

2023

## Lessons Learned in Co-creating a Virtual Village for People Ageing with HIV

Jasmine L. Lopez  
*University of California*

Andrea N. Polonijo  
*Department of Sociology and the Health Sciences Research Institute*

Annie L. Nguyen  
*University of California*

Karah Y. Greene  
*University of South Florida*

Jerome T. Galea  
*University of South Florida, jeromegalea@usf.edu*

*See next page for additional authors*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.usf.edu/sok\\_facpub](https://digitalcommons.usf.edu/sok_facpub)



Part of the [Social Work Commons](#)

---

### Scholar Commons Citation

Lopez, Jasmine L.; Polonijo, Andrea N.; Nguyen, Annie L.; Greene, Karah Y.; Galea, Jerome T.; Yoo-Jeong, Moka; Taylor, Jeff; and Brown, Brandon J., "Lessons Learned in Co-creating a Virtual Village for People Ageing with HIV" (2023). *Social Work Faculty Publications*. 218.  
[https://digitalcommons.usf.edu/sok\\_facpub/218](https://digitalcommons.usf.edu/sok_facpub/218)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Social Work at Digital Commons @ University of South Florida. It has been accepted for inclusion in Social Work Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ University of South Florida. For more information, please contact [digitalcommons@usf.edu](mailto:digitalcommons@usf.edu).

---

**Authors**

Jasmine L. Lopez, Andrea N. Polonijo, Annie L. Nguyen, Karah Y. Greene, Jerome T. Galea, Moka Yoo-Jeong, Jeff Taylor, and Brandon J. Brown

## FIELD NOTES

# Lessons learned in co-creating a Virtual Village for people ageing with HIV

Jasmine L. Lopez<sup>1</sup> , Andrea N. Polonijo<sup>2</sup>, Annie L. Nguyen<sup>3</sup>, Karah Y. Greene<sup>4</sup>, Jerome T. Galea<sup>4</sup> , Moka Yoo-Jeong<sup>5</sup>, Jeff Taylor<sup>6</sup> and Brandon J. Brown<sup>7,§</sup> 

§ **Corresponding author:** Brandon J. Brown, Department of Social Medicine, Population and Public Health, School of Medicine Education Building, 900 University Ave, Riverside, CA 92521, USA. Tel: +1 (951) 827-3953. ([brandon.brown@ucr.edu](mailto:brandon.brown@ucr.edu))

**Keywords:** community; key and vulnerable populations; North America; public health; quality of life; structural interventions

**Received** 20 December 2022; **Accepted** 14 April 2023

**Copyright** © 2023 The Authors. *Journal of the International AIDS Society* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of the International AIDS Society.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The COVID-19 pandemic emerged as a global threat in early 2020, resulting in new psychosocial stressors and widespread lifestyle changes. These stressors contributed to heightened depression, isolation, anxiety, and in some cases, exacerbation of post-traumatic stress disorder [1]. Numerous studies have examined the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on mental health in the general population [2] but research addressing its impact on increased isolation among the growing number of older people living with HIV (OPLH) in the United States is limited [3]. Long-term survivors have shared trauma stemming from profound losses in their social circle due to HIV/AIDS, which younger people living with HIV may not experience as a result of the development of therapeutics.

Virtual Villages are online spaces that create positive connections among older adults, while delivering interventions and supporting individuals who are “ageing in place”—that is, living in their community of choice during later life [4]. It is imperative for positive social activities to be easily accessible for OPLH due to their heightened prevalence of depression originating from past trauma [5], elevated rates of psychological distress and increased barriers to successful ageing faced while living through the COVID-19 pandemic [6]. Previous research shows that interventions that address psychosocial barriers among OPLH are vital to promoting successful ageing [7–9]. As such, our objective was to construct a Virtual Village to support and connect OPLH and promote mental wellbeing through stress relief, knowledge and confidence building.

Online platform-based socialization has been shown to strengthen emotional support systems, improve planning skills and enhance coping among people living with HIV [10]. We designed a Virtual Village to supply health, community-based and personal resources for OPLH aged 50+, provide a safe space for participants to create support groups and attenuate the negative impacts of social isolation. Below, we discuss lessons learned during the co-creation of this Virtual Village.

Our first step in creating the Virtual Village was to develop a strong partnership with a community advisory board (CAB) of 24 OPLH from three U.S. regions. With trust established and a clear mission for the Virtual Village, we constructed a list of 28 potential characteristics the Virtual Village pilot could offer. The CAB rank-ordered the attributes and identified the five most important: free-to-use, chat function availability, registration and security measures, access to community resources and ability to make subcommunities.

