’s college:

[W]e, daughters of educated men, are between the devil and the deep sea. Behind us lies the patriarchal system; the private house, with its nullity, its immorality, its hypocrisy, its servility. Before us lies the public world, the professional system, with its possessiveness, its jealousy, its pugnacity, its greed. The one shuts us up like slaves in a harem; the other forces us to circle, like caterpillars head to tail, round and round the mulberry tree, the sacred tree, of property. (90)

In light of such degrading circumstances, Woolf communicates a grave concern with women's role in perpetuating war by participating in the public sphere and urges women to cultivate counter-practices opposing hierarchical patriarchal values. Additionally, *Three Guineas* delineates the relationship between patriarchy, capitalism, fascism, and war, founded on a pointed critique *and* destabilization of the gendered division of private/domestic and public/political realms. As Woolf (in)famously declared, "the public and the private worlds are inseparably connected . . . the tyrannies and servilities of the one are the tyrannies and servilities of the other" (168).

Organized into three chapters and three corresponding sections of endnotes, *Three Guineas* not only experiments with essay and epistolary forms, but also exploits the value of source materials deemed illegitimate or secondary according to prevailing scholarly convention. In particular, *Three Guineas* employs "history in the raw": autobiographies, biographies, memoirs, and newspapers. Interspersed with this innovative deployment of source materials and textual space, Woolf includes five photographs of various English patriarchs elaborately dressed as they partake in public ceremonies. Strategically placed, these images serve as visual counterparts to Woolf's argument that in order to prevent

war, English society must discourage the pageantry of public patriarchal ceremonies that validate the roles that figure centrally in war-making processes.

The photographs presented in the text, moreover, are implicitly related to photographs sent from the battlefield of the Spanish Civil War that Woolf verbally describes throughout the text, but strategically does not display. Refusing to reproduce these images of “dead bodies and ruined houses” (116) caused by the fascist bombings of civilians in Spain is one of Woolf’s tactics for preventing war, as images of this nature inevitably evoke violent responses, she explains. This concern with the events of the Spanish Civil War situates Woolf’s essay in the debates of the thirties as “part of the discourse . . . among the European Left inspired by the dilemma of how to respond to the Spanish Civil War,” Jane Marcus writes (*xliv*). But Woolf would remain as one of the few who adhered to pacifism. “During the Spanish Civil War,” Hermione Lee notes, “only a minority . . . remained pacifists” (677). Woolf’s nephew Julian Bell, for example, had worked on a collection of pacifist tracts before deciding to drive an ambulance in Spain in support of the Republicans, where he was killed in 1937 (Lee 677).

Given Woolf’s sense of the war’s immediacy caused by the death of her nephew, it is perhaps easier to understand her preoccupation with demonstrating how home and abroad are interrelated. Woolf had long been concerned with dismantling the Victorian bourgeois ideology of separate spheres, which held that women reign supreme in the domestic realm while their husbands control public space, erecting a supposedly impregnable divide between the two. In *Three Guineas* Woolf further complicates the relationship between here and there, private and public, home and abroad, and her emphasis on geopolitical connections is central.

The feminist critic Susan Stanford Friedman has defined “the geopolitical” as a spatial axis, on par with the axes of gender, race, class, and sexuality, informing identities and social practices (109). “[T]hinking geopolitically,” Friedman explains, “means asking how a spatial entity—local, regional, national, transnational—inflects individual, collective, and cultural identities” (109-110). Friedman offers a sense of the ways that perspective and knowledge are situated within a geographical framework consisting of various spatial scales from the local to the global. Using her definition, in this chapter I argue that Woolf delineates geopolitical relations between home (on both a familial and a national level) and abroad in order to show that the threat of fascism exists not only elsewhere but also here in England. This is accomplished not only through the parallels drawn between English patriarchal practices and fascist ideology, but also through Woolf’s organization of the textual space of *Three Guineas*.

One important example of the relationship between public and private spheres occurs in Woolf’s references to photographs documenting the destruction caused by the Spanish Civil War. Not only do these images show the dead bodies of people, they also show “ruined houses” destroyed by bombs (14). Since decisions to wage war are made (by men) in the political/public arena, the battlefield takes on a “public” dimension. In a way, these shadow photographs show the “public” space of the battlefield intruding on domestic space in the most violent way possible.

While the photographs from the Spanish Civil War exemplify the most brutal conditions under which private and public space intersect, the rhetoric of English patriotism also betrays the meshing of private/public and domestic/national space. Quoting Lord Hewart, the Chief Justice of England, Woolf shows how the constitution of

the nation as a domestic space serves to arouse patriotic sentiment and justify war as a natural solution to threats abroad and at home:

Englishmen are proud of England. For those who have been trained in English schools and universities, and who have done the work of their lives in England, there are few loves stronger than the love we have for our country. When we consider other nations, when we judge the merits of the policy of this country or that, it is the standard of our own country that we apply. . . . Liberty has made her abode in England. England is the home of democratic institutions. . . . It is true that in our midst there are many enemies of liberty—some of them, perhaps, in rather unexpected quarters. But we are standing firm. It has been said that an Englishman's home is his Castle. The home of Liberty is in England. And it is a castle indeed—a castle that will be defended to the last. . . . (12; ellipses in original)

Here the invocation of home identifies the domestic and the national. Although Victorian separate sphere ideology had informed cultural constructions of home and nation, this rhetoric shows that private and public realms acquire meaning in relation to each other. Moreover, the image of home and nation advanced here is that of a place “where there is *imagined* to be the security of a . . . stability and an apparently reassuring boundedness” (Massey 169; emphasis added). Constructed in this way, the English home and the English nation are sites of absolute freedom that must be protected, at any cost, from “outside” threats. The trope of the nation as home becomes a vehicle for provoking war. But the rhetoric itself is self-undermining. How can England be “the home of Liberty”

when danger stalks even those “rather unexpected quarters,” perhaps a reference to threats inside the boundaries of the nation? Further, *Three Guineas* suggests that, from the perspective of the daughters of educated men, the patriarchs themselves threaten liberty by restricting women to domestic space. In *The Years*, the Pargiter daughters’ portrayal as practically confined to the home represents this form of severely truncated freedom in England, the supposed home of Liberty.

