

1981

Backpack Radio Transmitter Attachment Success in Screech Owls (otus Asio)

Dwight G. Smith

Ray Gilbert

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.usf.edu/nabb>

Recommended Citation

Smith, Dwight G. and Gilbert, Ray (1981) "Backpack Radio Transmitter Attachment Success in Screech Owls (otus Asio)," *North American Bird Bander*. Vol. 6 : Iss. 4 , Article 5.
Available at: <https://digitalcommons.usf.edu/nabb/vol6/iss4/5>

This Contents is brought to you for free and open access by the Searchable Ornithological Research Archive at Digital Commons @ University of South Florida. It has been accepted for inclusion in North American Bird Bander by an authorized editor of Digital Commons @ University of South Florida. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@usf.edu.

Backpack radio transmitter attachment success in Screech Owls (*Otus asio*)

Dwight G. Smith and Ray Gilbert

A variety of techniques have been developed for the attachment of radio transmitters on avian species. Dunston (1972, 1977) described methods for backpack and tail feather attachment of radio transmitters on several raptor species. Nichols and Warner (1968, 1972) reported success of a breast harness for Great Horned Owls (*Bubo virginianus*) and Barred Owls (*Strix varia*).

Herein we report materials and comparative success of backpack harness techniques on Screech Owls.

Methods and Materials

Screech Owls were captured in nest boxes or with a modified Bal-Chatri trap previously described (Smith and Walsh, 1981). 12 captured Screech Owls were banded and fitted with radio transmitters. Weight of transmitter packages averaged 5.6 gms (range 4.6-6.3 gms) or approximately 3-5% of body weight. Transmitters on 4 owls were attached with braided nylon approximately 2.0 mm in diameter and on 8 owls using Teflon-coated flexible antenna wire. The 3 harness designs used are shown in Figure 1. 7 owls were fitted with a double-loop criss-cross harness over the breast with straps running over each shoulder, across the breast and under the opposite wing, to be crossed again on the back and then connected to the transmitter (A). Straps were taped where they crossed on the breast to prevent shifting of the harness. 2 owls were fitted with a harness consisting of a chin and belly loop connected by a single strand secured along the sternum (B). A third harness on 3 owls consisted of separate loops running over each shoulder and under the wing in parachute style (C). Shoulder straps were connected by a single strand across the breast on 2 of the 3 owls. Flight efficiency of Screech Owls fitted with radio transmitters was visually tested in a 7 x 12 x 3.5 m enclosure prior to release at the capture site.

Results and Discussion

All of the Screech Owls pulled and bit the harness when they were first released in the enclosure. Al-

though none persisted in this behavior more than 10 min., we occasionally observed some of the released owls pulling or biting the harness while roosting.


Harness material proved to be a critical factor in duration of backpack attachment. Braided nylon harnesses lasted from 1-11 days before the owls were able to remove them, either by biting through the strands or pulling the harness off entirely. Flexible antenna wire coated with Teflon was very effective: duration ranged from a minimum of 38 days to a maximum of 11 months. None of the harness materials caused unusual loss of feathering or other external evidence of damage.

Observations of Screech Owls flown in captivity plus our occasional sightings of the same owls in flight in the wild suggested that all 3 harness designs had little or no effect on the Screech Owls' flight ability. Of the 3 harness designs, the parachute style minus the connecting strand was least successful, and the single owl fitted with this design removed it (apparently by pulling the harness off) within 24 hrs. Both other designs were equally successful although the criss-cross double loop design showed the least shifting.

We periodically captured several individuals to weigh them and to replace transmitter batteries. Most of the owls showed little weight variation between captures, suggesting that the backpack did not substantially interfere with hunting.

A female fitted with a criss-cross double-loop backpack harness was monitored from 7 January 1980 to 23 July 1980. She mated in March and laid a clutch of four eggs in a dead tree cavity. She successfully hatched all four eggs, but the young were killed and apparently eaten by a raccoon (*Procyon lotor*) just prior to fledging. These observations suggest that backpack attachment of radio transmitters to Screech Owls does not unduly interfere with their behavior.

Acknowledgment

We wish to thank Elizabeth Gaus for preparing the illustration. 




Figure 1. Backpack harness styles tested on Screech Owls: A = Criss-cross; B = Double loop; C = Parachute

Literature cited

- Dunstan, T.C. 1972. A tail feather package for radio tagging raptorial birds. *Int. Bird-Banding News* 44:4-8.
- _____. 1977. Types and uses of radio packages for North American falconiform and strigiform birds. *Proceedings, First International Conference on Wildlife Biotelemetry*, Laramie, Wyoming. pp. 30-39.
- Nichols, T.H., and D.W. Warner. 1968. A harness for attaching radio transmitters to large owls. *Bird-Banding* 39:209-214.

- _____. 1972. Barred Owl habitat use as determined by radiotelemetry. *J. Wildlife Management* 36:213-224.
- Smith, D.G., and D.T. Walsh. 1981. A modified Balchatri trap for capturing Screech Owls. *N. Am. Bird Bander* 6:14-15.

Biology Department, Southern Connecticut State College, New Haven, Ct. 06515