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ABSTRACT. A method is outlined to express a Turán determinant of solutions of a three term recurrence relation as a weighted sum of squares. This method is shown to imply the positivity of Turán determinants of symmetric Pollaczek polynomials, Lommel polynomials and q-Bessel functions.
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1. INTRODUCTION.

Let \( \{P_n(x) \mid n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \} \) be a sequence of polynomials orthogonal on an interval \([a, b]\). Then \( \{P_n(x)\} \) satisfies a three term recurrence relation

\[
P_{n+1}(x) = (A_n x + B_n) P_n(x) - C_n P_{n-1}(x), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots
\]

In (1.1) we take \( P_{-1}(x) = 0 \), and \( C_0 \) is generally unspecified. The polynomials \( \{P_n(x)\} \) are said to satisfy Turán’s inequality if

\[
\Delta_n(x) = P_n^2(x) - P_{n+1}(x)P_{n-1}(x) \geq 0, \quad a \leq x \leq b.
\]

Paul Turán first noted that (1.2) is satisfied by the Legendre polynomials and Gabor Szegő [15] subsequently gave two elegant proofs of that fact. Since then various authors have proved that (1.2) is satisfied by the classical orthogonal polynomials of Jacobi, Hermite and Laguerre for certain ranges of the parameters involved [9], [14], [15]. A survey of Turán inequalities covering the literature until 1969 is available in [4].

In this note we will prove Turán’s inequality for an important class of nonclassical orthogonal polynomials; the symmetric Pollaczek polynomials. The symmetric Pollaczek polynomials satisfy the relation (1.1) with \( B_n = 0 \). Thus they satisfy a recursion

\[
E_{n+1}(x) := 0, \quad E_0(x) := 1, \quad E_{n+1}(x) = A_n x E_n(x) - C_n E_{n-1}(x), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots
\]

We will state a general procedure which makes systematic an approach used by Szász in [14] to prove Turán inequalities for ultraspherical polynomials and Bessel functions. In [5] we used Szász’s method to establish a Turán inequality for the continuous \( q \)-ultraspherical polynomials. The procedure will be outlined in Section 2.
Nevai [13] conjectured the positivity of the Turanian for orthonormal Pollaczek polynomials. His conjectures are based on graphing the Turanian for different values of $n$ and his beautiful graphs sparked our interest and led to this work. In Section 2 we apply the Szasz method to establish the positivity of the Turanian for the Pollaczek polynomials [3], [6], [16]. In Section 3 we establish a Turán inequality for modified Lommel polynomials $\{h_{n,v}(x)\}$ and $q$-Bessel functions. One can then obtain Szasz's original result for Bessel functions as a limiting case of the positivity of the Turanian for $q$-Bessel functions.

The Hurwitz asymptotic formula for the modified Lommel polynomial, [17, §9.65(1)],

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{h_{n,v+1}(z)}{(2z)^{n+v+1} \Gamma(n+v+1)} = J_v(1/z),
$$

will play a central role in our analysis.

2. THE SZAŠZ METHOD AND APPLICATIONS.

The idea here is to express $\Delta_n$ as a sum of squares by repeatedly using (1.3). Also note that the $P_s$'s of (1.1) or the $E_s$'s of (1.3) are orthogonal if and only if the positivity condition [7, thm 1.4.4]

$$
A_n A_{n-1} C_n > 0, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots.
$$

(2.1)

is valid.

**Theorem 1.** Let $E_n$ be a sequence of polynomials generated by (1.3) and let

$$
\frac{C_n^2}{A_n}, \quad n > 1, \quad \zeta_n(x) = \frac{C_n}{A_n} \left[ A_n E_n^2(x)/A_{n-1} - E_{n-1}(x) E_{n-1}(x) \right], \quad n > 0,
$$

(2.2)

then $\zeta_n(x)$ has the finite series representation

$$
\zeta_n(x) = \xi_m(x) + \sum_{k=m+1}^{n} g_k \left[ 1 - C_{k-1} A_k / (A_{k-2} C_k) \right] E_{k-1}(x), \quad n > m > 1.
$$

(2.3)

**Proof.** Clearly

$$
A_n \xi_n(x) = E_n^2(x) = \left[ E_{n+1}(x) + C_n E_{n-1}(x) \right] \left[ A_{n-1} x E_{n-1}(x) - C_{n-1} E_{n-2}(x) \right]
$$

(2.4)

$$
= A_{n-1} \left[ E_{n-1}(x) E_{n+1}(x) + C_n E_{n-1}(x)^2 \right] - C_{n-1} A_n x E_n(x) E_{n-2}(x).
$$