The home-nation equation derives its identity from nations abroad. Thus, Woolf reveals the geopolitical facet of jingoism. Although it is said that “Liberty has made her abode in England,” *Three Guineas* dramatizes how, from the point of view of gender, tyranny has made its abode in the English family home and therefore in the English nation. Hewart’s rhetoric also captures the sense of ownership that accompanies notions of home and nation—these places are owned by *Englishmen* specifically.

This sense of ownership of private and national space extends to men’s control over women. Taking a psychoanalytic approach, Woolf locates the origins of this will to oppress in the ‘infantile fixation,’ a subconscious desire to dominate. Echoing Freud, Woolf describes ‘infantile fixation’ as emerging from “some dark place below the level of conscious thought” that harbors emotions like fear and anger (154-55). This is important because, as Woolf observes, “fear and anger prevent real freedom in the private house” and the public realm, thereby having “a positive share in causing war” (154). For instance, in *The Years*, Col. Pargiter’s unconscious anger over Eleanor’s weekly trip to perform charity work at the Grove is manifest in his violent attack on the sugarcubes he stirs in his coffee. In *Three Guineas* Woolf illustrates how this psychology played out in the Victorian home of historical patriarchs, drawing on the lives of some nineteenth-

century women writers, including Elizabeth Barrett and Charlotte Brontë. Showing that despotism and not liberty has made its abode in the Victorian family home, Woolf presents “the most famous and the best authenticated” example: Mr. Barrett of Wimpole Street. Father to Elizabeth Barrett, this Victorian patriarch represents the tyranny characteristic of the upper-middle class home because he demanded that his daughter remain restricted to the home. When Elizabeth Barrett defied her father by marrying Robert Browning and escaping the confines of her father’s home, he “never forgave her for that act of disobedience” (155). By leaving home to marry the person of her own choosing, Elizabeth Barrett abandons the private domain ruled by her father.

Woolf goes on to show how the private tyranny typified by Mr. Barrett was socially sanctioned. “Nature, law and property,” Woolf insists, normalized the father’s control over daughters: “A daughter who left her father was an unnatural daughter; her womanhood was suspect. Should she persist further, then law came to [the father’s] help. A daughter who left her father had no means of supporting herself. The lawful professions were shut to her” (160). Woolf argues that the confinement of women to dependency is consonant with fascist ideology abroad in the present, as well as with the proto-fascist practices of ancient Greece.² English, German, Italian, and ancient Greek ideologies confine women to the private realm and prescribe a role of dutiful service to men, thereby divesting women of all power to partake in political processes in the public sphere. In England: ‘Homes are the real places of women. . . . The Government should give work to men’ (65); in Germany and Italy: ‘There are two worlds in the life of the nation, the world of men and the world of women. Nature has done well to entrust the man with the care of his family and nation. The woman’s world is her family, her

husband, her children, and her home'; in ancient Greece: 'man must be obeyed, in little things and in great, in just things and unjust. . . . and in no wise suffer a woman to worst us [the male rulers]' (167). By drawing out these parallels, Woolf develops geopolitical connections in order to foreground the despotic abuse happening here and now "in the heart of England" (65), and not only abroad.

Together with this focus on geopolitical relationships, Woolf sketches an analogy between the predicament of women confined to domestic space and the dilemma English patriarchs now face with the threat of Fascist domination. The geopolitical relationship here emphasizes a kind of re-assignment of dominant constructions of otherness:

abroad the monster has come more openly to the surface. There is no mistaking him there. He has widened his scope. He is interfering now with your liberty; he is dictating how you shall live; he is making distinctions not merely between the sexes, but between the races. You are feeling in your own persons what your mothers felt when they were shut out, when they were shut up, because they were women. Now you are being shut out, you are being shut up, because you are Jews, because you are democrats, because of race, because of religion. . . . The whole iniquity of dictatorship, whether in Oxford or Cambridge, in Whitehall or Downing Street, against Jews or against women, in England, or in Germany, in Italy or in Spain is now apparent to you. (122)

By making geopolitical connections across multiple spatial scales, Woolf situates English patriarchs in the same place as those who are deemed Other.

Woolf further elaborates on the inseparability of home and abroad through the deployment of textual space. In particular, the scholarly practice of endnoting is used heavily in *Three Guineas*. Conventionally, footnotes or endnotes display the sources used by an author to develop an argument and in effect tell the story of a writer's research process, implicitly serving a kind of narrative function. They occupy a textual space separate from and subordinate to the main text, so that reading of the primary text can proceed fluently, unimpeded by interruptions. This internal division ensures that each portion is relatively self-contained. In *Three Guineas* the traditional distinction appears to be maintained, but Woolf arranges these textual spaces so that endnotes and text interact, while the endnotes themselves serve a discursive as well as documentary or narrative function. Woolf complicates the hierarchical primacy of text over notes, as the endnotes articulate arguments that resonate emphatically with the "main" text. The relationship between text and notes in *Three Guineas* therefore produces a space that enables a dialogue between what is conventionally privileged (the main text) and what is conventionally marginalized (the endnotes).

