

2003

News, Notes, Comments

North American Bird Bander

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.usf.edu/nabb>

Recommended Citation

North American Bird Bander (2003) "News, Notes, Comments," *North American Bird Bander*. Vol. 28 : Iss. 3 , Article 4.

Available at: <https://digitalcommons.usf.edu/nabb/vol28/iss3/4>

This Contents is brought to you for free and open access by the Searchable Ornithological Research Archive at Digital Commons @ University of South Florida. It has been accepted for inclusion in North American Bird Bander by an authorized editor of Digital Commons @ University of South Florida. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@usf.edu.

National Fish and Wildlife Foundation provided financial and logistical support. We thank T. Campbell, S. Eaton, S. Stiver, and C. R. Tracy for long-term logistical support and S. Baab, C. Elphick, P. Pyle, J. M. Reed, and an anonymous reviewer for helpful manuscript reviews. We thank the many volunteers and students who participated in quail trapping and flock maintenance across many years.

LITERATURE CITED

- Brennan, L. A. 1994. Broad-scale population declines in four species of North American quail: an examination of possible causes. Pp. 160-169 in *Sustainable ecological systems: implementing an ecological approach to land management*. Gen. Tech. Rep. RM-247. USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins, CO.
- Delehanty, D. J. 1997. Mountain Quail reproduction and restoration in the Great Basin. Ph.D. Dissertation, Univ. Nevada, Reno.
- Dwight, J., Jr. 1900. The molt of the North American Tetraonidae (quails, partridges, and grouse). *Auk* 17:34-51; 143-166.
- Gutiérrez, R. J. and D. J. Delehanty. 1999. Mountain Quail (*Oreortyx pictus*). In *The birds of North America*. No 457 (A. Poole and F. Gill, eds). The Birds of North America, Inc., Philadelphia, PA.
- Larson, J. S. and R. D. Tabor. 1980. Pp. 143-202 in *Wildlife management techniques manual*, 4th edition (S. D. Schemnitz, editor). The Wildlife Society, Washington, D.C.
- Leopold, A. S. 1939. Age determination in quail. *J. Wildl. Manage.* 3:261-265.
- Pyle, P. 1997. Identification guide to North American birds. Slate Creek Press, Bolinas, CA.
- Smith, D. S. and J. R. Cain. 1984. Criteria for age classification of juvenile Scaled Quail. *J. Wildl. Manage.* 48:187-191.
- Smithe, F. B. 1975-1981. Naturalist's color guide. Three Parts. Am. Mus. Nat. Hist., NY.
- Sumner, E. L., Jr. 1935. A life history study of the California Quail, with recommendations for conservation and management. *Cal. Fish Game* 21:167-256; 275-342.
- van Rossem, A. J. 1925. Flight feathers as age indicators in *Dendrapagus*. *Ibis* 67:417-422.
- Wallmo, O. C. 1956. Determination of sex and age of Scaled Quail. *J. Wildl. Manage.* 20:154-158.
- White, G. C., A. B. Franklin, and T. M. Shenk. 2002. Estimating parameter of PVA models from data on marked animals. Pp. 169-190 in *Population Viability Analysis* (S. R. Beissinger and D. R. McCullough, eds). University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL.

News, Notes, Comments

Erratum: Vol.28 No. 2, Inside Front Cover Misidentification of Species

As many *NABB* readers pointed out (a list too long to print here), the inside cover of *NABB* 28(2) incorrectly labeled the cover illustration as a Black-and-white Warbler. The caption should have indicated that the bird illustrated was a Blackburnian Warbler. The Production Manager apologizes to the artist and readers, and thanks Dr. George West for providing a graphic of a Black-and-white Warbler for the cover of this issue.

Readers may be interested to know that Dr. West's drawings and sketches are available for purchase at: birch@birchsidestudios.com

Robert Pantle Prod. Mgr.

Erratum: Vol.27 No. 4, pages 127-129

Recommended Band Size for Spotted Towhees: A Suggested Revision by Rita R. Colwell

Seventeen females are shown in the Tables on p.128, but, in error, only 16 were used for calculations. The missing individual was the bird whose wing length was 81 mm and weight was 33.7 g. Corrected calculations, including all 17 females, are: wing length range = 75-81 mm, mean = 77.5 mm; weight range = 33.7-41.4 g, mean = 37.75 g. The author thanks Walter Sakai for pointing out this oversight.