
January 1984

Acknowledgments

Florida Field Naturalist

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.usf.edu/ffn>

Recommended Citation

Florida Field Naturalist (1984) "Acknowledgments," *Florida Field Naturalist*. Vol. 12 : Iss. 4 , Article 9.
Available at: <https://digitalcommons.usf.edu/ffn/vol12/iss4/9>

This Editorial is brought to you for free and open access by the Searchable Ornithological Research Archive at Digital Commons @ University of South Florida. It has been accepted for inclusion in Florida Field Naturalist by an authorized editor of Digital Commons @ University of South Florida. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@usf.edu.

Florida's breeding bird atlas project is still in the planning stage with Dr. Herbert Kale, II, as state organizer. Its goal is to define the breeding ranges of bird species in Florida. Randomly selected locations throughout the state will be surveyed. This will potentially involve a large number of people over several years. M. Udvardy organized the North American Ornithological Atlas Committee at the Asilomar Conference to encourage atlas projects in as many states and provinces of Canada, Mexico, and USA as possible. Laughlin et al. (1982, *Amer. Birds* 36: 6-19) provide an overview of bird atlas projects in the United States.

Of the five avian censusing projects discussed that involve amateur participation, the CBC, BBC, and WBPS could benefit the most from technical improvement. Coupled with vegetation data, the BBC and WBPS can be excellent sources of data on bird-habitat relations and interspecific interactions. Improvements in the quality of these data can be made by more rigorous adherence to standards already established by the International Bird Censusing Committee. With immense human population growth projected for Florida over the next 20 years, solid baseline data on bird populations in many habitats is invaluable for detecting any deleterious impact of this growth.

"Estimating numbers of terrestrial birds" is a watershed volume encompassing many refinements of censusing techniques and statistical treatment of data. Although many amateurs may find it too technical, consulting the Proceedings for up-to-date work on censusing problems and revised methods is profitable for anyone interested in scientific bird censusing. Expansion of improved bird censusing efforts such as the BBC and WBPS is needed in Florida. I hope that interested birders around the state will consult this tremendous source of material and get on with the business of counting birds.

Thanks to Frances C. James and Robert L. Crawford for their comments on this review.—**R. Todd Engstrom**, Department of Biological Sciences, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306.

Florida Field Naturalist 12: 107-109, 1984.

EDITORIAL

Acknowledgments.—I greatly appreciate the many people who generously contributed to the publication of Volume 12 of the *Florida Field Naturalist*. I especially thank those who donated their time and talent in reviewing submitted papers: Lyn Atherton, Wes Biggs, Maggie Bowman, Joan A. Browder, Paul Buckley, Gloria Caldwell, Roger Clapp, George A. Clark, Jr., Mary H. Clench, John Ewel, Millicent S. Ficken, Paula C. Frohring, Wally George, John William Hardy, Jack P. Hailman, Wayne Hoffman, Nicholas R. Holler, Stephan R. Humphrey, Lawrence Kilham, Wesley E. Lanyon, James N. Layne, Julian C. Lee, Fred E. Lohrer, David S. Maehr, Larry L. McNease, Peter Merritt, Frank C. Montalbano, III, Steven Patton, William B. Robertson, Jr., James A. Rodgers, Ralph W. Schreiber, Henry M. Stevenson, Paul W. Sykes, Nicolaas A. M. Verbeck, James T. Tilmant, Lawrence Walkinshaw, Lovett E. Williams, and Glen E. Woolfenden. I also thank Associate Editor Fred Lohrer, for his innumerable contributions, and Jack Hailman, for his generous advice, as well as Elaine Gentile, Caroline Coleman, and Marsha Winegarner. —**James A. Kushlan**, Department of Biology, University of Miami, Coral Gables, Florida 33124.

Florida Field Naturalist 12: 109, 1984.