

@ UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH FLORIDA

University of South Florida [Digital Commons @ University of](https://digitalcommons.usf.edu/) [South Florida](https://digitalcommons.usf.edu/)

[Mathematics and Statistics Faculty](https://digitalcommons.usf.edu/mth_facpub)

Mathematics and Statistics

12-2016

Random Walks in a Sparse Random Environment

Anastasios Matzavinos Brown University

Alexander Roitershtein Iowa State University

Youngsoo Seol University of South Florida

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.usf.edu/mth_facpub](https://digitalcommons.usf.edu/mth_facpub?utm_source=digitalcommons.usf.edu%2Fmth_facpub%2F11&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

C Part of the [Mathematics Commons](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/174?utm_source=digitalcommons.usf.edu%2Fmth_facpub%2F11&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Scholar Commons Citation

Matzavinos, Anastasios; Roitershtein, Alexander; and Seol, Youngsoo, "Random Walks in a Sparse Random Environment" (2016). Mathematics and Statistics Faculty Publications. 11. [https://digitalcommons.usf.edu/mth_facpub/11](https://digitalcommons.usf.edu/mth_facpub/11?utm_source=digitalcommons.usf.edu%2Fmth_facpub%2F11&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Mathematics and Statistics at Digital Commons @ University of South Florida. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mathematics and Statistics Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ University of South Florida. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@usf.edu.

Electron. J. Probab. **21** (2016), no. 72, 1[–20.](#page-20-0) ISSN: [1083-6489](http://www.imstat.org/ejp/) DOI: [10.1214/16-EJP16](http://dx.doi.org/10.1214/16-EJP16)

Anastasios Matzavinos* Alexander Roitershtein† Youngsoo Seol‡

Abstract

We introduce random walks in a sparse random environment on $\mathbb Z$ and investigate basic asymptotic properties of this model, such as recurrence-transience, asymptotic speed, and limit theorems in both the transient and recurrent regimes. The new model combines features of several existing models of random motion in random media and admits a transparent physical interpretation. More specifically, a random walk in a sparse random environment can be characterized as a "locally strong" perturbation of a simple random walk by a random potential induced by "rare impurities," which are randomly distributed over the integer lattice. Interestingly, in the critical (recurrent) regime, our model generalizes Sinai's scaling of $(\log n)^2$ for the location of the random walk after *n* steps to $(\log n)^{\alpha}$, where $\alpha > 0$ is a parameter determined by the distribution of the distance between two successive impurities. Similar scaling factors have appeared in the literature in different contexts and have been discussed in [\[29\]](#page-19-0) and [\[31\]](#page-19-1).

Keywords: RWRE; sparse environment; limit theorems; Sinai's walk. **AMS MSC 2010:** primary 60K37; secondary 60F05. Submitted to EJP on September 5, 2016, final version accepted on November 24, 2016.

1 Introduction: the model

We start with a general description of one-dimensional random walks in a random environment. Let $\Omega = (0, 1)^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and let F be the Borel σ -algebra of subsets of the product space Ω . A random environment is a random element $\omega = (\omega_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of the measurable space (Ω, \mathcal{F}) . The environment determines the transition kernel of the underlying random walk. Namely, a random walk in a random environment $\omega = (\omega_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \Omega$ is a Markov chain $(X_n)_{n>0}$ on $\mathbb Z$, the transition kernel of which is given by

$$
P_{\omega}(X_{n+1}=j|X_n=i) = \begin{cases} \omega_i & \text{if } j=i+1\\ 1-\omega_i & \text{if } j=i-1\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

^{*}Division of Applied Mathematics, Brown University, Providence, RI 02912, USA E-mail: matzavinos@brown.edu

[†]Department of Mathematics, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011, USA

E-mail: roiterst@iastate.edu

[‡]Department of Mathematics, University of South Florida, Tampa, FL 33620, USA E-mail: yseol@usf.edu

The probability distribution of this Markov chain, starting at the initial state $X_0 = x$, $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, is denoted by $P_{x,\omega}$ and is referred to as the *quenched law* of the random walk.

We denote the probability distribution of ω in (Ω, \mathcal{F}) by P, and we let E_P denote the corresponding expectation operator. That is, for a measurable function $f(\omega)$ of the environment ω , we have $E_P(f) = \int_{\Omega} f(\omega) dP(\omega)$. Let $\mathcal G$ be the cylinder σ -algebra on $\mathbb Z^n$. A random walk in a random environment (RWRE) associated with P is a process (X,ω) on the measurable space $(\Omega \times \mathbb{Z}^N, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G})$ equipped with the annealed probability law $\mathbb{P} = P \otimes P_{\omega}$, which is defined by

$$
\mathbb{P}_x(F \times G) = \int_F P_{x,\omega}(G) P(d\omega), \quad F \in \mathcal{F}, \ G \in \mathcal{G}.
$$

The expectations under the laws $P_{x,\omega}$ and \mathbb{P}_x are denoted by $E_{x,\omega}$ and \mathbb{E}_x , respectively. We will usually omit the index 0 when $x = 0$, which is to say that we will write P_{ω} , E_{ω} , P, and E for $P_{0,\omega}$, $E_{0,\omega}$, P_0 , and E_0 , respectively. Notice that, since the process "learns" the environment according to the Bayes rule as time progresses, $X = (X_n)_{n>0}$ is not, in general, a Markov chain under the annealed measure P.

We now describe in detail the specific model of random environment that we consider in this paper. Let $(\lambda_k, d_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a stationary and ergodic sequence of pairs, such that $\lambda_k \in (0,1)$ and $d_k \in \mathbb{N}$. Throughout the paper we denote by P the joint law of the sequence of pairs $(\lambda_k, d_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ let

$$
a_n = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k & \text{if } n > 0\\ 0 & \text{if } n = 0\\ -\sum_{k=-n}^0 d_k & \text{if } n < 0. \end{cases}
$$

The random variables a_n serve as locations of random impurities in the, otherwise homogeneous, random medium. More precisely, the sparse random environment ω associated with P is defined by

$$
\omega_n = \begin{cases} \lambda_k & \text{if } n = a_k \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ 1/2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$
(1.1)

For future reference, we also define

$$
\rho_n = \frac{1 - \omega_n}{\omega_n}
$$
 and $\xi_n = \frac{1 - \lambda_n}{\lambda_n}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. (1.2)

We refer to the random walk in the environment defined by (1.1) as a random walk in a sparse random environment (RWSRE). The primary focus of this paper is to illuminate the dependence of the basic properties of RWSREs on the distribution of the sequence $(d_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$, and compare the dynamics of RWSREs to the dynamics of the classical RWRE, which corresponds to the special case $d_0 = 1$ a.s.