Divide by $A_{n-1}$ and rewrite the above when $n \geq 2$ in the form

$$
\frac{A_n}{A_{n-1}} E_n^2(x) - E_{n-1}(x) E_{n+1}(x) = \frac{C_n}{A_{n-1}} \left[ A_{n-1} E_{n-1}(x) / A_{n-2} - E_n(x) E_{n-2}(x) \right]
$$

(2.5)

$$
+ C_n \left[ 1 - A_n C_{n-1} / (A_{n-2} C_n) \right] E_{n-1}(x).
$$

Next multiply both sides by $C_n / \left( A_{n-1} C_{n-2} \cdots C_1 \right)$ to reduce the latter identity to

$$
\xi_n(x) = \xi_{n-1}(x) + g_n \left[ 1 - A_n C_{n-1} / (C_n A_{n-2}) \right] E_{n-1}(x), \quad n > 1,
$$

(2.6)

which can be iterated to give (2.4) and the proof is complete.

Paul Nevai pointed out that the identity developed in the proof of Theorem 2.2 can be gotten from an identity in a paper of Dombrowski [7]. We have included the derivation of the identity for the sake of completeness and because it is a non-trivial chore to obtain it from Dombrowski's formula.

Theorem 2.2 has two interesting implications. First note that the $g_n$'s have a fixed sign because of the positivity condition (2.1). Indeed $g_n$ has the same sign as $A_0$, that is

$$
g_n A_0 > 0, \quad n > 1.
$$

(2.7)

There is no loss of generality in always assuming $A_0 > 0$. We will also assume $C_n > 0, \quad n \geq 1$, since this is the case in all our applications.

**Corollary 1.** Assume that $A_0 > 0$. If $A_k C_{k-1}/A_{k-2} C_k < 1$ for $k > m$ and if $\xi_m(x) > 0$ then $\xi_n(x) > 0$ for all $n > m$. On the other hand, if $A_k C_{k-1}/A_{k-2} C_k > 1$ for $k > m$ and if $\xi_n(x) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ then $\xi_m(x) > 0$. 
PROOF. The first statement follows immediately from (2.4). For the second statement note that if \( A_k C_{k-1} / A_{k-2} C_k > 1 \) for \( k > m \) then from (2.4) we have

\[ \xi_n(x) < \xi_m(x). \]  

(2.8)

Then if \( \xi_n(x) \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \) we have \( \xi_m(x) > 0 \) and the proof is complete.

The inequality \( \xi_n(x) > 0 \) is not quite of the form (1.2). However it is easy to renormalize the polynomials \( E_n(x) \) to obtain a Turán inequality like (1.2). To do this, define the monic polynomials \( P_n(x) \) by

\[ P_0(x) = 1, \quad P_n(x) = E_n(x) / A_0 \cdots A_{n-1}, \quad n \geq 1. \]

Then the inequality \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n^2(x) - E_{n+1}(x) E_{n-1}(x) > 0 \) is equivalent to (1.2).

(2.9)

Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 are the essence of the method Szász [14] used to establish the positivity of Turanians for ultraspherical polynomials and Bessel functions. An interesting feature of Theorem 1 is that signs of \( \xi_n(x) \) and the summand in (2.4) are invariant under renormalization. To see this, replace \( E_n(x) \) in (2.4) by \( c_n F_n(x) \) so \( A_n \) and \( C_n \) need to be replaced by \( c_n A_n / c_{n+1} \) and \( c_{n-1} C_n / c_{n+1} \), respectively. A simple calculation yields the desired invariance property. Also note that the choice of the coefficient \( A_n / A_{n-1} \) in (2.3) is the only choice that makes \( \xi_n(x) \), up to a multiplicative constant, a polynomial of degree \( 2n - 2 \).

As an example consider the continuous \( q \)-Hermite polynomials \( \{H_n(x|q): n \geq 0\} \) [2, §6], with \( |q| < 1 \). They satisfy (1.3) with \( A_n = 2, \ C_n = 1 - q^n \). They are \( q \)-analogs of the Hermite polynomials. Thus

\[ g_k = \frac{1 - q^k}{(2q; q)_k}, \quad 1 - A_k C_{k-1} / A_{k-2} C_k = q^{k-1} (1 - q) / (1 - q^k), \]

(2.10)

where we used the notation

\[ (a; q)_n := (1 - a)(1 - aq) \cdots (1 - aq^{n-1}), \quad n > 0, \quad (a; q)_0 = 1. \]

(2.11)

