

8-7-2013

## ROP minutes 8/7/2013

Brandon Telchi  
btelchi@usf.edu

Follow this and additional works at: [http://scholarcommons.usf.edu/sg\\_jud\\_pubs](http://scholarcommons.usf.edu/sg_jud_pubs)

---

### Scholar Commons Citation

Telchi, Brandon, "ROP minutes 8/7/2013" (2013). *Judicial Branch Publications*. Paper 21.  
[http://scholarcommons.usf.edu/sg\\_jud\\_pubs/21](http://scholarcommons.usf.edu/sg_jud_pubs/21)

This Judicial Meeting Minutes is brought to you for free and open access by the Student Government at Scholar Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Judicial Branch Publications by an authorized administrator of Scholar Commons. For more information, please contact [scholarcommons@usf.edu](mailto:scholarcommons@usf.edu).



## **ROP Revision Committee Agenda**

Wednesday, August 7, 2013

6:00 PM

- I. Attendance
  - a. Announce Absences
  - b. List Guests
- II. Additions/Deletions to Agenda
- III. Additions/Deletions to Minutes
  - a. ROP Revision Committee meeting 07/24/2013
- IV. Open Forum
- V. Committee Member Reports
- VI. SGATO Report
- VII. Old Business
- VIII. New Business
  - a. Justice McCance Review of Appendix C - Trials and any last recommendations.
  - b. Dissolution of Committee
- IX. Announcements
- X. Adjournment

*University of South Florida Government  
Supreme Court 2013-2014*

Bryan Buenaventura (BB): I call this committee meeting to order; the time is 6 o'clock pm; the day is August 7<sup>th</sup>, 2013. Present is myself Bryan Buenaventura, Daniel Shapiro (DS), Michael Kalmowicz (MK), Corey McCance (CM), Sammy Hamed (SH), our clerk Brandon Telchi; from SGATO we have Katherine Burkhardt (KB). As guests we have solicitor general Shaheen Norri and as a guest we have the chief justice from USF. St Pete, Alexander Johnson. Are there any additions/deletions to the agenda? Seeing none, is there a motion to approve this agenda?

DS: so moved

BB: are there any objections? Seeing none, this agenda has been approved. Is there a motion to move into additions/deletions to minutes?

SH: so moved

BB: are there any objections? Seeing none, we are now in additions/deletions to minutes. There are minutes from July 24<sup>th</sup>, 2013; from our last meeting. Is there a motion to approve these minutes?

SH: so moved

BB: are there any objections? Seeing none, these minutes are now approved. Is there a motion to move into open forum?

DS: so moved

BB: are there any objections? Seeing none, we are now in open forum. Does anyone have anything to say? Seeing none, is there a motion to move out of open forum and into committee member reports?

MK: so moved

BB: any objections? Seeing none, we are now in committee member reports. Does any committee member report-have anything, anyone have anything to say? Seeing none, is there a motion to move into SGATO report?

MK: so moved

BB: any objections? Seeing none, we are now in SGATO report. Does SGATO have anything to report?

KB: shared everything in outreach.

BB: thank you. Is there a motion to move out of SGATO report and into old business?

SH: so moved

BB: we're now in old business; there is no old business. Is there a motion to close new business-old business and move into new business?

MK: so moved

*University of South Florida Government  
Supreme Court 2013-2014*

BB: any objections? Seeing none, we are now in new business. And we are now in our last portion of ROP revisions where we will discuss Appendix C, Trials. And if there is any other last recommendations that we want to get in before we formally vote on them at the next general meeting, by all means do so. As always, to discuss this effectively I'm going to ask for a motion for unmoderated caucus.

CM: so moved

BB: are there any objections to having an unmoderated caucus? Seeing none, we are now going into unmoderated caucus at 6:03pm.

BB: we are now back from unmoderated caucus, the time is now 6:53pm. Everyone is accounted for with the exception of Michael Kalmowicz, who had stepped out, and we have two new additional members, we have Jean Cocco and if you could please state your name

Andrew (inaudible)

BB: thank you. I will now go briefly over the suggestions that we made for Appendix C, our trial rules of procedure and they go as follows: we made minor changes to 1.3 where we struck chief justice and added supreme court; we struck out 1.6 upon written motion from an adverse party; for 1.9.1 we struck chief justice and replaced it with clerk; for 1.9.2 we specified that it would be within 10 business days and struck out in timely manner; for 1.10.6.1 we added wrongfully prevented from raising and struck out unable to raise; for 2.2.3 we struck supreme court and replaced it with solicitor general and/or attorney general; for 3.1.3 we struck to obtain evidence for use at trial and we replaced it with to submit evidence post-deadline at the discretion of the chief justice; we struck out completely 3.4.1; we added to 4.3 solely after the sentence "the chief justice shall be solely responsible"; for 4.7.2 we added shall not discuss the case with non-court members, instead of anyone; did the same on 5.3 where we added the world solely be responsible for ensuring no rights are violated; and that concludes our revisions for this section. I have saved the-these ROPs as a final draft so I encourage you all to look at it and make sure if you see any additions bring them because now we will resume our general business meetings. So if there's no other last minute changes to this we can move on with the agenda, to the dissolution of this committee. Since our job here is done, we pretty much went through all of our ROP suggestions, I'm just going to ask for a motion to dissolve this committee.

SH: so moved

BB: are there any objections? Seeing none, this committee has now been dissolved and after adjournment today we will no longer meet with this ROP committee and we will resume our general business meetings. Is there a motion to close new business and move into announcements?

MK: so moved

BB: are there any objections? Seeing none, we are now in announcements. Does anyone have anything to announce? Seeing none, is there a motion to close announcements and move into adjournment?

SH: so moved

BB: are there any objections? Seeing none, this committee meeting is adjourned at 6:57pm.