In the classical RWRE model, ω is a stationary and ergodic sequence under P [\[37\]](#page-19-2). It is known (see [\[16,](#page-19-3) [28,](#page-19-4) [32,](#page-19-5) [37\]](#page-19-2) and, for instance, [\[1,](#page-18-0) [3,](#page-18-1) [7\]](#page-18-2) and [\[9,](#page-18-3) [10,](#page-18-4) [21\]](#page-19-6) for some recent advances in the recurrent and transient cases, respectively) that asymptotic results for one-dimensional RWREs can usually be stated in terms of certain averages of functions of ρ_0 and explained by means of typical "landscape features" (such as traps and valleys) of the random potential $(R_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$, which is associated with the random environment as follows:

$$
R_n = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=1}^n \log \rho_k & \text{if } n > 0, \\ -\sum_{k=0}^{|n-1|} \log \rho_{-k} & \text{if } n < 0. \end{cases}
$$
 (1.3)

EJP **21** [\(2016\), paper 72.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1214/16-EJP16)

We remark that interpreting a RWRE as a random walk in the random potential (1.3) serves to provide heuristic explanations to most results about RWREs, including those discussed in this paper.

In our model, the sparse environment ω_n is defined as a simple functional of the marked point process $(a_n, \lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, and it is in general non-stationary. However, it is well known that if $E_p(d_0) < \infty$, the underlying probability space can be enlarged to include a random variable M, such that the random shift $(a_{n+M}, \lambda_{n+M})_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of the sequence $(a_n, \lambda_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is stationary and ergodic. Therefore, one should expect that if $E_P(d_0)<\infty$, basic zero-one laws, such as recurrence-transience, existence of asymptotic speed, and ballisticity, are similar to the corresponding features of the RWRE associated with the random environment $\omega = (\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$.

However, an analogous claim about the similarity of limit theorems for random walks in the environments λ and ω is less obvious. Indeed, the dependence structure associated with the environment plays a crucial role in such theorems, and it is clearly not preserved under the transformation $\lambda \mapsto \omega$. We remark, for instance, that the transformation of an i.i.d. environment yields a stationary and ergodic transformation of a Markov chain. See Sections [2](#page-3-0) and [3.3](#page-7-0) for a more detailed discussion, and Section 3.5 for the case $E(d_0) = \infty$.

In the continuous setting, a model which is closely related to the RWSREs discussed in this paper is the multi-skewed Brownian motion introduced in [\[24\]](#page-19-7). A direct discretetime analogue of the multi-skewed Brownian motion is a multi-skewed random walk, which can be introduced as a quenched variant of our model when $(\lambda_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a certain deterministic sequence of constants. In accordance to the physical motivation of the model in [\[24\]](#page-19-7), the author refers to the marked sites (i.e., the elements of A in the author's notation) as interfaces, while the long stretches of "regular" sites between interfaces are referred to by the author as layers.

We remark that certain random environments that consist of alternating stretches of sites of two different types, and induce sub-linear growth rates on the underlying random processes, have been considered in [\[23,](#page-19-8) [31\]](#page-19-1) and, in a slightly different context, in [\[4\]](#page-18-5). The overlap between results and proof methods in this work and in [\[23,](#page-19-8) [31\]](#page-19-1) is minimal, and it is discussed in more detail in Section [3.](#page-5-0)

Somewhat related to our work is the study of [\[20,](#page-19-9) [19\]](#page-19-10), where it is shown that an $X_n \sim (\log n)^{\alpha}$ asymptotic behavior of the random walk can occur under a perturbation of an i.i.d. recurrent environment $(\omega_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of the form $\omega_n^{\text{new}}=\omega_n+f_n,$ where $E_P\left(\log\frac{1-\omega_0}{\omega_0}\right)=$ 0 and f_n converges to zero in probability as $|n| \to \infty$. A heuristic explanation of this phenomenon can be provided by considering that both recurrent and transient random walks "spread out," and hence, for a large class of perturbations f_n , a typical landscape of the environment as viewed from the particle at time n can be identified. Moreover, it can be shown that this typical landscape is a dominant factor in determining the asymptotic behavior of the random walk as n goes to infinity.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section [2,](#page-3-0) we introduce a notion of a dual stationary environment along with the Palm dualities that are used in the proof of our results. In Section [3](#page-5-0) we state and discuss our main results for the asymptotic behavior of RWSREs. These include recurrence and transience criteria, asymptotic speed, stable laws, and a Sinai-type result for RWSREs. Finally, in Section [4](#page-11-0) we present the proofs of our results.

2 Dual stationary environment

The sparse environment introduced in Section [1](#page-1-0) is in general a non-stationary sequence. The aim of this section is to introduce a dual stationary environment and relate

the properties of the RWSRE to the corresponding properties of the RWRE in the dual environment. If $E_p(d_0) < \infty$, then the underlying probability space can be enlarged to include a non-negative random variable M, such that the random shift $(\omega_{n-M})_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of the environment $(\omega_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is stationary and ergodic. Furthermore, the distribution of the sparse environment turns out to be the distribution of its stationary version conditioned on the event $0 \in \mathcal{A}$.

In contrast to the usual RWRE, $\omega = (\omega_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is in general a non-stationary sequence in the RWSRE model. In fact, ω is cycle-stationary under $\mathbb P$, namely

$$
\theta^{d_n}\omega =_D \omega \qquad \text{under } P \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z},
$$

where $X = D Y$ means that the distributions of the random variables X and Y coincide, and the shift θ^k is a measurable mapping of (Ω, \mathcal{F}) into itself which is defined for any (possibly random) $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$
(\theta^k \omega)_n = \omega_{n+k}, \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}.
$$

If $E_P(d_1) < \infty$ one can define a "stationary dual" $\tilde{\omega}$ of the environment ω as follows [\[35,](#page-19-11) [36\]](#page-19-12). Without loss of generality, we assume that the underlying probability space supports a random variable U, which is independent of ω and is distributed uniformly on the interval $[0, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, let $|x|$ denote the integer part of x, that is $\lfloor x \rfloor = \sup\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : n \leq x\}$. We now define $(\widetilde{a}_n, \widetilde{\omega}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ by setting

$$
\widetilde{a}_n = a_n + \lfloor Ud_0 \rfloor \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\omega}_n = \begin{cases} \lambda_k & \text{if } n = \widetilde{a}_k \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ 1/2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

Let $\mathcal{A} = (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be the set of marked sites of the integer lattice and let $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} = (\widetilde{a}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ denote its randomly shifted version introduced above. Furthermore, let

$$
e_n = \mathbf{1}_{\{n \in \mathcal{A}\}} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \widetilde{e}_n = \mathbf{1}_{\{n \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}\}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},
$$

and let $\Upsilon := (e_n, \omega_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\Upsilon := (\widetilde{e}_n, \widetilde{\omega}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Notice that this construction implies the identity $\left(\tilde{e}_n, \tilde{\omega}_n\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} = \left(\theta^{-M} e_n, \theta^{-M} \omega_n\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, where $M := \lfloor U d_0 \rfloor$.

For sparse environments induced by a renewal sequence a_n , the dual environment can be defined equivalently in a rather explicit manner as a functional of an auxiliary Markov chain. We will exploit this alternative construction in Section [4.3.](#page-14-0) The uniqueness of the dual environment (which implies, in particular, that the alternative construction yields the same dual) follows from the reverse "stationary to cycle-stationary" Palm duality described, for instance, in [\[36,](#page-19-12) Theorem 1].

The following theorem is an adaptation to our setting of the classical Palm dualities [\[35,](#page-19-11) Chapter 8] between the distribution of Υ under P and the distribution of Υ under a measure Q equivalent to P.