Therefore

\[ \xi_n(x) = \frac{1 - q^n}{2(q; q)_n} \left[ H_n^2(x|q) - H_{n+1}(x|q) H_{n-1}(x|q) \right], \]

(2.12)

so \( \xi_1(x) = (1 - q)/2 \). Now after some simplification the case \( m = 1 \) of (2.4) reduces to

\[ \frac{(1 - q^n)}{(q; q)_n} \left[ H_n^2(x|q) - H_{n+1}(x|q) H_{n-1}(x|q) \right] = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(1 - q) q^k}{(q; q)_k} H_k^2(x|q). \]

(2.13)

The above identity is a Turán inequality for the continuous \( q \)-Hermite polynomials. The identity (2.13) is a \( q \)-analog of the Hermite polynomial identity

\[ H_n^2(x) - H_{n-1}(x) H_{n+1}(x) = 2^n n! \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k!} H_k^2(x), \quad n > 0. \]

(2.14)

We will briefly show how \( H_n(x) \) is a limiting case of \( H_n(x|q) \). Since

\[ H_{n+1}(x|q) = 2x H_n(x|q) - \left( 1 - q^n \right) H_{n-1}(x|q), \]

(2.15)

then

\[ p_n(x) := \left( \frac{2}{1 - q} \right)^{n/2} H_n \left( x \left( \frac{1 - q}{2} \right)^{1/2} \right) \]

(2.16)

satisfies

\[ p_{n+1}(x) = 2x p_n(x) - \frac{2(1 - q^n)}{1 - q} p_{n-1}(x) \]

(2.17)

and
\( p_0(x) = 1, \ p_1(x) = 2x \). As \( q \to 1 \) the above recurrence relation tends to the recurrence relation satisfied by the Hermite polynomials. This is how one can find the rescaling necessary to go from the continuous \( q \)-Hermite polynomials to the Hermite polynomials. We only need to show that \( \lim_{q \to 1} p_n(x) \) exists for every \( n \). This easily follows by induction using the recurrence relation and initial conditions. A simple calculation now shows how (2.13) tends to the corresponding result for Hermite polynomials as \( q \to 1 \).

An important example of Theorem 2.2 is provided by the symmetric Pollaczek polynomials \([3, \ 6], [16]\). They satisfy the recursion (1.3) with

\[
A_n = \frac{2(n + a + 1)}{n + 1}, \quad C_n = \frac{n + 2 \lambda - 1}{n + 1}.
\] (2.18)

The polynomials defined by (1.3) and (2.18) are orthogonal if \( \lambda \geq 0 \) and \( a + \lambda \geq 0 \). We find from (2.18) that

\[
1 - \frac{A_n C_n}{C_{n-1}} = \frac{2(an + (\lambda - 1)(a + \lambda))}{n(n + a + \lambda - 2)(n + 2\lambda - 1)}.
\] (2.19)

The denominator on the right side of (2.19) is positive for \( n \geq 3, \ a + \lambda \geq 0, \ \lambda \geq 0 \). The numerator is positive for large \( n \) only where \( a > 0 \), thus we require \( a > 0 \). Taking \( m = 2 \) in (2.4) we have

\[
\xi_n(x) = \xi_2(x) = \frac{1}{C_1 A_2} \sum_{k=3}^{n} g_k \left[ \frac{1 - C_{k-1} A_k}{A_{k-2} C_k} \right] E_{k-1}^2
\] (2.20)

for \( n \geq 3 \). For \( \xi_2(x) \) we have from (2.3)

\[
\xi_2(x) = \frac{1}{C_1 A_2} \left\{ \frac{4(a + \lambda)[a^2 + a + 2 - \lambda]}{3} x^2 + \frac{2(a + \lambda + 2)^2}{3(a + \lambda + 1)} \right\}.
\] (2.21)

Then \( \xi_2(x) > 0 \) for \(-1 < x < 1\) if

\[
a^2 + a + 2 > 0.
\] (2.22)

When (2.10) holds then \( \xi_n(x) > 0 \), \(-1 < x < 1, \ a > 0, \ a + \lambda > 0, \ n = 2, 3, \ldots, \) and then inequality (2.6) holds for the symmetric Pollaczek polynomials. The region defined by (2.10), \( a > 0, \ \lambda > 0 \), in which the Turán inequality (2.6) holds is shown in Figure 1. The region is the area outside the shaded region bounded by the curve \( a = \frac{\lambda (1 - \lambda)}{1 + \lambda} \) and \( a > 0, \ \lambda > 0 \).
3. LOMMEL POLYNOMIALS AND q-BESSEL FUNCTIONS.

