

# Digital Commons @ University of South Florida

Mathematics and Statistics Faculty Publications

Mathematics and Statistics

University of South Florida

2020

## A Trace Bound for Integer-diagonal Positive Semidefinite Matrices

Lon Mitchell *University of South Florida*, lonmitchell@usf.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.usf.edu/mth\_facpub

#### **Scholar Commons Citation**

Mitchell, Lon, "A Trace Bound for Integer-diagonal Positive Semidefinite Matrices" (2020). *Mathematics and Statistics Faculty Publications*. 86.

https://digitalcommons.usf.edu/mth\_facpub/86

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Mathematics and Statistics at Digital Commons @ University of South Florida. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mathematics and Statistics Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ University of South Florida. For more information, please contact scholarcommons@usf.edu.

Note Open Access

Lon Mitchell\*

# A trace bound for integer-diagonal positive semidefinite matrices

https://doi.org/10.1515/spma-2020-0002 Received September 27, 2019; accepted December 2, 2019

**Abstract:** We prove that an n-by-n complex positive semidefinite matrix of rank r whose graph is connected, whose diagonal entries are integers, and whose non-zero off-diagonal entries have modulus at least one, has trace at least n + r - 1.

**Keywords:** positive semidefinite matrices, integer-diagonal, trace

MSC: 15B48, 15A15, 05C50

### 1 Introduction

The graph of an n-by-n Hermitian matrix  $M = (m_{ij})$  has vertex set  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$  and edge set  $\{ij \mid i < j, m_{ij} \neq 0\}$ . As part of their work on the Schur-Siegel-Smyth problem for totally positive algebraic integers, James McKee and Pavlo Yatsyna [3] proved that an n-by-n positive definite matrix S whose entries are integers and whose graph is connected must have trace at least 2n - 1. As a consequence, 2 is the smallest limit point of the absolute trace (which for an n-by-n matrix is the trace divided by n) of such matrices.

The integer entries are important to McKee and Yatsyna's proof: since S is positive definite, it can be factored as  $S = B^T B$ , and thus viewed as the Gram matrix of the columns  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$  of B. In a minimal-trace connected counterexample, we can assume without loss of generality that  $x_1$  is a unit vector. Then the Gram matrix of  $x_1, x_2', x_3, \ldots, x_n$ , where  $x_2' = x_2 - (x_1^T x_2)x_1$ , still has integer entries and eventually provides a contradiction.

Are the integer entries necessary? In this note, we prove a generalization for complex positive semidefinite matrices and show that while the diagonal entries must be integers, the off-diagonal non-zero entries need only have modulus at least 1. A generalization of McKee and Yatsyna's absolute trace result follows as a corollary.

In addition to standard tools and definitions from matrix analysis [2] and graph theory [1], one fact we will use repeatedly is that, because the sum of a positive definite matrix and a positive semidefinite matrix is still positive definite, adding a positive number to a diagonal entry of a positive definite matrix results in another positive definite matrix. Also note that an empty graph on a single vertex is connected.

### 2 New Results

**Lemma 1.** An n-by-n complex positive definite matrix whose graph is a tree, whose diagonal entries are integers, and whose non-zero off-diagonal entries have modulus at least one, has trace at least 2n - 1.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding Author: Lon Mitchell: Lon Mitchell, University of South Florida St. Petersburg, Florida, USA, E-mail: lon-mitchell@usfsp.edu

<sup>3</sup> Open Access. © 2020 Lon Mitchell, published by De Gruyter. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution alone 4.0

**DE GRUYTER** 

*Proof.* Proceed by induction on n, noting the result is true for n = 1. Assume that the result is true for all k-by-k matrices where  $1 \le k < n$ , and let  $M = (m_{ij})$  be an n-by-n positive definite matrix whose graph is a tree with vertices labeled  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$  corresponding to the rows of M. Assume for the sake of eventual contradiction that the trace of M, tr M, is less than 2n - 1.

Since the graph G of M is a tree, it has a pendant vertex (a vertex of degree one). Without loss of generality, we can assume vertex  $v_1$  has unique neighbor  $v_2$ . If the diagonal element  $m_{11}$  of M is greater than 1, then applying the induction hypothesis to  $M_{11}$ , the matrix obtained from M by deleting the first row and column, yields tr  $M \ge 2 + \operatorname{tr} M_{11} \ge 2 + 2(n-1) - 1 = 2n-1$ , a contradiction. So we may assume  $m_{11} = 1$ . Since  $v_1$  is pendant,

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \overline{\alpha}e_1^* \\ \alpha e_1 & M_{11} \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\alpha$  is a complex number with  $|\alpha| \ge 1$  and  $e_1$  is the standard basis vector.

Consider next the Schur complement  $M' = M_{11} - |\alpha|^2 e_1 e_1^T$ , which is an (n-1)-by-(n-1) positive definite matrix. All off-diagonal elements of M' remain unchanged from the corresponding entries of M, so the graph of M' is a tree. All main-diagonal elements of M' also remain unchanged with the exception of  $m'_{11} = m_{22} - |\alpha|^2 \le m_{22} - 1$ .