Theorem 2.1 (see Theorem 2 in [\[36\]](#page-19-12)). Assume that $(\lambda_n, d_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a stationary ergodic sequence under P and $E_P(d_0) < \infty$. Define a new probability measure Q on the Borel subsets of the product set $({0, 1} \times (0, 1))^{\mathbb{Z}}$ by setting

$$
\frac{dQ}{dP(v)} = \frac{d_0(v)}{E_P(d_0)}, \qquad v \in (\{0, 1\} \times (0, 1))^{\mathbb{Z}}.
$$

Then:

- (a) $(\widetilde{e}_n, \widetilde{\omega}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a stationary and ergodic sequence under Q.
- (b) $P(\mathcal{A} \in \cdot) = Q(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} \in \cdot | 0 \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}).$

We remark that although the claim that the sequence $\widetilde{\Upsilon}_n = (\widetilde{e}_n, \widetilde{\omega}_n)$ is ergodic is not explicitly made in [\[36\]](#page-19-12), it can be deduced, for instance, from the result of Exercise 1 in [\[22,](#page-19-13) p. 56]. The following is a straightforward corollary to Theorem [2.1.](#page-4-0) For the sake of completeness, the proof is given in the Appendix.

Corollary 2.2. Under the conditions of Theorem [2.1,](#page-4-0) we have:

(a)
$$
E_P(d_0^2) = E_P(d_0) \cdot E_Q(d_0)
$$
.

(b)
$$
E_Q(d_0) = 2E_Q(\tilde{a}_0) + 1.
$$

We remark that the identity $E_Q(d_0) = 2E_Q(a_0) + 1$ can be thought of as a variation of the "waiting time paradox" of the classical renewal theory [\[8\]](#page-18-6).

3 Asymptotic behavior of RWSRE

In this section, we state the basic limit theorems that describe the asymptotic behavior of the random walk X_n , while the proofs are provided in Section [4.](#page-11-0) We first state recurrence and transience criteria for RWSRE.

3.1 Recurrence and transience criteria

Let $\sigma_0 = 0$ and

$$
\sigma_n = \inf \{ k \in \mathbb{N} : k > \sigma_{n-1} \text{ and } X_k \in \mathcal{A} \}.
$$

Thus $(\sigma_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ consists of the times of successive visits of X_n to the random point set A. Define a nearest-neighbor random walk $(\mathbb{X}_n)_{n\geq 0}$ on $\mathbb Z$ by setting

$$
\mathbb{X}_n = k \quad \text{if and only if} \quad X_{\sigma_n} = a_k. \tag{3.1}
$$

Taking into account the solution of the gambler's ruin problem for the simple symmetric random walk, we note that X_n is a RWRE with quenched transition probabilities given by

$$
P_{\omega}(\mathbf{X}_{n+1} = j | \mathbf{X}_n = i) = \begin{cases} \xi_i \cdot \frac{1}{d_i} & \text{if } j = i+1\\ (1 - \xi_i) \cdot \frac{1}{d_{i-1}} & \text{if } j = i-1\\ \xi_i \cdot \frac{d_i - 1}{d_i} + (1 - \xi_i) \cdot \frac{d_{i-1} - 1}{d_{i-1}} & \text{if } j = i\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$
(3.2)

Moreover, $\limsup_{n\to\infty}X_n=\limsup_{n\to\infty}X_n$ and $\liminf_{n\to\infty}X_n=\liminf_{n\to\infty}X_n$, $P-a.s$. Thus, under very mild conditions, recurrence and transience criteria for the RWSRE X_n can be derived directly from the corresponding criteria for the RWRE X_n (see, for instance, [\[37,](#page-19-2) Theorem 2.1.2] for the latter). More precisely, we have:

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that the following three conditions are satisfied:

- 1. The sequence of pairs $(d_n, \lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is stationary and ergodic
- 2. $E_P(\log \xi_0)$ exists (possibly infinite)
- 3. $E_P(\log d_0) < \infty$.

Then:

- (a) $E_P(\log \xi_0) < 0$ implies $\lim_{n\to\infty} X_n = +\infty$, $\mathbb{P} \text{a.s.}$
- (b) $E_P(\log \xi_0) > 0$, implies $\lim_{n\to\infty} X_n = -\infty$, $\mathbb{P} \text{a.s.}$
- (c) $E_P(\log \xi_0) = 0$ implies $\liminf_{n \to \infty} X_n = -\infty$ and $\limsup_{n \to \infty} X_n = +\infty$, $\mathbb{P} \text{a.s.}$

Theorem [3.1](#page-5-1) implies that as long as $E_P(\log d_0)$ is finite, the sparse environment ω induces the same recurrence-transience behavior as the underlying random environment λ . The following theorem shows that the opposite phenomenon occurs when $E_P(\log d_0)$ $+\infty$. Namely, the properties of λ are essentially irrelevant to the basic asymptotic behavior of X_n .

Theorem 3.2. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

- 1. The sequence of pairs $(d_n, \lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is stationary and ergodic
- 2. The random variables d_n are i.i.d.
- 3. $E_P(|\log \xi_0|) < +\infty$ while $E_P(\log d_0) = +\infty$.

Then, $\liminf_{n\to\infty}X_n=-\infty$ and $\limsup_{n\to\infty}X_n=+\infty$, $\mathbb{P}-a$.s.

We remark that $(d_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is not necessarily independent of $(\lambda_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$. The proof of Theorem [3.2](#page-6-0) is given in Section [4.1.](#page-11-1)

3.2 Transient RWSRE: asymptotic speed

We now turn our attention to the law of large numbers for X_n . Whenever it exists, $\lim_{n\to\infty} X_n/n$ is referred to as the asymptotic speed of the random walk. Let $T_0 = 0$ and for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
T_n = \inf\{k \ge 0 : X_k = n\} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_n = T_{a_n} - T_{a_{n-1}}.
$$
 (3.3)

Let

$$
\overline{S} = 1 + 2 \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \prod_{j=0}^{i} \xi_j \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{F} = 1 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} \xi_{-j}^{-1}.
$$
 (3.4)

We have the following:

Theorem 3.3. Let the conditions of Theorem [3.1](#page-5-1) hold. Suppose in addition that $(d_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is independent of $(\lambda_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ under P. Then the asymptotic speed of the RWSRE exists $P - a.s.$ Moreover,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\lim_{n\to\infty}X_n/n=\mathbf{v}_P\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(\lim_{n\to\infty}T_n/n=1/\mathbf{v}_P\right)=1,
$$

where $v_P \in (-1, 1)$ is a constant whose reciprocal v_P^{-1} is equal to

$$
\frac{1}{\mathbf{v}_P} = \mathbf{1}_{\{\lim_{n \to \infty} X_n = +\infty\}} \left[\frac{\text{VAR}_P(d_0)}{E_P(d_0)} + E_P(\overline{S}) \cdot E_P(d_0) \right]
$$
(3.5)
-
$$
\mathbf{1}_{\{\lim_{n \to \infty} X_n = -\infty\}} \cdot \left[\frac{\text{VAR}_P(d_0)}{E_P(d_0)} + E_P(\overline{F}) \cdot E_P(d_0) \right], \quad \mathbb{P}-\text{a.s.}
$$