Dickinson, Pollak and Wanier [8] studied a class of polynomials generated by
\[ p_{-1,v}(x) = 0, \quad p_{0,v}(x) = 1, \quad p_{n+1,v}(x) = c_{n+v} x p_{n,v}(x) - p_{n-1,v}(x), \quad n \geq 0. \] (3.1)
The positivity condition (2.1) implies that all the \( c_{n+v} \)'s have the same sign. Since we may replace \( x \) by \(-x\) there is no loss of generality in assuming, \( c_{n+v} > 0 \). Later Goldberg [11] corrected a minor error in [8] and proved that if \( c_{n+v} \to \infty \) as \( n \to \infty \) and
\[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{c_{n+v}} c_{n+v+1} \right], \] (3.2)
converges then there exists an even entire function \( E_v(z) \) such that
\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{p_{n,v}(z)}{z^n c_v c_{v+1} \ldots c_{v+n-1}} = E_v(1/z). \] (3.3)
Furthermore if
\[ 0 < x_1 < x_2 < \ldots \] (3.4)
denote the positive zeros of \( E_v(z) \) then the polynomials \( \{p_{n,v}(x)\} \) are orthogonal with respect to a
discrete measure whose support is \[ \left\{ \frac{1}{x_n} : n > 0 \right\} \cup \{0\} \cup \left\{ -\frac{1}{x_n} : n > 0 \right\}. \]
The Lommel polynomials \( \{h_{n,v}(x)\} \) correspond to the case \( c_{n+v} = 2(n + v) \) [17, §9.63(2)].

THEOREM 2. Assume that \( c_{n+v} \) is monotone increasing. If \( c_{n+v+1}/c_{n+v} \) is bounded and the
series (3.2) converges then
\[ p_{n,v}(1/x) - p_{n+1,v}(1/x_k) p_{n-1,v}(1/x_k) > (1 - c_{n+v}/c_{n+v+1}) p_{n,v}^2 (1/x_k) > 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots. \] (3.5)

PROOF. In the present case \( A_k C_{k-1}/A_{k-2} C_k = c_{k+v}/c_{k+v+2} > 1 \). Also
\[ \xi_n(x) = \frac{p_{n,v}^2 (1/x)}{c_{n+v+1} - p_{n+1,v}(x) p_{n-1,v}(x)/c_{n+v}}. \] (3.6)

It is known that when the moment problem associated with orthonormal polynomials \( \{p_n(x)\} \) has
a unique solution then the corresponding measure has a point mass at \( x = u \) if and only if \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n^2(u) \)
converges [1]. In the case under consideration the orthonormal polynomials are \( \sqrt{c_{n+v}/c_v} \) \( p_{n,v}(x) \), hence
\[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{n+v} p_{n,v}^2 (1/x_k) \] converges for all \( k \geq 1 \) and \( \xi_n(1/x_k) \to \alpha \) as \( n \to \infty \). Thus Corollary 1 is applicable
and for \( n > 0 \) we have
\[ \xi_n(1/x_k) = \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_{m+v}} (-1 + c_{m+v}/c_{m+v-2}) p_{m-1,v}^2 (1/x_k) > \text{the term} \ m = n + 1, \] (3.7)
and (3.5) follows. This completes the proof.

Theorem 2 is the only Turán inequality known for a system of discrete orthogonal polynomials. It
is interesting to note that (3.7) holds on the spectrum and may not necessarily hold on the interval of
orthogonality \(-1/x_1, 1/x_1\). In the case of Lommel polynomials \( h_{n,v}(x) \) the \( x_k \)'s are the positive zeros
of \( J_{v-1}(z) \). We have calculated the roots of \( \xi_n(x) \) when \( n = 8 \) and \( v = 1/2 \). When \( v = 1/2 \) then
\( x_k = (k - 1/2) \pi \). \( \xi_n(x) \) is symmetric, of degree 14, and has four complex roots and 10 real symmetric
roots. Denoting the positive real roots by \( r_1 < r_2 < r_3 < r_4 < r_5 \) we find that
\[ 0 < \frac{1}{x_1} < \frac{1}{x_2} < \frac{1}{x_3} < \frac{1}{x_4} < \frac{1}{x_5}. \] (3.8)
This behavior confirms Theorem 2. That \( 1/x_k \) lies between \( r_4 \) and \( r_5 \) becomes numerically evident only
when these numbers are calculated to a high level of precision. To 17 places we have
Observe that Hurwitz's formula (1.4) and (3.3) indicate that in the case of Lommel polynomials \( \{h_{n,v+1}(x)\} \), the entire function \( E_v(z) \) is \( \Gamma(v+1)(2/z)^\nu J_\nu(z) \). Goldberg [11] noted the three term recurrence relation

\[ p_{n,v}(x) = xc_v p_{n-1,v+1}(x) - p_{n-2,v+2}(x), \quad n > 0. \]  