Since  $m'_{11}$  may not be an integer, let M'' be the matrix obtained from M' by replacing  $m'_{11}$  with  $m''_{11} = m_{22} - 1$ . Since  $m''_{11} \ge m'_{11}$ , M'' is also positive definite. Further, its graph is a tree, its diagonal entries are integers, and its non-zero off-diagonal entries have modulus at least one. Finally, tr M'' = tr M - 2 < 2n - 3, a contradiction of the induction hypothesis. Thus tr  $M \ge 2n - 1$ .

**Theorem 1.** An n-by-n complex positive definite matrix whose graph is connected, whose diagonal entries are integers, and whose non-zero off-diagonal entries have modulus at least one, has trace at least 2n - 1.

*Proof.* Proceed by induction on n, noting the result is true for n = 1. Assume that the result is true for all k-by-k matrices where  $1 \le k \le n - 1$ , and let M be an n-by-n positive definite matrix whose diagonal entries are integers, whose graph is connected, and whose non-zero off-diagonal entries have modulus at least one.

Assume for the sake of eventual contradiction that tr M < 2n - 1. By adding to a diagonal entry if needed, we can assume that we have a matrix M with the above-mentioned properties and with tr M = 2n - 2.

Let *G* be the graph of *M* and let  $m_v$  be the diagonal entry of *M* corresponding to vertex v in *G*. For each vertex v of *G*, let c(v) be the number of connected components of  $G \setminus v$ .

Suppose first that there is a vertex v of G such that  $m_v > c(v)$ . Consider M(v), the matrix obtained from M by removing the row and column corresponding to v. Applying the induction hypothesis to the principal submatrices  $M_1, M_2, \ldots, M_{c(v)}$  of M(v) corresponding to the connected components  $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_{c(v)}$  of  $G \setminus v$ , we find that

$$\operatorname{tr} M = m_{v} + \operatorname{tr} M(v) = m_{v} + \sum_{i=1}^{c(v)} \operatorname{tr} M_{i}$$

$$\geq m_{v} + \sum_{i=1}^{c(v)} (2|C_{i}| - 1) = m_{v} - c(v) + 2(n-1) \geq 2n - 1.$$

Thus we must have that  $m_v \le c(v)$  for each vertex v.

Let *T* be a spanning tree of *G*. Since *T* is a tree on *n* vertices, it has n-1 edges, and so

$$\sum_{v \in G} d_T(v) = 2(n-1)$$

where  $d_T(v)$  is the degree of the vertex v in T. Since  $d_T(v) \ge c(v) \ge m_v$  for each v but

$$\sum_{v\in G} d_T(v) = 2(n-1) = \operatorname{tr} M = \sum_{v\in G} m_v,$$

we must have  $d_T(v) = c(v) = m_v$  for each v.

16 — Lon Mitchell DE GRUYTER

For any vertex v of G, because  $d_T(v) = c(v)$ , there is a bijective correspondence between the neighbors of v in T and the connected components of  $G \setminus v$ . Thus, if vertices  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  are not adjacent in T, then they belong to different connected components of  $G \setminus w$  for any vertex w on a path between them in T, and so are not adjacent in G either. So, in fact, G = T, and Lemma 1 requires tr  $M \ge 2n - 1$ , contradicting our earlier assumption. Thus tr  $M \ge 2n - 1$ .

**Corollary 1.** The smallest limit point of the set of absolute traces of matrices satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1 is 2.

**Remark.** The matrices  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} 1.0 & 0.5 \\ 0.5 & 1.0 \end{bmatrix}$ , and  $\begin{bmatrix} 1.1 & 1.0 \\ 1.0 & 1.1 \end{bmatrix}$  show that none of the conditions of Theorem 1 can be removed.

**Theorem 2.** An n-by-n complex positive semidefinite matrix of rank r whose graph is connected, whose diagonal entries are integers, and whose non-zero off-diagonal entries have modulus at least one, has trace at least n + r - 1.

*Proof.* Proceed by induction on the nullity. The nullity zero case is Theorem 1. Assume the result is true for all nullities less than some k > 0. Let M be an n-by-n complex positive semidefinite matrix of nullity k = n - r whose graph is connected, whose diagonal entries are integers, and whose non-zero off-diagonal entries have modulus at least one.

Consider M as the Gram matrix of linearly dependent vectors  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Let l be such that  $x_l$  is in the span of the other vectors, and let y be a unit vector in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  orthogonal to each  $x_i$ . Then the Gram matrix M' of  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{l-1}, x_l + y, x_{l+1}, \ldots, x_n$  is equal to M except for an increase of 1 in the  $m_{ll}$  main-diagonal element, so its graph is connected, its diagonal entries are integers, and the non-zero off-diagonal entries have modulus at least one. The nullity of M' is k-1, so by the induction hypothesis and by construction, tr M = tr M' - 1  $\geq$  (n + (r+1) - 1) - 1 = n + r - 1.

**Corollary 2.** An n-by-n complex positive semidefinite matrix of rank r whose graph has s connected components, whose diagonal entries are integers, and whose non-zero off-diagonal entries have modulus at least one, has trace at least n + r - s.

**Acknowledgement:** Publication of this article was funded by the University of South Florida St. Petersburg's Open Access Publication Fund.

### References

- [1] Reinhard Diestel, Graph theory, 5th ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 173, Springer, Berlin, 2018.
- [2] Roger A. Horn, Charles R. Johnson, Matrix analysis, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013.
- [3] James McKee, Pavlo Yatsyna, A trace bound for positive definite connected integer symmetric matrices, Linear Algebra Appl., 444, 227–230, 2014.