Notice that if λ_i (and hence ξ_i) are i.i.d., then [\(3.5\)](#page-6-1) reduces to

$$
\frac{1}{\mathbf{v}_P} = \mathbf{1}_{\{\lim_{n \to \infty} X_n = +\infty\}} \cdot \left[\frac{\text{VAR}_P(d_0)}{E_P(d_0)} + E_P(d_0) \cdot \frac{1 + E_P(\xi_0)}{1 - E_P(\xi_0)} \right] - \mathbf{1}_{\{\lim_{n \to \infty} X_n = -\infty\}} \cdot \left[\frac{\text{VAR}_P(d_0)}{E_P(d_0)} + E_P(d_0) \cdot \frac{1 + E_P(\xi_0)}{1 - E_P(\xi_0)} \right], \qquad P - \text{a.s.}
$$

In order to compare [\(3.5\)](#page-6-1) with the corresponding result for the regular RWRE, note that if $\lim_{n\to\infty} X_n = +\infty$, $\mathbb{P} - as$, then [\(3.5\)](#page-6-1) reduces to

$$
\frac{1}{\mathbf{v}_P} = \frac{\text{VAR}_P(d_0)}{E_P(d_0)} + E_P(d_0) \cdot E_P(\overline{S}), \qquad \mathbb{P}-\mathbf{a}.\mathbf{s}.
$$

EJP **21** [\(2016\), paper 72.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1214/16-EJP16)

Recall the dual environment $\tilde{\omega}$ defined in Section [2,](#page-3-0) and let

$$
\widetilde{\rho}_n = \frac{1 - \widetilde{\omega}_n}{\widetilde{\omega}_n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \widetilde{S} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 + \widetilde{\rho}_{-i}) \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} \widetilde{\rho}_{-j} + 1 + \widetilde{\rho}_0. \tag{3.6}
$$

It is well known that the asymptotic speed of the usual RWRE is given by $1/E_P(S)$ (see, for instance, [\[37,](#page-19-2) Theorem 2.1.9]). The proof of the following proposition is straightforward, and it is provided in the Appendix.

Proposition 3.4. Let the conditions of Theorem [3.3](#page-6-2) hold. Suppose in addition that

1.
$$
\lim_{n\to\infty} X_n = +\infty, \, \mathbb{P}-\mathbf{a}.\mathbf{s}.
$$

$$
2. \, E_P(d_0) < \infty.
$$

Then, $v_P = 1/E_Q(\tilde{S})$.

We remark that a proposition similar to Proposition [3.4](#page-7-1) can be obtained when the random walk is transient to the left (i.e., when $\lim_{n\to\infty} X_n = -\infty$, P – a.s.) by replacing $\widetilde{\rho}_{-k}$ with ρ_k^{-1} in the formula [\(3.6\)](#page-7-2) for \widetilde{S} .
Theorem 2.2 immediately violed the

Theorem [3.3](#page-6-2) immediately yields the following version of Theorem 1.3 and Corol-lary 1.4 in [\[23\]](#page-19-8). For any constants $\mu > 0$ and $\nu \geq 0$, we denote by $\mathcal{P}^{\circ}_{\mu,\nu}$ the set of distributions $(\lambda_n, d_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ for which the conditions of Theorem [3.3](#page-6-2) hold and

$$
E_P(d_0) = \mu
$$
 and $1/E_P(\overline{S}) = \nu$.

We then have:

Corollary 3.5. $\max_{P \in \mathcal{P}^\circ_{\mu,\nu}} v_P = \nu/\mu$. Furthermore, the maximum is attained at $P \in \mathcal{P}^\circ_{\mu,\nu}$ if and only if $VAR_P(d₀) = 0$.

Combining this result with [\[34,](#page-19-14) Theorem 4.1], we obtain the following corollary. For any constants $\mu > 0$ and $b < 0$, we denote by $\mathcal{P}_{\mu,\nu}^*$ the set of distributions $(\lambda_n, d_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ for which the conditions of Theorem [3.3](#page-6-2) hold and

$$
E_P(d_0) = \mu
$$
 and $E_P(\log \xi_0) = b$.

Corollary 3.6. We have:

$$
\max_{P \in \mathcal{P}^*_{\mu,\nu}} \mathbf{v}_P = \frac{1}{\mu} \cdot \frac{1 - e^b}{1 + e^b}.
$$

Furthermore, the maximum is attained at $P \in \mathcal{P}^{\circ}_{\mu,\nu}$ if and only if $\mathrm{VAR}_{P}(d_0) = \mathrm{VAR}_{P}(\lambda_0) = 0$ 0, in which case $\lambda_0 = \frac{1}{1+e^b}$, $P - \text{a.s.}$

The slowdown of a one-dimensional random walk in a random environment, as compared to a simple random walk, is a well-known general phenomenon [\[11,](#page-18-7) [32,](#page-19-5) [37\]](#page-19-2) that can be explained heuristically by fluctuations in the associated random potential. For example, a random walk transient to the right will quickly pass stretches of the environment that "push" it forward, but will be "trapped" for a long time in atypical stretches that "push" it backward. The situation is different in higher dimensions. See, for instance, [\[26\]](#page-19-15).

3.3 Stable limit laws for transient RWSRE

The aim of this section is to derive non-Gaussian limit laws for transient random walks in a sparse random environment. The existence of the stationary dual environment suggests that the limit theorems can be first obtained for the random walk in the dual environment and then translated into the corresponding results for the RWSRE. In what

follows, we adopt this approach even though it has the shortcoming of restricting our derivation to a class of i.i.d. environments for which stable laws in the dual setting are known. It appears plausible that alternative methodologies, which would be considerably more technically involved, such as a direct generalization of the "branching process" approach of [\[16,](#page-19-3) [18\]](#page-19-16), or an adaptation of the "random potential" method developed in [\[10\]](#page-18-4), would allow to extend the results presented in this chapter to a larger class of i.i.d. environments (and also perhaps to some Markov-dependent environments).

We will adopt here the following set of assumptions:

Assumption 3.7.

- (A1) $(\lambda_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is an i.i.d. sequence
- (A2) $(d_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is an i.i.d. sequence independent of $(\lambda_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$
- (A3) $P(\epsilon < \lambda_0 < 1 \epsilon) = 1$ for some $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2)$.
- (A4) For some $\kappa > 0$,

$$
E_P(\xi_0^{\kappa}) = 1\tag{3.7}
$$

- (A5) There exists a constant $M > 0$ such that $P(d_0 < M) = 1$.
- (A6) The distribution of $\log \xi_0$ is non-arithmetic, that is $P(\log \xi_0 \in \alpha \mathbb{Z}) < 1$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

Notice that (A4) implies by Jensen's inequality that $E_P(\log \xi_0) \leq 0$. In view of (A6), the inequality is strict and hence the random walk is transient to the right. We remark that although condition (A5) appears to be required for our proof, it is likely that it can be relaxed or even omitted.