(3.9)

If we now let \( n \to \infty \) in (3.9) we obtain from (3.3) the three term recurrence relation

\[ E_{v+1}(x) = E_v(x) + \left[ x^2/c_v c_{v+1} \right] E_{v+2}(x). \]  

(3.10)

If we denote \( E_{v+n+1}(z) \) by \( F_n(z) \) we will find that (3.10) resembles (1.3) with

\[ A_n = c_{v+n} c_{v+n+1}/x^2, \quad C_n = c_{v+n} c_{v+n+1}/x^3. \]  

(3.11)

Although now \( A_n \) and \( C_n \) depend on \( x \) the proof of Theorem 1 remains valid and if \( c_{n+v} \) increases with \( v \) and the corresponding \( \zeta_m(x) \to 0 \) as \( m \to \infty \) then

\[ \xi_m(x) > g_{m+1}(x) \left[ 1 - c_{m+v+1}/c_{m+v-1} \right] F_m^2(x). \]  

(3.12)

which is equivalent to

\[ F_m^2(x) - F_{m+1}(x) F_{m-1}(x) > 0. \]  

(3.13)

This establishes the next result.

THEOREM 3. Let \( c_{v+n} \) increase with \( v \) such that the series (3.2) converges. If

\[ \begin{aligned}
\left[ \frac{c_{v+n+1}}{c_{v+n-1}} \right] E_{n+v+1}(x) - E_{n+v} E_{n+v+2}(x) \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{x^2}{c_k c_{k+v+1}} \to 0
\end{aligned} \]  

(3.14)

as \( n \to \infty \) then for \( x > 0 \)

\[ E_{v+1}(x) - E_{v}(x) E_{v+2}(x) > 0, \quad v > 0. \]  

(3.15)

Two interesting examples follow from Theorem 3.10. Firstly in the case \( c_{v+n} = 2(n + v + 1) \), \( E_v(x) = (2/x)^\nu \Gamma(v+1) J_\nu(x) \) and \( E_v(x) \to 1 \) as \( v \to \infty \), hence the assumptions in Theorem 3 are fulfilled for \( v > 0 \). Thus (3.11) reduces to

\[ J_\nu'(x) - J_{\nu+1}(x) J_{\nu-1}(x) > \frac{1}{\nu+1} J_\nu^2(x), \quad v > 0, \; x > 0. \]  

(3.16)

Szász [14] proved (3.16) using recursions. The second example is the case of \( q \)-Bessel functions of Jackson. Renormalized \( q \)-Lommel polynomials satisfy (3.1) with

\[ c_v = 2q^{1/4} \left( q^{-v/2} - q^{v/2} \right), \quad E_v(x) = (2/x)^\nu \frac{(q; q)_\infty}{(q^{v+1}; q)_\infty} J_v^2(x; q), \]  

(3.17)

where we followed the notation

\[ J_v^2(x; q) = \frac{(q^{v+1}; q)_\infty}{(q; q)_\infty} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^n x^{v+2n}}{(q^{v+1}; q)_n (q; q)_n} q^{n(n+v)}, \]  

(3.18)

for Jackson's \( q \)-Bessel functions [12]. Jackson's original notation was different but the current notation is due to Ismail and since it was introduced in [12] it has become widely used especially after Gasper and Rahman adopted it in their excellent book [10]. The limiting relation (3.14) is satisfied since

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} (x/2)^\nu J_{v+n}(x; q) = 1/(q; q)_\infty, \]  

(3.19)

which follows from (3.18) and the assumption \( 0 < q < 1 \). Now (3.15) yields the Turán inequality

\[ \left[ J_v^2(x; q) \right]^2 - J_{v+1}^2(x; q) J_{v-1}^2(x; q) > q^{2v}(1-q)/1 - q^{v+1} J_v^2(x; q)^2, \quad v > 0, \; x > 0. \]  

(3.20)
It is clear from (3.18) that \((1-q)^{-\nu} J_{\nu}^{(2)}(x(1-q); q) \rightarrow J_{\nu}(x)\) as \(q \rightarrow 1^-\). The limiting case \(q \rightarrow 1^-\) of (3.20), after replacing \(x\) by \((1-q)x\), is the inequality

\[
J_{\nu}^2(x) - J_{\nu+1}(x) J_{\nu-1}(x) > -\frac{1}{\nu+1} J_{\nu}^2(x),
\]

mentioned earlier.
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