Next, we compared and contrasted seven potential online platforms for hosting the Virtual Village and selected Discord based on its compatibility with desired attributes, and other important factors, including privacy mechanisms, accessibility and moderation features, function automation, video chat, direct messaging and technological support. We established a Discord server and adapted it in response to feedback from CAB members.

Twenty-four OPLH, who were not CAB members, then piloted the Virtual Village for one month (August–September, 2022). This was done with approval from the University of California, Riverside Institutional Review Board. As a part of the registration and vetting process, participants disclosed their HIV status to the research team. Training sessions detailing how to navigate Discord were offered to participants.

As detailed in Figure 1, we embedded six interventions into the Virtual Village during the pilot period. These included social mixers, a buddy system, expert presentations, resources, guided discussions and mindful meditation. Interventions were selected based on recommendations from CAB members.

Research team members moderated the Virtual Village pilot, observed participant interactions and encouraged participant feedback. Presentations were provided by topical experts, and resource pages were created by the CAB. Pilot participants modified these pages to ensure that peers had access to trusted information and services.

# VIRTUAL VILLAGE INTERVENTIONS

## Social Mixers

Hosted via Zoom twice a week throughout all four weeks. Mixers started with an icebreaker question to initiate discussions. Participants then had the freedom to discuss their joys, frustrations, play games, and utilize this forum to socialize with their fellow community members.

## Expert Presentations

Conducted during weeks one, two, and four. Experts presented on Zoom and answered questions. Presented topics: advanced care planning, updates on Monkeypox, addiction, and long-term HIV.

## Resources

Regional resources were categorized by the three study sites: Tampa, FL; Palm Springs, CA; and Los Angeles, CA. General resources included information on national mental health services. Participants were able to request specific resources (i.e., gardening tips, car mechanics, etc.)

## Guided Discussions

Discussion prompts were published twice a week to promote engagement (i.e., "How do you find motivation to exercise? What exercises do you enjoy? How often do you exercise in a typical week?").

## Buddy System

Employed during the second week for participants to conduct wellness checks. Participants completed a survey and were matched based on compatibility.

## Mindful Meditation

Started during the second week with an introductory session on Zoom, which covered the importance of meditation. Subsequently, resources were posted to the server in a text format, along with videos, podcasts, and articles.

Figure 1. Descriptions of the six interventions implemented during the month-long pilot.

Social mixers were hosted biweekly. Frequent attendees participated in mixers at least weekly, allowing them to maintain social connections with their peers. Other social activities included a buddy system and guided discussions. The buddy system was created for OPLH to build closer relationships with those with similar interests, and a buddy match reveal ceremony was initiated to facilitate engagement. Several participants expressed their satisfaction with their pairings and enjoyed meeting with their matches outside of the Virtual Village. Guided discussions were also popular with participants; initially, discussion prompts were posted once per week but were increased to biweekly upon participants' request. Additionally, subcommunities were developed and added to the server as "channels" based on participants' shared interests (e.g. gardening, pets, arts and crafts).

Of the 24 Virtual Village pilot participants, 20 (83%) completed both pre- and post-surveys, which included questions about participation experiences. Participants were 51–77 years old (mean = 63). Most identified as male ( $n = 15$ ) and gay ( $n = 13$ ). When asked an open-ended question as to how the Virtual Village could be improved, common responses included requests to improve the overall interface, use a more user-friendly platform, give more advanced notice about events and simplify the platform onboarding process. Some individuals also reported that they would enjoy more social events, suggesting that social activities fuelled participants to engage with one another and the platform. When asked to rate their satisfaction interacting with research staff on the server (5-point scale; "very satisfied" to "very unsatisfied") all were at least "moderately satisfied" and 70% ( $n = 14$ ) indicated they were "very satisfied." Lastly, when asked if they wanted to continue using the Virtual Village for non-research purposes after the study ended, 75% ( $n = 15$ ) indicated a positive response. Based on these responses and observations of community engagement, we identified three key lessons:

1. *When working with older populations, be mindful that some may experience significant difficulty using newer technology.* Technological issues associated with the onboarding process for Discord were a primary barrier to participation in the Virtual Village. While our CAB provided crucial insight to the research team in the planning phase of this pilot, greater integration of CAB members into the onboarding and implementation phases could help better anticipate and troubleshoot issues that are common for older people when encountering new technology. To mitigate onboarding issues in future studies, we recommend hosting additional platform training sessions prior to the start of the study to allow for an adjustment period. Other media platforms with innovative and user-friendly functions should be explored for real-world scaling up of Virtual Village projects.
2. *Interactive social events were frequently attended and requested by participants.* Future studies focused on community building should consider that participants may initially seek entertaining activities (e.g. social mixers, subcommunities and the buddy system) over didactic lectures, as this allows them to actively build positive social connections.