For any $\kappa \in (0,2]$ and $b > 0$, we denote by $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa,b}$ the stable law of index κ with the characteristic function

$$
\log \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{\kappa,b}(t) = -b|t|^{\kappa} \Big(1 + i \frac{t}{|t|} f_{\kappa}(t) \Big),\tag{3.8}
$$

where $f_{\kappa}(t) = -\tan \frac{\pi}{2} \kappa$ if $\kappa \neq 1$ and $f_1(t) = 2/\pi \log t$. With a slight abuse of notation we use the same symbol for the distribution function of this law. If $\kappa < 1$, $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa,b}$ is supported on the positive reals, and if $\kappa \in (1, 2], \mathcal{L}_{\kappa, b}$ has zero mean [\[27,](#page-19-17) Chapter 1]. For $\kappa = 2$, the law $\mathcal{L}_{2,b}$ is a normal distribution with zero mean and variance equal to 2b.

We have:

Theorem 3.8. Suppose that Assumption [3.7](#page-8-0) is satisfied. Then the following hold for some $b > 0$:

(i) If $\kappa \in (0,1)$, then $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(n^{-\kappa}X_n \leq \mathfrak{z}\right) = 1 - \mathcal{L}_{\kappa,b}(\mathfrak{z}^{-1/\kappa}),$

(ii) If $\kappa = 1$, then $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(n^{-1}(\log n)^2(X_n - \delta(n)) \leq \mathfrak{z}\right) = 1 - \mathcal{L}_{1,b}(-\mathfrak{z})$, for suitable $A_1 > 0$ and $\delta(n) \sim (A_1 \log n)^{-1} n$,

- (iii) If $\kappa \in (1,2)$, then $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(n^{-1/\kappa} \left(X_n nV_P\right) \leq \mathfrak{z}\right) = 1 \mathcal{L}_{\kappa,b}(-\mathfrak{z}),$
- (iv) If $\kappa = 2$, then $\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}((n \log n)^{-1/2}(X_n n \mathbf{v}_P) \leq \mathfrak{z}) = \mathcal{L}_{2,b}(\mathfrak{z}).$

One can readily see that in the context of Theorem [3.8,](#page-8-1) if $\kappa > 2$, then the standard CLT holds (it follows, e.g., from [\[37,](#page-19-2) Theorem 2.2.1]).

For the hitting times T_n , we have:

Proposition 3.9. Let the conditions of Theorem [3.8](#page-8-1) hold. Then the following hold for some $\ddot{b} > 0$:

(i) If $\kappa \in (0, 1)$, then $\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(n^{-1/\kappa}T_n \leq t\right) = \mathcal{L}_{\kappa, \tilde{b}}(t)$,

(ii) If $\kappa = 1$, then $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(n^{-1}(T_n - nD(n)) \leq t\right) = \mathcal{L}_{1,\tilde{b}}(t)$, for suitable $c_0 > 0$ and $D(n) \sim c_0 \log n$,

(iii) If $\kappa \in (1,2)$, then $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(n^{-1/\kappa}\left(T_n - n v_P^{-1}\right) \leq t\right) = \mathcal{L}_{\kappa,\tilde{b}}(t)$, (iv) If $\kappa = 2$, then $\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}((n \log n)^{-1/2}(T_n - n \mathbf{v}_P^{-1}) \le t) = \mathcal{L}_{2, \tilde{b}}(t)$.

It can be shown that the value of the parameter b in the statement of Theorem [3.8](#page-8-1) is solely determined by the distribution of λ_0 ; in particular, it is independent of the distribution of d_0 , provided that d_0 satisfies the conditions of the theorem. This result may appear surprising at first, especially in view of a large deviation interpretation of κ given in [\[37,](#page-19-2) Section 2.4] (it is not hard to see that the rate functions of the random potentials associated with the sequences ξ_n and ρ_n are actually different). However, it can be explained in terms of the associated branching process and the corresponding interpretation for κ . Furthermore, a careful inspection of the proof given in Section [4.3](#page-14-0) shows that both parameters b and \overline{b} of the limiting distributions are decreasing functions of $E_P(d_0)$ and increasing functions of $VAR(d_n)$. This can be explained by the fact that b, in some rigorous sense, plays the role of the variance for the stable laws $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa,b}$; see, for instance, the form of the characteristic function in [\(3.8\)](#page-8-2) and compare it to the characteristic function of a normal distribution.

3.4 Limit theorems for recurrent RWSRE

The goal of this section is to obtain a generalization of Sinai's limit theorem for a class of recurrent RWSREs. The main result is stated in Theorem [3.11.](#page-10-0) A suitable normalized random potential for the RWSRE is introduced in Lemma [4.5.](#page-16-0) The notion of a valley of the random potential, which is essential for understanding the behavior of Sinai's model [\[28,](#page-19-4) [37\]](#page-19-2), is directly carried over to our setup. The proof of the main result is presented in Section [4.4.](#page-16-1)

Sinai [\[28\]](#page-19-4) studied a recurrent RWRE X_n and showed that

$$
\frac{\sigma^2}{(\log n)^2} X_n \Rightarrow b_{\infty},
$$

where b_{∞} is a random variable which can be described as the "location of the deepest" valley" of a Brownian motion. The proof of Sinai [\[28\]](#page-19-4) uses a construction that implements the idea that a properly scaled recurrent RWRE can be thought of as the motion of a particle in a suitably normalized random potential W_n . The normalized potential converges to a Brownian motion, and Sinai's result shows a remarkable slowing down of the diffusive time scale. The density function of the limit distribution b_{∞} was characterized independently by Kesten [\[15\]](#page-19-18) and Golosov [\[12,](#page-18-8) [13\]](#page-19-19), who obtained that

$$
P(b_{\infty} \in dx) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{2k+1} \exp \left\{-\frac{(2k+1)^2 \pi^2}{8} |x|\right\} dx
$$

In this paper, we derive a limit theorem for a recurrent random walk in a sparse random environment under the following assumption: Let $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and assume that d_1 is in the domain of attraction of a stable law with index α . Namely,

$$
P(d_1 > t) = t^{-\alpha}h(t), \qquad t \ge 1,
$$
\n(3.9)

where $h(t)$ is slowly varying at infinity, that is $h(\lambda t) \sim h(t)$ as t goes to $+\infty$ for all $\lambda > 0$. In particular, we define $S_n = n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \log \xi_k$ and assume the following:

Assumption 3.10.

- 1. $E_P(\log \xi_0) = 0$ (recurrence)
- 2. $\sigma_P^2 := E_P(\log^2 \xi_0) \in (0, \infty)$
- 3. $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{k=1}^{[nt]} \log \rho_k \Rightarrow B(t)$
- 4. $P(d_1 > t) = t^{-\alpha}h(t)$, where $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $h(t)$ is slowly varying.

