

2-2014

## JRP minutes 2/11/2014

Brandon Telchi  
btelchi@usf.edu

Follow this and additional works at: [http://scholarcommons.usf.edu/sg\\_jud\\_pubs](http://scholarcommons.usf.edu/sg_jud_pubs)

---

### Scholar Commons Citation

Telchi, Brandon, "JRP minutes 2/11/2014" (2014). *Judicial Branch Publications*. Paper 41.  
[http://scholarcommons.usf.edu/sg\\_jud\\_pubs/41](http://scholarcommons.usf.edu/sg_jud_pubs/41)

This Judicial Meeting Minutes is brought to you for free and open access by the Student Government at Scholar Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Judicial Branch Publications by an authorized administrator of Scholar Commons. For more information, please contact [scholarcommons@usf.edu](mailto:scholarcommons@usf.edu).



## Judicial Review Panel Agenda

Tuesday, 11 February, 2014

4:00 PM

- I. Attendance
  - a. Announce Absences
  - b. List Guests
- II. Open Forum
- III. New Business
  - a. Judicial Review Submission- 6 Feb 2014
- IV. Announcements
- V. Adjournment

Bryan Buenaventura (BB): I call this meeting to order, the judicial review panel. The time is 4:13PM. The day is February 11<sup>th</sup>, 2014. Present is court leadership: which is myself Bryan Buenaventura, Daniel Shapiro (DS), Sammy Hamed (SH). And is there a motion to move into open forum?

DS: so moved

BB: are there any objections? Seeing none, is- we are now in open forum. Does anyone have anything to say in open forum? Seeing none, is there a motion to move out of open forum and into new business?

DS: so moved

BB: are there any objections? Seeing none, we are now in new business, which is the judicial review submission of senate bill 5417 which were updates to the title 7. We received a request for judicial review which is the first one that we've received and the first time we ever provide this service and I believe the actual request is vague in itself, where it doesn't specifically ask for what they want to remedy and what the exact conflict of the constitution is and therefore I feel that we may opt to summarily dismiss this without any binding ruling. Does anyone else have any- anything to add to what I just said?

DS: yeah, with an omnibus bill like this 5417 it's necessary when conducting a review to have specific provisions of the bill listed and there is nothing; there is no clear remedy sought and there is no listed believed conflict with the student body constitution. So I think this is too vague a request for us to consider and I would recommend pursuant with ROP 17.4.1 that we see summarily dismiss this grievance without issuing a binding rule.

BB: okay, all of those in favor I'll just go down: Shapiro you wish to do that?

DS: yes, I wish to dismiss the complaint.

BB: and Sammy do you wish to do that?

SH: yes sir I wish to dismiss this request for review.

BB: okay, and with that, with a two to zero to one, this has been summarily dismissed. And we do not have to issue a binding ruling. Okay, with that said, are there- is there a motion to move out of new business into announcements?

DS: so moved

BB: are there any objections? Seeing none, we are now adjourned at 4:16pm.