By disrupting the conventional, hierarchical relationship between text and notes, Woolf problematizes the privileging of "authoritative" sources such as those published by educated men and the subordination of popular or historiographically deprivileged sources such as newspapers, memoirs, and biographies. For instance, in the second chapter of the main text Woolf responds to a female correspondent who seeks donations to rebuild a women's college. Ironically, in response to the request Woolf recruits an argument made by C. E. M. Joad, a prominent male philosopher, outspoken pacifist, and proponent of the antifascist Popular Front coalition in Spain (*Spartacus*). Although his

pacifism would appear to align with Woolf's politics, Joad was an obscene misogynist. According to him, women have been wholly ineffectual in preventing war, and he says that he "doubt[s] whether at any time during the last fifty years women have been more politically apathetic, more socially indifferent than at the present time" (52). Joad goes on to trivialize women's involvement in English politics: "the sooner they [women] give up the pretence of playing with public affairs and return to private life the better. If they cannot make a job of the House of Commons, let them at least make something of their own houses" (53). Here Woolf appropriates Joad's voice to expose its unreliability: the numbered note that leads readers to an endnote citation for the text in which Joad's statements appear also contains *another* citation. In the endnote Woolf explains that "it is unnecessary to take Mr. Joad's criticism seriously" because the other source, *The Story of the Disarmament Declaration*, contains a list, "too long to quote," of "the peace activities of professional, business and working-class women" (188n2). The placement of the citation for *The Story* within the endnote that references Joad's text establishes a dialectic *within* the note that contests and discredits Joad's argument. The endnote becomes just as important as the "main" text while demonstrating the value of polyvocal endnotes.

In addition to appropriating and undercutting Joad's text via endnotes, Woolf incorporates quotes from the popular English novelist and public figure H. G. Wells. Considering whether to donate for rebuilding a women's college on the grounds that the institution will help prevent war, Woolf paradoxically employs Wells as an authority on women's inability to counter war. "There has been no perceptible woman's movement to resist the practical obliteration of their freedom by Fascists or Nazis," Wells asserts (54). The endnote citation for Wells's quote, however, devitalizes Wells's assertion, showing

that men too have been unsuccessful in resisting fascism: Woolf intersperses a quote throughout the endnote citing Wells's text from an unspecified daily paper indicating that 'Nazis now control the whole of Austria' (188n3). This appropriation of a devalued source, the newspaper, undermines patriarchal authority and helps Woolf to sketch a geopolitical relationship. By selecting a quote that illustrates the Nazis' domination of Austria's national space, Woolf foregrounds how at home the male sex has failed in its attempts to stifle the spread of fascism abroad.

Together with the use of polyvocal, counterdiscursive endnotes, the deployment of textual space in *Three Guineas* reinforces Woolf's geopolitical argument about interconnections between here and there, fascist England and fascist nations abroad. Woolf divides her text into an essay portion and discursive footnotes, many of which are quite long. For instance, in chapter three of the essay, Woolf cites both Hitler and Mussolini as propagating woman's proper role as caregiver to the wounded soldier and maker of the home as a place of leisure for the man returning from his duties in the public realm (132). The numbered note that caps this sentence leads the reader to an endnote quoting William Gerhardi, a contemporaneous British novelist and playwright. According to Gerhardi, women are 'spiritual helpers who, endowed with a sensitive capacity for appreciation, may help the few of us [men] afflicted with genius to bear our cross with good grace. Their [women's] true role . . . is rather to hold out the sponge to us, cool our brow, while we bleed' (211n18). The parallels between fascists abroad and Englishman at home are obvious enough. By situating Gerhardi's quote in a distinct textual space that is "separate" from the space in which we find Woolf's paraphrasing of Hitler and Mussolini, Woolf forces the reader to shift focus from one textual space to

another, only to find a strikingly similar quote. The deployment of textual space in *Three Guineas* thus reinforces the notion that in the ideological opposition between here and there, the two terms are not simply separate, but also mutually informing. Even though the nations England, Italy, and Germany formally occupy distinct geographical spaces, all three nations promulgate fascist ideology. The primary geopolitical connections made in *Three Guineas* between England, Spain, Germany, Italy, and Greece articulate the imbrication of geographical spaces. Within this transnational framework, Woolf stresses the place of the home. As Friedman observes, “the local is for Woolf co-complicit with the national and international” (118). Since patriarchal authority in the English private home resonates with totalitarianism abroad, fascism at home can be seen occupying a continuum with fascism abroad. In a sense, the innovative use of textual space in *Three Guineas* replicates the relationship between geopolitically “separate” nations. The peripheral status of endnotes is challenged as Woolf composes endnotes polyvocally, discursively, and narrationally. This move, in turn, questions the authority of scholarly texts because within a particular endnote, delegitimized sources penetrate and undercut “authoritative” sources. Through these geopolitical links and the deployment of textual space, Woolf reels in fascism elsewhere into the here of England.

Examining the organization of textual space in *Three Guineas* illuminates Woolf’s politics of space and place, as does an examination of the intertextual relationship between *Three Guineas* and *The Years*. As we know, Woolf originally conceived of these texts as one work, an experimental “essay-novel” to be called *The Pargiters*. Ultimately, Woolf abandoned her experiment in favor of two separate works, one fictional and one non-fictional. But Woolf continued to think of these two as “one

work.” Therefore in the next chapter I explore intertextual relations between *The Years* and *Three Guineas* in order to better understand Woolf’s project of analyzing relations between domestic, national, transnational, and textual spaces.