Recall that a function $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be regularly varying of index $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ if $f(t) = t^{\alpha}h(t)$ for a slowly varying $h : \mathbb{R}_{+} \to \mathbb{R}$. We denote the set of all regularly varying functions of index α by \mathcal{R}_{α} . We have the following:

Theorem 3.11. Let Assumption [3.10](#page-9-0) hold and fix any $\delta > 0$. Then, there is a function $u \in \mathcal{R}_{2/\alpha}$ such that that the following holds: For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta \in (0,1)$, there is an integer n_1 such that for all $n > n_1$ there exist a set of environments $C_n \subset \Omega$ and a random variable $b_n = b_n(\omega)$ such that $P(C_n) \geq 1 - \delta$ and

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} P_{\omega} \left(\left| \frac{X_n}{u(\log n)} - b_n \right| > \varepsilon \right) = 0
$$

uniformly in $\omega \in C_n$. Moreover, as $n \to \infty$ the probability distribution for b_n converges weakly to a non-degenerate limiting distribution b_{∞} .

We remark that Sineva [\[29,](#page-19-0) [31\]](#page-19-1) obtained similar limit laws for different variations of Sinai's model. In all these results, the limiting distribution of a properly scaled random walk X_n admits a representation as the deepest valley of an auxiliary process, which in turn is obtained as the weak limit of a suitably defined random potential. For a definition of the notion of a valley in this context, we refer the reader to [\[28\]](#page-19-4) or [\[37\]](#page-19-2).

3.5 Environment viewed from the position of the particle

In this section we study the "environment viewed from the particle" process $(\theta^{X_n}\omega)_{n\geq 0}$ for a transient RWSRE. It is not hard to see that the pair $(\theta^{X_n}\omega, X_n)$ forms a Markov chain, which allows to consider X_n as a functional (projection into the second coordinate) of a Markov process. Even though the state space of this Markov chain is considerably large, the representation is useful due to the fact that the underlying Markov chain turns out to be stationary and ergodic in the transient regime. The concept of the environment viewed from the particle was introduced by S. Kozlov in a broader context in [\[17\]](#page-19-20) (see also [\[32\]](#page-19-5) and [\[5,](#page-18-9) [6,](#page-18-10) [33\]](#page-19-21)). In Section [3.2,](#page-6-3) we proved the existence of the asymptotic speed $\nabla P := \lim_{n\to\infty} X_n$ for RWSREs associated with a stationary and ergodic environment $(d_n, \lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ by using a direct approach. In fact, using the techniques described in [\[37,](#page-19-2) Section 2.2] and the existence of the dual environment, one can prove the following result. Similarly to [\(1.2\)](#page-2-2), let

$$
\xi_n = \frac{1 - \lambda_n}{\lambda_n}, \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}.
$$
\n(3.10)

We have:

Theorem 3.12. Consider a random walk X_n in a stationary and ergodic sparse environment $(\lambda_n, d_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Assume that $E_P(\log \xi_0)$ is well defined (possibly infinite) and $E_P(d_0) < \infty$. Then

(a) $v_P > 0$ if and only if there exists a stationary distribution P° equivalent to P for the Markov chain $\overline{\omega}_n = \theta^{X_n}\omega$, $n \geq 0$. If such a distribution P° exists it is unique and is given by the following formula:

$$
P^{\circ}(B) = \mathbf{v}_P E_Q \Big[E_{\omega}^0 \Big(\sum_{n=0}^{T_1 - 1} \mathbf{1}_{\{\overline{\omega}_n \in B\}} \Big) \Big], \qquad B \in \mathcal{F}, \tag{3.11}
$$

where $\overline{\omega}_n := \theta^{\xi_n} \omega$ and Q is the distribution of the dual environment.

- (b) $(\overline{\omega}_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is an ergodic process under $\mathbb{P}^\circ := P^\circ \otimes P_\omega$.
- (c) $\frac{dP^{\circ}}{dP} = \frac{dQ}{dP} \times \Lambda(\omega) = \frac{d_0 \cdot \Lambda(\omega)}{E_P(d_0)},$ where

$$
\Lambda(\omega) := \frac{1}{\omega_0} \Big[1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^{i} \rho_j \Big] = \frac{1}{\omega_0} \Big[d_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} d_{i+1} \times \prod_{j=1}^{i} \xi_j \Big].
$$
 (3.12)

(d)
$$
\mathbf{v}_P = 1/E_Q(\Lambda) = \frac{E_P(d_0)}{E_P(d_0\Lambda)}
$$
.

With one exception, the proof of Theorem [3.12](#page-10-1) follows along the lines of the corresponding results in [\[37,](#page-19-2) Section 2.1] (namely, Lemmas 2.1.18, 2.1.20, 2.1.25, and Corollary 2.1.25 therein). The only exception is the proof that the existence of the environment viewed from the position of the particle actually implies $v_P > 0$. The latter can be obtained by a straightforward modification of the proofs of [\[5,](#page-18-9) Theorem 3.5 (ii)] or [\[25,](#page-19-22) Theorem 2.3] for instance. The proof of Theorem [3.12](#page-10-1) is therefore omitted.

Remark 3.13. The asymptotic speed for the simple nearest-neighborhood random walk on Z with probability of jumps forward p and jumps backward $q = 1 - p$ is $(p - q) = 2p - 1$. Although v_P is not equal to $E_Q(2\omega_0 - 1)$, quite remarkably it turns out to be equivalent to $E_{P} (2\omega_0 - 1)$ (compare, for instance, with formula (2.1.29) in [\[37\]](#page-19-2)).

4 Proofs

4.1 Proof of Theorem [3.2](#page-6-0)

Recall the definitions of ρ_n and ξ_n in [\(1.2\)](#page-2-2). Furthermore, for a non-zero integer n let η_n be the number of marked sites within the closed interval $I_n = \text{sign}(n) \cdot [1, n]$. More precisely, let $\eta_0 = 0$ and

$$
\eta_n = \chi(I_n \cap \mathcal{A}) = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{k \in \mathcal{A}\}} & \text{if } n > 0 \\ \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{-k \in \mathcal{A}\}} & \text{if } n < 0. \end{cases} \tag{4.1}
$$

Notice that by the ergodic theorem,

$$
\lim_{|n| \to \infty} \frac{\eta_n}{|n|} = Q(0 \in \mathcal{A}) = \frac{1}{E_P(d_1)}, \qquad P - \text{a.s. and } Q - \text{a.s.}
$$
 (4.2)

Denote

$$
S(\omega) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho_1 \rho_2 \cdots \rho_k
$$
 and $F(\omega) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \rho_0^{-1} \rho_{-1}^{-1} \cdots \rho_{-k}^{-1}$.

To prove Theorem [3.2](#page-6-0) it suffices (see, for instance, the proof of [\[37,](#page-19-2) Theorem 2.1.2]) to show that the conditions of the theorem imply

$$
P(S(\omega) = F(\omega) = +\infty) = 1\tag{4.3}
$$

Remark 4.1. The functions $S(\omega)$ and $F(\omega)$ appear in the solution of the gambler's ruin problem for an infinite box. Therefore, they are related to the basic recurrencetransience properties of the random walk (see, e.g., [\[37,](#page-19-2) Theorem 2.1.2]). In particular, [\(4.3\)](#page-11-2) implies recurrence.

