

January 1983

Acknowledgment

Florida Field Naturalist

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.usf.edu/ffn>

Recommended Citation

Florida Field Naturalist (1983) "Acknowledgment," *Florida Field Naturalist*. Vol. 11 : Iss. 4 , Article 9.
Available at: <https://digitalcommons.usf.edu/ffn/vol11/iss4/9>

This Editorial is brought to you for free and open access by the Searchable Ornithological Research Archive at Digital Commons @ University of South Florida. It has been accepted for inclusion in Florida Field Naturalist by an authorized editor of Digital Commons @ University of South Florida. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@usf.edu.

agement for a single species is neither practical nor desirable over most of the kite's range including Lake Okeechobee and the Conservation Areas. In most years these areas will provide some kite habitat. In addition selected "islands" of habitat scattered within the historic range should be intensively managed for the kite. He suggests that the kite population will be preserved only by the combined maintenance of the remaining large marsh systems in as close as possible to their natural conditions and the creation smaller refuges for use by kites during periodic droughts.

The difference in approach probably derives less from individual viewpoint than from the purpose and constraints of the single-species recovery planning effort. The authors of the plan have worked admirably and done a great service in categorizing, analyzing, and prioritizing the needs of the Snail Kite and in composing a plan that conservationists and management agencies need to consider carefully in their planning efforts. It would be useful to continue the team or a successor in existence by some means to provide a forum for kite conservation. Lacking that, responsibility now rests entirely with state and federal agencies to assure the kite's continued existence in Florida, hopefully as part of a more naturally functioning Everglades ecosystem.—**James A. Kushlan**, Department of Biology, University of Miami, Coral Gables, Florida 33124.

Florida Field Naturalist 11: 117-119, 1983.

EDITORIAL

Acknowledgments—I greatly appreciate the generous assistance of the many people who helped the Florida Ornithological Society publish Volume 11 of the Florida Field Naturalist. I especially thank the authors for submitting their work and stoically seeing it through to publication. I also thank my special advisors who helped in numerous ways, especially Jack Hailman, Fred Lohrer, William B. Robertson, Jr. and Glen E. Woolfenden. I am grateful to those who accepted the important tasks of reviewing and advising me on the suitability of papers before their publication, including Dean Amadon, Lyn Atherton, Steven R. Beissinger, Margaret Bowman, Mary H. Clench, Robert L. Crawford, William Dunson, Shiela Gaby, Wally George, J. William Hardy, Jerome Jackson, Herbert W. Kale, II, James N. Layne, Paul Moler, Douglass H. Morse, John C. Ogden, Earl R. Rich, William B. Robertson, Jr., Henry M. Stevenson, Paul W. Sykes, Jr., Walter K. Taylor, Elliott Tramer, James Wiley, and Glen E. Woolfenden. I would also like to especially acknowledge those who worked so thoughtfully in putting together the special Snail Kite issue, Paul W. Sykes, Jr., Steven R. Beissinger, Dean Amadon, Lloyd Kiff, and Fred Lohrer. I thank Elaine Gentile for her fine typing. I wish especially to acknowledge the efforts of Fred Lohrer and Glen Woolfenden in producing the five-year index of the Florida Field Naturalist.—**James A. Kushlan**, Department of Biology, University of Miami, Coral Gables, Florida 33124.