Chapter Four: Conclusion

Textual Dis/Junction, Textual Con/Junction:

The Pargiters, The Years and Three Guineas

In *The Pargiters*,¹ Woolf sought to create a form that would accommodate both vision and fact by counterposing each fictional chapter with a corresponding essay. The essay sections would comment on the fiction, drawing information from factual sources such as biographies, autobiographies, memoirs, and newspapers in order to analyze the social implications of the fictional chapters. But after completing five chapters and six essays constituting an experimental “Novel-Essay”, Woolf felt, in Mitchell Leaska’s words, that “the truth of fact and the truth of fiction could not meet in felicitous alliance” (xiv). Ultimately, she believed that “the factual matter which would constitute the Essay portions was weighty substance that somehow collided with the artistic design she originally planned” (Leaska xiv). This discrepancy between fact and imagination compelled Woolf to abandon *The Pargiters*; separating vision and fact, she expanded the fictional portion into a sweeping depiction of English social and family dynamics in *The Years*, while the factual essays developed into a comprehensive critique of fascism and English patriarchy in *Three Guineas*.

Perhaps Woolf did her readers a service by aborting the “Novel-Essay” structure. The decision to combine both forms within one textual space seemed to foster a didacticism antagonistic to Woolf’s anti-authoritarian politics. The factual commentary, in part, tells the reader how to read the fictional chapters. For example, the “Second

Essay” analyzes the “First Chapter” depicting the Pargiter daughters’ confinement to the home and the Pargiter sons’ access to the public sphere and formal education. Woolf’s Essay then comments on the discrepancy between the cost of the Pargiter sons’ education and the Pargiter daughters’ meager educational expenses, explaining how middle class women’s exclusion from a formal education and restriction to the home made available the funds needed to educate boys and men. She substantiates this assertion with evidence that providing a son with a formal education in 1880 would have cost about three hundred pounds a year (30). Counterposing this fact with a quote that reappears in *Three Guineas* from the biography of the middle class Victorian woman Mary Kingsley—‘being allowed to learn German was *all* the paid-for education I ever had’—Woolf concludes that the average spent on educating the daughters of educated men was around fifty pounds a year (31). The strategy of using facts to excavate the implications of the fiction, while informative, potentially limits the reader’s imaginative processes in responding to the fiction. In a sense, Woolf controls the reader’s response through her commentary’s suggestion that in order to understand the fiction, the facts of her choosing must be consulted. This approach seems to privilege factual sources over fictional material.

Woolf, however, was conscious of the parochialism of the interchapter essays, deciding ultimately to excise them. Her decision to separate the two does not mean that they are mutually exclusive, though. Although by virtue of their publication as different texts they occupy apparently distinct textual spaces, an intimate relationship exists between them. Moreover, this textual division facilitates a complementary relationship between the two without hindering the reader’s response. Continuing the themes of

here/there, home/abroad, and inside/outside of the preceding chapters, in this chapter I argue that by separating the factual from the fictional, Woolf further emphasizes the politics of spatial relationships. I will briefly consider the relationships between outside and inside, here and there, home and abroad as a function of Woolf's spatial politics by looking at *The Years* and *Three Guineas* as parts of a deliberately divided whole.

In *Three Guineas*, Woolf's narrator likens the English patriarchal system to a "procession of the sons of educated men" (84). Professional men, exclusively permitted to partake in English politics, constitute the procession. Interestingly, Woolf uses this term both literally and figuratively. The term *procession* literally designates a linear movement in and through space. Thus Woolf emphasizes the significance of spatial arrangements in sustaining patriarchal rule. *Procession* also stresses the militaristic undertones of patriarchal order, as armies generally march "in line" or "in step." Moreover, the ceremonies that celebrate English patriarchy, like those depicted in the photographs integrated throughout the text of *Three Guineas*, take the form of a straight line in which the hierarchical structure of patriarchy is replicated. For instance, the images of military (25), academic (32), judicial (75), and religious (144) ceremonies show the leaders of these respective institutions at the head of a procession. Metaphorically, the procession involves the institutions and subjects that reinforce the values—money-making at any cost, 'pride of nationality, religion, sex, family, college, school' (97)—that Woolf interprets as encouraging war. Further, the procession is analogized to "a caravanserai crossing the desert," perhaps conjuring images of imperial conquest in Africa and India, thereby implicating the relationship between here and there, home and abroad (74).

While men dominate the institutions and practices that make up the procession, the granting of women's legal right to enter the professions in 1919 gave women an opportunity to participate in the public realm. An important step toward equality, this advancement also posed the threat of women's co-optation by the patriarchal structure. Essentially, Woolf precociously recognized that women would ultimately reproduce masculinist values by virtue of their participation in the professions. Woolf goes so far as to tell her interlocutor that if women imitate patriarchal values and practices "we should merge our identity into yours" (124). As Woolf observes, "For there, traipsing along at the tail end of the procession, we go ourselves. And that makes a difference" (74). The difference she refers to is women's potential for participating in the professions in a way that undermines the values that provoke war. She poses the question, "here and now, do we wish to join that procession or don't we?" (74). For Woolf, what happens in the here and now regarding women's professional involvement has far-reaching effects, including the possibility of instigating war not only at home but also abroad.

When Woolf's narrator answers a letter from an honorary treasurer of a women's college asking for donations to help the daughters of educated men become professional women, she makes her gift conditional on the treasurer's agreeing that the women's college will not fall in line with the procession of educated men. In her response, Woolf makes a startling connection between the values perpetuated by a university education and the values that encourage war. When the proto-feminist activist Sophia Jex-Blake sought admission to the Edinburgh Royal College of Surgeons in 1869, she encountered violent opposition. The narrator quotes a newspaper article describing how nearly 200 male students guarded the gates of the building so that a woman could not enter their

perceived property (79-80). 'Nothing would induce the authorities encamped within the sacred gates to allow women to enter,' she explains: "They said that God was on their side, Nature was on their side, Law was on their side, and Property was on their side. The college was founded for the benefit of men only; men only were entitled by law to benefit from its endowments" (80). From this evidence Woolf's narrator deduces that the type of education professors offer at the ancient universities teaches the sons of educated men to use force as an acceptable and natural response to a threat like women entering "their" property. This possessive, violent behavior, according to her, "prove[s] that education, far from teaching the educated generosity and magnanimity," inculcates militaristic practices in reaction to a challenge to the dominant social order. The narrator asks, "Are we not right in thinking that if we enter the same professions we shall acquire the same qualities? And do not such qualities lead to war?" (81).