Toward this end, note that $\eta_{a_n} = \eta_{a_n+1} = \ldots = \eta_{a_{n+1}-1} = n$ for $n \geq 0$, and hence

$$
S(\omega) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \xi_1 \xi_2 \cdots \xi_{\eta_k} = (a_1 - 1) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_1 \xi_2 \cdots \xi_n \cdot d_{n+1},
$$
\n(4.4)

where, to claim the first identity, we used the standard convention that $\xi_1 \xi_2 \cdots \xi_{n_k} = 1$ if $\eta_k = 0$. Similarly, $\eta_{a_n} = \eta_{a_n+1} = \ldots = \eta_{a_{n+1}-1} = n+1$ for $n < 0$, and hence

$$
F(\omega) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \xi_0^{-1} \xi_{-1}^{-1} \xi_{-2}^{-1} \cdots \xi_{-\eta_k}^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \xi_0^{-1} \xi_{-1}^{-1} \cdots \xi_{-n}^{-1} \cdot d_{-n}.
$$
 (4.5)

EJP **21** [\(2016\), paper 72.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1214/16-EJP16)

Furthermore, the condition $E_P(\log d_0) = +\infty$ implies that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(\log d_0 > M \cdot n) = \infty$ for any $M > 0$. Thus, since d_n are i.i.d., it follows from the second Borel-Cantelli lemma that $P(\log d_n > M \cdot n \text{ i.o.}) = 1$ for any $M > 0$. Hence, the ergodic theorem along with the condition $E_P\big(|\log \xi_0| \big) < +\infty$ imply that, $P-$ a. s.,

$$
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left(d_{n+1} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^n \xi_k \right) = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \log \xi_k + \log d_{n+1} \right) = +\infty,
$$

which yields $P\big(S(\omega)=+\infty\big)=1.$ A similar argument shows that, under the conditions of the theorem, $P\big(F(\omega)=+\infty\big)=1$ and hence [\(4.3\)](#page-11-2) holds, as desired. \Box

4.2 Proof of Theorem [3.3](#page-6-2)

The proof is an adaption of the corresponding arguments for the regular RWRE. See, for instance, [\[37,](#page-19-2) Section 2.2].

Recall the definitions of T_n and τ_n in [\(4.1\)](#page-11-3). We have:

Lemma 4.2. Assume that the conditions of Theorem [3.1](#page-5-1) hold and suppose, in addition, that $\mathbb{P}(\limsup_{n\to\infty}X_n=1)$. Then $(\tau_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a stationary and ergodic sequence under the law P.

Proof of Lemma [4.2.](#page-12-0) Enlarge, if needed, the underlying probability space to include a sequence of i.i.d. random variables $\gamma = (\gamma_{x,n})_{x \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

- 1. γ is independent of ω under the law P, and
- 2. each random variable $\gamma_{x,n}$ is distributed uniformly on the interval [0, 1].

Let \mathbb{N}_0 denote the set of non-negative integers, that is $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. For $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n\in \mathbb{N}_0,$ let $l_x(n)=\sum_{t=0}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{X_t=x\}}$ be the number of visits of the random walk to the site x by the time n. For $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, denote $l_n = l_{X_n}(n)$, $\gamma_n = \gamma_{X_n,l_n}$, and $\overline{\omega}_n = \omega_{X_n}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that X_n is defined recursively as follows:

$$
X_{n+1}=X_n+\mathbf{1}_{\{\gamma_n<\overline{\omega}_n\}}-\mathbf{1}_{\{\gamma_n\geq\overline{\omega}_n\}}.
$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $C_n = (\xi_x, d_j, \Gamma_x)_{j,x \leq n}$, where $\Gamma_x = (\gamma_{k,i})_{k \leq x, i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$. The sequence $(\tau_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined by [\(3.3\)](#page-6-4) is stationary under P because $(\lambda_n, d_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is stationary and $\mathbb{P}(T_n <$ ∞) = 1 for all $n > 0$ under the conditions of the lemma. Furthermore, in the enlarged probability space $(\tau_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ becomes a deterministic function of $(C_k)_{k\leq n}$. This completes the proof of the lemma since the sequence $(C_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is stationary and ergodic under P in the enlarged probability space. \Box

Under the conditions of Lemma [4.2,](#page-12-0) the ergodic theorem yields

$$
\frac{T_{a_n}}{n}=\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n\tau_{a_i}\to \mathbb{E}(\tau_{a_1})\quad \text{as }n\to\infty,\qquad\mathbb{P}-\text{a.s.}
$$

We have

Lemma 4.3. Assume that the conditions of Theorem [3.1](#page-5-1) hold and suppose, in addition, that λ and $\mathcal A$ are independent under P. Then:

(a) $\mathbb{E}(T_{a_1}) = \text{VAR}_P(d_1) + E_P(\overline{S}) \cdot [E_P(d_1)]^2,$ (b) $\mathbb{E}(T_{a_{-1}}) = \text{VAR}_P(d_1) + E_P(\overline{F}) \cdot [E_P(d_1)]^2$.

Proof of Lemma [4.3.](#page-12-1) We will only prove the result in (a), the proof of (b) being similar. To evaluate $T_{a_1},$ we will use a decomposition of the paths of the random walk according to its first step:

$$
T_{a_1} = 1 + \mathbf{1}_{\{X_1 = 1\}} [\mathbf{1}_{\{\tilde{T}_0 < \tilde{T}_{a_1}\}} (\tilde{T}_0 + T'_{a_1}) + \mathbf{1}_{\{\tilde{T}_0 > \tilde{T}_{a_1}\}} \tilde{T}_{a_1}]
$$
\n
$$
+ \mathbf{1}_{\{X_1 = -1\}} [\mathbf{1}_{\{\tilde{T}_0 < \tilde{T}_{a_{-1}}\}} (\tilde{T}_0 + T''_{a_1}) + \mathbf{1}_{\{\tilde{T}_0 > \tilde{T}_{a_{-1}}\}} (\tilde{T}_{a_{-1}} + T'_0 + T'''_{a_1})],
$$
\n(4.6)

where

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\widetilde{T}_0 &= \inf\{n > T_1 : X_n = 0\}, & \widetilde{T}_0 + T'_{a_1} = \inf\{n > \widetilde{T}_0 : X_n = a_1\}, \\
\widetilde{T}_{a_1} &= \inf\{n > T_1 : X_n = a_1\}, & \widetilde{T}_0 &= \inf\{n > T_{-1} : X_n = 0\}, \\
\widehat{T}_0 + T''_{a_1} &= \inf\{n > \widehat{T}_0 : X_n = a_1\}, & \widehat{T}_{a_{-1}} &= \inf\{n > T_{-1} : X_n = a_{-1}\}, \\
\widehat{T}_{a_{-1}} + T'_0 &= \inf\{n > \widehat{T}_{a_{-1}} : X_n = 0\}, & \widehat{T}_{a_{-1}} + T'_0 + T''''_{a_1} = \inf\{n > T_{a_{-1}} + T'_0 : X_n = a_1\}.\n\end{aligned}
$$

Taking quenched expectations $E_{\omega}(\cdot)$ in both sides of [\(3.3\)](#page-6-4) yields

$$
E_{\omega}(T_{a_1}) = 1 + \lambda_0 \left[\mathcal{E}_1(T_0 \wedge T_{a_1}) + \mathcal{P}_1(T_0 < T_{a_1}) E_{\omega}(T_{a_1}) \right] + (1 - \lambda_0) \left[\mathcal{E}_{-1}(T_0 \wedge T_{a_{-1}}) + E_{\omega}(T_{a_1}) + \mathcal{P}_{-1}(T_{a_{-1}} < T_0) E_{\omega, a_{-1}}(T_0) \right].
$$