3. *Living with HIV is not enough to bond individuals.* A unique component of the Virtual Village is the vetting process, which aims to ensure comfort among users in the event they wish to discuss their experiences living with HIV. Such open discussion of shared life experiences could not be accomplished by joining a non-HIV-specific platform. Nonetheless, participants noted during social events that living with HIV does not dictate their life. Their diverse personal interests, such as art, pets and gardening, trumped HIV-specific discussions. Hence, the Virtual Village can be a space to bring OPLH together to discuss shared interests beyond HIV.

#### AUTHORS' AFFILIATIONS

<sup>1</sup>Department of Psychology, University of California, Riverside, California, USA; <sup>2</sup>Department of Sociology and the Health Sciences Research Institute, University of California, Merced, California, USA; <sup>3</sup>Herbert Wertheim School of Public Health and Human Longevity Science, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California, USA; <sup>4</sup>College of Behavioral and Community Sciences, School of Social Work, University of South Florida, Tampa, Florida, USA; <sup>5</sup>Bouvé College of Health Sciences, Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA; <sup>6</sup>HIV+Aging Research Project—Palm Springs (HARP-PS), Palm Springs, California, USA; <sup>7</sup>Department of Social Medicine, Population and Public Health, School of Medicine, University of California, Riverside, California, USA

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

All authors declare no competing interests related to this work.

#### AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

BJB oversaw the designing process and JLL was responsible for drafting the manuscript. ANP, ALN, KYG, JTG, MYJ and JT provided input. BJB, ANP and JLL collaborated to review the final draft, which was approved by all of the authors.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to acknowledge Erik Ruiz, Chris Christensen and members of the community advisory board for their contributions to the study.

#### FUNDING

Supported in part by research grant 60304 from the Merck Investigator Initiated Studies Program of Merck & Co, Inc. ALN additionally received support from the NIH/NIA (K01 AG064986).

#### DISCLAIMER

The opinions expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of Merck & Co, Inc. or the US National Institutes of Health.

#### DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

#### REFERENCES

1. Pfefferbaum B, North CS. Mental health and the covid-19 pandemic. *N Engl J Med.* **2020**;383(6):510–12.
2. Liu X, Zhu M, Zhang R, Zhang J, Zhang C, Liu P, et al. Public mental health problems during covid-19 pandemic: a large-scale meta-analysis of the evidence. *Transl Psychiatry.* **2021**;11(1):1–10.
3. CDC. HIV in the United States by age. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Cited June 28, 2022. <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/group/age/index.html>
4. Brown B, Taylor J, Fisher CB. Mitigating isolation of people aging with HIV during the covid-19 pandemic. *Public Health Rep.* **2021**;136(4):394–96.
5. Harris LM, Crawford TN, Kerr JC, Thomas TA, Schmidt V. African American older adults living with HIV: exploring stress, stigma, and engagement in HIV care. *J Health Care Poor Underserved.* **2020**;31(1):265–86.
6. Ruiz EL, Greene KY, Galea JT, Brown B. From surviving to thriving: the current status of the behavioral, social, and psychological issues of aging with HIV. *Curr Opin HIV AIDS.* **2022**;17(2):55–64.
7. Nachege JB, Morroni C, Zuniga JM, Sherer R, Beyrer C, Solomon S. HIV-related stigma, isolation, discrimination, and serostatus disclosure: a global survey of 2035 HIV-infected adults. *J Int Assoc Physicians AIDS Care.* **2012**;11(3):172–78.
8. Reeves PM. Coping in cyberspace: the impact of internet use on the ability of HIV-positive individuals to deal with their illness. *J Health Commun.* **2000**;5(sup1):47–59.
9. Tian JMLH, Watson JR, Ibáñez-Carrasco F, Tran B, Parsons JA, Maunder RG, et al. Impact of experienced HIV stigma on health is mediated by internalized stigma and depression: results from the people living with HIV stigma index in Ontario. *BMC Public Health.* **2021**;21(1):1595.
10. Mo PKH, Coulson NS. Living with HIV/AIDS and use of online support groups. *J Health Psychol.* **2010**;15(3):339–50.