Although no comparable situation is depicted in *The Years*, Woolf's portrayal of Kitty's teacher Lucy Craddock communicates the potential for educated women who earn their own livings to fall in line with the male procession. This does not mean that Miss Craddock's achievements are not of great value; instead Woolf complicates an idealization of a woman who has achieved economic independence. Kitty reveres her teacher, a learned and hard-working woman teaching individual pupils and living in Oxford with decidedly meager accommodations due to educated women's' exclusion from university jobs and thus university salaries. But Miss Craddock's fondness for educated men like Dr. Andrews might be an oblique reference to Lucy's potential for joining "the procession." Kitty, the daughter of an Oxford Don whose position dictates he entertain distinguished professors, guests, and undergraduates, is constantly in the

presence of the men in the procession like Dr. Andrews. Perhaps envious of Kitty's position, Miss Craddock scolds her pupil when Kitty speaks dismissively of Dr. Andrews, a man Lucy calls 'the greatest historian of our age' (62). Furthermore, the narrator explains that Miss Craddock, admiring Kitty, "was thinking how wonderful it was to be young and lovely and to meet brilliant men" (62). The brilliant men Lucy imagines are the professors who educate the sons of educated men. Kitty, however, knows all too well how "brilliant men" like Dr. Andrews behave in the company of young ladies. When Miss Craddock calls Dr. Andrews the "greatest historian of our age," Kitty remarks, 'Well, he doesn't talk history to me,' and the narrator notes that Kitty immediately recalls "the damp feel of a heavy hand on her knee" (62).

Obviously, Dr. Andrews does not take women's scholarly potential seriously, and the sleazy sexual advance on Kitty suggests that for him women are objects of his desire. Moreover, Dr. Andrews and his students perhaps represent those same men who violently guarded the gates to the college so that Sophia Jex-Blake would be excluded from a university education. Given her veneration of professors like Dr. Andrews, Lucy Craddock may be seen as partly complicit with the English institutions that Woolf sees as perpetuating war. Even though she is materially and spatially marginalized and outside of the procession, Miss Craddock simultaneously replicates the values of professors like Dr. Andrews and thus is inside the procession. Within this network of patriarchal values, the separation of inside from outside is muted.

While Lucy represents the possibility of women being complicit with the procession of the sons of educated men, Woolf proposes an "Outsider's Society" as a corrective to this dilemma in *Three Guineas*. The Outsider's Society is necessary because Woolf understands

that women must enter the professions in order to achieve financial independence. In response to the male barrister's letter asking her to join a society in the prevention of war, Woolf boldly refuses to do so because she interprets his society as a hierarchical organization and thus a component in the war-making machine, the procession. Woolf defines the Outsiders as being "without office, meetings, leaders or any hierarchy" (135). Further, an Outsider's Society member must

bind herself to take no share in patriotic demonstrations, to assent to no form of national self-praise; to make no part of any claue or audience that encourages war; to absent herself from military displays, tournaments, tattoos, prize-givings and all such ceremonies that as encourage the desire to impose 'our' civilization or 'our' dominion upon other people. (129)

Although the Outsider's Society operates within English society, it also remains outside of the procession, the practices that reproduce the English nation and its sense of superiority. In addition, the procession itself is linked to places elsewhere in that public ceremonies validate imperial conquest, "the desire to impose 'our' civilization . . . on other people." The relationship between the here of the procession and the there of colonized nations emerges as interwoven.

In the final chapter of *The Years*, "Present Day," outsider practices are subtly foregrounded through the depiction of the youngest generation of Pargiters, the siblings Peggy and North. Peggy, a doctor, represents professional women. But her portrayal suggests that although she is in a position to perpetuate the values that encourage war, Peggy is critical of her profession. Pondering the stifling effects of the professional system in English society, Peggy thinks, "the professions; not to live; not to feel; to make money, always money" (337).

These thoughts resonate with the assertion in *Three Guineas* that the “money factor” engenders corrupt practices in that people in the professions will do whatever it takes, even provoke war, in order to acquire money (89-90). Moreover, Peggy belittles, perhaps in jest but with at least a smattering of seriousness, her own profession. When Eleanor asks her to explain what dreams mean, Peggy responds, “doctors know very little about the body; absolutely nothing about the mind” (*The Years* 365).

Because of Peggy’s attitude toward her own profession, she could be seen as a member of the Outsider’s society, refusing to participate in the procession that leads to war. Perhaps her most defiant act or non-act, however, is her refusal to have children (*The Years* 376). For Woolf, this is a strong example of pacifist activism, in that Peggy will not supply “cannon fodder” for the nation and its wars. Peggy’s position on the subject of children resonates with a conviction that Woolf espouses in the endnotes to *Three Guineas*: “one method by which [women] can help to prevent war is to refuse to bear” the children who could one day become soldiers or professionals who encourage war (173n10). Although Peggy is inside the professional system by virtue of her occupation, her attitude and practices locate her outside the procession as well.

Peggy’s brother North, a World War I veteran who has lived in Africa and is just returning to England for the first time since the Great War, is also a Woolfian outsider. After conversing with his ultra-patriotic Anglo-Irish uncle Patrick about the righteousness of the British Empire, North has a revelation of insight over “another life; a different life”:

Not halls and reverberating microphones; not marching in step after leaders, in herds, groups, societies caparisoned. No; to begin inwardly, and let the devil take the outer form. . . . Not black shirts, green shirts, red shirts. . . . Why not

down barriers and simplify? But a world, he thought, that was all one jelly, one mass, would be a rice pudding world, a white counterpane world. (389)

Here North understands that to value the hierarchical construction of English society, “marching in step after leaders,” forecloses the possibility of real change in the self and thus in society and the world. But North also determines that a homogenized world is undesirable, respecting heterogeneity while realizing the inseparability of inside and outside, here and there. Furthermore, the reference to “black shirts” invokes the literal presence of fascism in England at the time, since this term referred to members of Oswald Mosley’s British Union of Fascists. As Moseley’s followers gained support, “there were increasingly violent clashes between the Blackshirts and the anti-Fascists,” Hermione Lee explains (635).

Considering the relationship between *The Years* and *Three Guineas* provides a clearer understanding of the concept of “the procession of educated men” advanced in *Three Guineas*. Correspondingly, the relationship between inside/outside and insiders/outsiders is shown to be both spatially- and socially-constituted. Subtly showing the ease with which women can comply with the patriarchal system that in Lucy Craddock of *The Years* not only demonstrates how the inside/outside dualism is a cultural construction, but also illustrates how an individual can be both marginalized by and recruited to propagate the values of English patriarchy. Furthermore, the Outsider’s Society that Woolf advocates in *Three Guineas* and obscurely portrays in *The Years* underscores how individuals can be inside the social system but still remain outside the reproduction of its dominant values, thereby effecting changes at home and abroad, here and there. The deployment of distinct textual spaces for each text, moreover, enables the

reader to make connections between the two works without imposing a specific reading on her. Rather, she is invited to think through for herself the intertextual relationship between here and there, fact and fiction.

It may seem ironic that Woolf gave up her struggle to combine fiction and fact into one textual space in *The Pargiters*, given the deliberate undermining of ideologically separate spaces found in much of her writing. It may appear that she succumbed to conventional pressures dictating a firm distinction between fact and fiction. Yet her decision to make *The Years* a textual space of fiction and *Three Guineas* a textual space of fact also works to underscore the relational nature of distinct spaces: through shared themes, tropes and motifs the two texts complement and evoke one another in such a way that their spatial separation paradoxically becomes a strategy for emphasizing their interrelatedness. This, in turn, complicates the idea that the “proper” place of imagination is the novel form and the proper place of fact is the essay or non-fiction. This effect could not have been achieved had Woolf carried out her original plan of housing *The Years* and *Three Guineas* in one textual space; her decision to abort this approach suggests that she understood this. In addition, the relationship between space and subjectivity dramatized in *The Years* corresponds to shifts in consciousness that Woolf tries to evoke in her readers through the organization of textual space in *Three Guineas*. In this sense their intertextual dynamic communicates one of the most important features of Woolf’s politicized spaces: a transformed understanding of spatial absolutes as open to change that intersects with a transformational understanding of the constructedness of dominant cultural ideologies concerning nation, family, gender, and the relationship of everyday dualisms such as home and abroad, here and there, inside and outside.

Notes

Chapter One:

1. It is important to note that the sequencing of chapters is irregular in that the novel's temporal organization follows no discernible pattern. For example, the 1880 chapter is followed by the 1891 chapter which is succeeded by the 1907 chapter. Perhaps Woolf sought to emphasize the intimate relationship between time and space through the formal organization of the novel.
2. In this chapter my use of quotation marks for the terms *here*, *there*, *home*, *elsewhere*, and *abroad* serves to call attention to the constructed nature of these concepts. In the interest of readability, I will discontinue using quotation marks in subsequent chapters.

Chapter Two:

1. For an analysis of Rose's experience and the dangers posed by women's access to public space, see Evans, who suggests that threats of sexual assault for middle-class women in the streets reinforced the separation of public and private realms (116).
2. McNees identifies the Grove as Lison Grove in Marylebone, Westminster (419n).
3. Tellingly, according to *Wikipedia*, the thrush and the nightingale are of the *passerine* species, and the nightingale was once classified as a member of the thrush family.

Chapter Three:

1. Woolf devised the expression “the daughters of educated men” because she did not believe that women belonged to the same class as their middle-class fathers or husbands, given that they could not own property or sustain themselves financially. Moreover, she observed, women also lost their nationality if they married non-Englishmen. To refer to the daughters of educated men as “middle-class” would therefore be a misnomer; women constitute a class of their own. The awkwardness of the phrase is deliberate, calling attention to the inadequacy of existing social and political categories to the analysis of women’s situation. Woolf spoke as one of the “daughters of educated men” because she felt that in order to effect change, one should organize one’s own class rather than intervening in the causes of other classes. .

2. Woolf indicates that the origins of Western civilization in ancient Greece are anchored in totalitarianism. Calling attention to the portrayal of Creon in Sophocles’s *Antigone*, she interprets this character as a dictator who, like Hitler, Mussolini, and English patriarchs like those depicted in *Three Guineas*, asserts that only men should govern political affairs and responds with violence to any questioning of his authority, his “absolute rule over his subjects” (98). When Antigone challenges Creon’s authority by performing her brother’s burial rites, Creon “shut her not in . . . a concentration camp, but in a tomb” (Woolf 167).

Chapter Four:

1. Mitchell Leaska edited and published the manuscript containing the five fictional chapters and six essays titled *The Pargiters: The Novel Essay portion of “The Years”* (1977).

Works Cited

- Cresswell, Timothy. *Place: A Short Introduction*. Malden, MA: Blackwell, 2004. Print.
- Evans, Elizabeth F. "Woolf's Exploration of 'The Outer and the Inner': A Spatial Analysis of *The Years*." *Woolf and the Art of Exploration: Selected Papers from the Fifteenth International Conference on Virginia Woolf*. Eds. Helen Southworth and Elisa Kay Sparks. Clemson: Clemson UP, 2006. 112-19. Print.
- Foucault, Michel. "Questions on Geography." *Power/Knowledge: Selected Interviews and Other Writings, 1972-1977*. Ed. Colin Gordon. Trans. Colin Gordon, et al. New York: Pantheon Books, 1980. 63-77. Print.
- Friedman, Susan Stanford. *Mappings: Feminism and the Cultural Geographies of Encounter*. Princeton: Princeton U P, 1998. Print.
- Hussey, Mark. Preface. *Three Guineas*. By Virginia Woolf. Orlando: Harcourt, 2006. ix-xviii. Print.
- Hussey, Mark. *Virginia Woolf: A – Z*. Oxford: Oxford U P, 1996. Print.
- Jameson, Frederic. "Postmodernism and Consumer Society." 1988. *The Norton Anthology of Theory and Criticism*. Ed. Vincent B. Leitch. New York: Norton, 2001. 1960-74. Print.
- Lee, Hermione. *Virginia Woolf*. New York: Vintage, 1997. Print.
- Lefebvre, Henri. *The Production of Space*. 1974. Trans. Donald Nicholson-Smith. Oxford: Blackwell, 1991. Print.

- Marcus, Jane. Introduction. *Three Guineas*. By Virginia Woolf. Orlando: Harcourt, 2006. xxxv-lxxii. Print.
- Massey, Doreen. *Space, Place, and Gender*. Minneapolis: U of Minnesota P, 1994. Print.
- McDowell, Linda. *Gender, Identity and Place: Understanding Feminist Geographies*. Minneapolis: U of Minnesota P, 1999.
- McNees, Eleanor. Introduction. *The Years*. By Virginia Woolf. Orlando: Harcourt, 2008. xli-lxxxiii. Print.
- Pawlowski, Merry. "Virginia Woolf's Veil: The Feminist Intellectual and the Organization of Public Space." *Modern Fiction Studies* 53.4 (2007): 722-51. Print.
- . "'Where Am I?': Feminine Space and Time in Virginia Woolf's *The Years*." *Literary Landscapes: From Modernism to Postcolonialism*. Eds. Attie de Lange, et al. New York: Palgrave, 2008. 75-91. Print.
- Peach, Linden. "'Re-reading Sickert's Interiors': Woolf, English Art and the Representation of Domestic Space." *Locating Woolf: The Politics of Space and Place*. Eds. Anna Snaith and Michael H. Whitworth. New York: Palgrave, 2007. 65-80. Print.
- Sheilds, Rob. "Henri Lefebvre." *Key Thinkers on Space and Place*. Eds. Phil Hubbard, Rob Kitchin, and Gill Valentine. London: Sage, 2004. 208-13. Print.
- Showalter, Elaine. *A Literature of their Own: British Women Novelists from Brontë to Lessing*. Princeton: Princeton U P, 1977. Print.
- Snaith, Anna. Introduction. *Palgrave Advances in Virginia Woolf Studies*. Ed. Anna Snaith. London: Palgrave, 2007. 1-15. Print.

- Snaith, Anna and Michael Whitworth. "Introduction." *Locating Woolf: The Politics of Space and Place*. Eds. Anna Snaith and Michael Whitworth. New York: Palgrave, 2007. 1-28. Print.
- Soja, Edward W. and Allen J. Scott. "Introduction to Los Angeles City and Region." *The City: Los Angeles and Urban Theory at the End of the Twentieth Century*. Eds. Allen J. Scott and Edward W. Soja. Berkeley: U of California P, 1996. 1-21. Print.
- Solomon, Julie Robin. "Staking Ground: The Politics of Space in Virginia Woolf's *A Room of One's Own* and *Three Guineas*." *Women's Studies* 16 (1989): 331-47. Print.
- Son, Youngjoo. *Here and Now: The Politics of Social Space in D. H. Lawrence and Virginia Woolf*. New York: Routledge, 2006. Print.
- Williams, Raymond. *The Country and the City*. 1973. *Literary Theory: An Anthology*. Eds. Julie Rivkin and Michael Ryan. Malden, MA.: Blackwell, 2004. 508-32.
- Woolf, Virginia. *The Diary of Virginia Woolf*. Ed. Anne Olivier Bell. Vol. 4 (1931-35). New York: Harcourt, 1982. Print.
- . *The Pargiters: The Novel-Essay Portion of "The Years"*. Ed. Mitchell A. Leaska. New York: Harvest, 1978. Print.
- . *The Years*. 1937. Orlando: Harcourt, 2008. Print.
- . *Three Guineas*. 1938. Orlando: Harcourt, 2006. Print.

Bibliography

- Burrells, Anna, et al, eds. *Woolfian Boundaries: Selected Papers from the Fifteenth Annual International Conference on Virginia Woolf*. Clemson: Clemson U Digital P, 2007. Print.
- Cantrell, Carol H. "'The Locus of Compossibility': Virginia Woolf, Modernism, and Place." *The Isle Reader: Ecocriticism, 1993-2003*. Eds. Michael P. Branch and Scott Slovic. Athens: U of Georgia P, 2003. 33-48. Print.
- Childers, Mary M. "Virginia Woolf on the Outside Looking Down: Reflections on the Class of Women." *Modern Fiction Studies* 38.1 (1992): 61-79. Print.
- De Weille, Karin. "Terra Incognita of the Soul: Woolf's Challenge to the Imperialist's Concept of Space." *Woolf and the Art of Exploration: Selected Papers from the Fifteenth International Conference on Virginia Woolf*. Eds. Helen Southworth and Elisa Kay Sparks. Clemson: Clemson UP, 2006. 95-102. Print.
- Foster, Thomas. *Transformations of Domesticity in Modern Women's Writing: Homelessness at Home*. New York: Palgrave, 2002. Print.
- Gillespie, Diane F. "Godiva Still Rides: Virginia Woolf, Divestiture, and *Three Guineas*." *Woolf and the Art of Exploration: Selected Papers from the Fifteenth International Conference on Virginia Woolf*. Eds. Helen Southworth and Elisa Kay Sparks. Clemson: Clemson UP, 2006. 2-27. Print.
- Hanson, Clare. "Virginia Woolf in the House of Love: Compulsory Heterosexuality in *The Years*." *Journal of Gender Studies* 6.1 (1997): 55-62. Print.

- Harvey, Kathryn. "Politics 'Through Different Eyes': *Three Guineas* and Writings by Members of the Women's International League for Peace and Freedom." *Virginia Woolf: Texts and Contexts: Selected Papers from the Fifth Annual Conference on Virginia Woolf*. Eds. Beth Rigel Daugherty and Eileen Barrett. New York: Pace U P, 1996. 235-240. Print.
- Janardanan, N. "The Problem of Unity in Virginia Woolf's *The Years*." *Journal of English Studies* 3 (1980): 28-37. Print.
- Levenback, Karen L. "Virginia Woolf and Returning Soldiers: The Great War and the Reality of Survival in *Mrs. Dalloway* and *The Years*." *Woolf Studies Annual* 2 (1996): 71-88. Print.
- Marder, Herbert. "Beyond the Lighthouse: *The Years*." *Bucknell Review* 15.1 (1967): 61-70. Print.
- McDowell, Linda. "Spatializing Feminism: Geographic Perspectives." *BodySpace: Destabilizing Geographies of Gender and Sexuality*. Ed. Nancy Duncan. London: Routledge, 1996. 28-44. Print.
- McVicker, Jeanette. "Woolf in the Context of Fascism: Ideology, Hegemony and the Public Sphere." *Virginia Woolf: Texts and Contexts: Selected Papers from the Fifth Annual Conference on Virginia Woolf*. Eds. Beth Rigel Daugherty and Eileen Barrett. New York: Pace UP, 1996. 30-35. Print.
- Naccarato, Peter. "Re-Defining Feminist Fiction in *The Years*." *Virginia Woolf: Turning the Centuries: Selected Papers from the Ninth Annual Conference on Virginia Woolf*. Eds. Ann Ardis and Bonnie Kime Scott. New York: Pace UP, 2000. 199-206. Print.

- Pawlowski, Merry, ed. *Virginia Woolf and Fascism*. New York: Palgrave, 2001.
- Pether, Penelope. "Their Father's House: Foster, Woolf, and the Aesthetics of Patriotism." *Journal of the Sydney Society of Literature and Aesthetics* 2 (1992): 35-52. Print.
- Phillips, Kathy J. "Woolf's Criticism of the British Empire in *The Years*." *Virginia Woolf Miscellanies*. Eds. Mark Hussey and Vara Neverow-Turk. New York: Pace U P, 1992. 30-31. Print.
- Ratcliffe, Krista. "A Rhetoric of Textual Feminism: (Re)Reading the Emotional in Virginia Woolf's *Three Guineas*." *Rhetoric Review* 11.2 (1993): 400-417. Print.
- Saariluoma, Lisa. "Virginia Woolf's *The Years*: Identity and Time in an Anti-Family Novel." *Orbis Litterarum: International Review of Literary Studies* 54.4 (1999): 276-300. Print.
- Smith, Lenora Penna. "Rooms and the Construction of the Feminine Self." *Virginia Woolf: Themes and Variations: Selected Papers from the Second Annual Conference on Virginia Woolf*. Eds. Vara Neverow-Turk and Mark Hussey. New York: Pace UP, 1993. 216-25. Print.
- Snaith, Anna. "'At Gordon Sq. and Nowhere Else': The Spatial and Social Politics of Bloomsbury." *Virginia Woolf: Turning the Centuries: Selected Papers from the Ninth Annual Conference on Virginia Woolf*. Eds. Ann Ardis and Bonnie Kime Scott. New York: Pace UP, 2000. 256-65. Print.
- , ed. *Palgrave Advances in Virginia Woolf Studies*. New York: Palgrave, 2007. Print.
- . *Virginia Woolf: Public and Private Negotiations*. New York: Palgrave, 2000. Print.
- Southworth, Helen. "'Mixed Virginia': Reconciling the 'Stigma of Nationality' and the

- Sting of Nostalgia in Virginia Woolf's Later Fiction." *Woolf Studies Annual* 11 (2005): 99-132. Print.
- Squier, Susan M. "Virginia Woolf's London and the Feminist Revision of Modernism." *City Images: Perspectives from Literature, Philosophy, and Film*. Ed. Mary Ann Caws. New York: Gordon & Breach, 1991. 99-119. Print.
- Swanson, Diana L. "An Antigone Complex? Psychology and Politics in *The Years* and *Three Guineas*." *Woolf Studies Annual* 3 (1997): 28-44. Print.
- Utell, Janine. "Leaving Her Father's House: Sackville-West's *Saint Joan of Arc* and Woolf's *Three Guineas*." *Virginia Woolf Miscellany* 69 (2006): 7-8. Print.
- Whitworth, Michael. "Porous Objects: Self, Community, and the Nature of Matter." *Virginia Woolf Out of Bounds: Selected Papers from the Tenth Annual Conference on Virginia Woolf*. Eds. Jessica Berman and Jane Goldman. New York: Pace UP, 2001. 151-56. Print.
- Zimring, Rishona. "Suggestions of Other Worlds: The Art of Sound in *The Years*." *Woolf Studies Annual* 8 (2002): 127-56. Print.