Using the solution of the gambler's ruin problem under P , we obtain

$$
\frac{\lambda_0}{a_1} E_{\omega}(T_{a_1}) = 1 + \lambda_0 (a_1 - 1) + (1 - \lambda_0)(|a_{-1}| - 1) + \frac{1 - \lambda_0}{|a_{-1}|} E_{\omega, a_{-1}}(T_0)
$$

$$
= \lambda_0 a_1 + (1 - \lambda_0)|a_{-1}| + \frac{1 - \lambda_0}{|a_{-1}|} E_{\omega, a_{-1}}(T_0).
$$

Thus,

$$
\frac{1}{a_1}E_{\omega}(T_{a_1}) = a_1 + \xi_0|a_{-1}| + \xi_0 \cdot \frac{1}{|a_{-1}|}E_{\omega, a_{-1}}(T_0).
$$

Iterating yields

$$
\frac{1}{a_1}E_{\omega}(T_{a_1}) = a_1 + 2\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \xi_0 \xi_{-1} \cdots \xi_{-k} \cdot d_{-k}.
$$

Taking expectations with respect to P , and using a truncation argument similar to that given in the proof of [\[37,](#page-19-2) Lemma 2.1.12] in order to verify when $\mathbb{E}(T_{a_1}) < \infty$, we obtain

$$
\mathbb{E}(T_{a_1}) = \text{VAR}_P(d_1) + \left[E_P(d_1)\right]^2 \cdot E_P(\overline{S}),
$$

as desired. This completes the proof of (a) of the lemma. Part (b) can be derived along the same lines, and hence its proof is omitted. \Box

In view of Lemma [4.3,](#page-12-1) we are now in a position to finish the proof of Theorem [3.3.](#page-6-2) Variations of Lemma [4.4](#page-13-0) below have appeared in a number of references in the field of random walks in random environments. Nonetheless, we provide a proof for the reader's convenience.

Lemma 4.4. Assume that the conditions of Theorem [3.1](#page-5-1) hold and suppose, in addition, that $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{T_{a_n}}{n}=\alpha,$ $\mathbb{P}-$ a.s., for some constant $\alpha\leq\infty.$ Then,

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{T_n}{n} = \frac{\alpha}{E_P(d_1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{X_n}{n} = \frac{E_P(d_1)}{\alpha}, \quad \mathbb{P}-\text{a.s.}
$$

Proof of Lemma [4.4.](#page-13-0) First, observe that [\(4.1\)](#page-11-3) implies

$$
a_{\eta_n} \le n < a_{\eta_n+1}, \qquad \mathbb{P}-\text{a.s.}
$$

Thus, in view of [\(4.2\)](#page-11-4),

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{T_n}{n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{T_{a_{\eta_n}+1}}{n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{T_{a_{\eta_n}}}{\eta_n} \cdot \frac{\eta_n}{n} = \frac{\alpha}{E_P(d_1)}, \quad \mathbb{P}-\text{a.s.}
$$

Let now $\zeta(n) \in \mathbb{Z}$ be the unique nonnegative random number such that

$$
T_{a_{\zeta(n)}} \le n < T_{a_{\zeta(n)+1}}.\tag{4.7}
$$

Since X_n is transient to the right, $\mathbb{P}(\lim_{n\to\infty}\zeta(n)=\infty)=1$. Furthermore, [\(4.7\)](#page-14-1) implies that

$$
X_n < a_{\zeta(n)+1} \qquad \text{and} \qquad X_n \ge a_{\zeta(n)} - (n - T_{a_{\zeta(n)}}).
$$

Thus,

$$
\frac{a_{\zeta(n)}}{n} - \left(1 - \frac{T_{a_{\zeta(n)}}}{n}\right) \le \frac{X_n}{n} < \frac{a_{\zeta(n)+1}}{n}.
$$

But [\(4.7\)](#page-14-1) along with the existence of $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{n}{T_n}$ yield

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_{\zeta(n)}}{n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_{\zeta(n)}}{T_{a_{\zeta(n)}}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n}{T_n} = \frac{E_P(d_1)}{\alpha}, \qquad \mathbb{P}-\text{a.s.}
$$

Hence,

$$
\frac{E_P(d_1)}{\alpha} \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{X_n}{n} \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{X_n}{n} \le \frac{E_P(d_1)}{\alpha},
$$

which implies the result in Lemma [4.4.](#page-13-0)

The proof of Theorem [3.3](#page-6-2) is complete.

4.3 Proof of Theorem [3.8](#page-8-1)

The proof uses the dual Markovian environment and the reduction to stable limit laws for random walks in a Markovian environment obtained in [\[18\]](#page-19-16). Recall the definition of T_n in [\(3.3\)](#page-6-4), and observe that the distribution of the trajectory $(X_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ under the law P coincides with the distribution of $(X_{T_{a\alpha}+n} - a_0)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ under Q. Moreover,

$$
|X_n - (X_{T_{a_0}+n} - a_0)| \le a_0 + |X_n - X_{n+T_{a_0}}| \le a_0 + T_{a_0}.
$$

Since the random walk is transient to the right, $Q(T_{a_0} < \infty) = P(T_{a_0} < \infty) = 1$. Therefore, $\frac{1}{c_n} \cdot |X_n - (X_{T_{a_0}+n} - a_0)|$ converges to zero in distribution (under the law Q) for any sequence of scaling factors $(c_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty}c_n=\infty$. Thus it suffices to prove the stable limit laws under Q.

Towards this end, let

$$
Y_n = n - a_{\eta_n} = n - \sup\{k \in \mathbb{Z} : k \le n \text{ and } k \in \mathcal{A}\}, \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}.
$$

Notice that $Y_{a_k} = 0, k \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$
Y_{n+1} - Y_n = 1 \quad \text{if} \quad a_{\eta_n} \le n < a_{\eta_n + 1}.
$$

EJP **21** [\(2016\), paper 72.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1214/16-EJP16)

Let \mathbb{Z}_+ denote the set of non-negative integers, that is $\mathbb{Z}_+ = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. If Assumption [3.7](#page-8-0) holds, then the sequence $Y = (Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a positive-recurrent Markov chain on \mathbb{Z}_+ under the law Q. Furthermore, the transition kernel $H(x, y) = Q(Y_{n+1} = y | Y_n = x)$ is given by

$$
H(x,y) = \begin{cases} \frac{P(d_0 > x+1)}{P(d_0 > x)} & \text{if } y = x+1, x \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \\ \frac{P(d_0 = x+1)}{P(d_0 > x)} & \text{if } y = 0, x \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

We briefly remark here that Y is non-homogeneous under the law P . It follows from Theorem [2.1](#page-4-0) that Y is a stationary Markov chain on \mathbb{Z}_+ under the law Q, and the initial distribution of Y is the (unique) invariant distribution of H . That is, using the notation of Theorem [2.1,](#page-4-0)

$$
Q(Y_0 = x) = P(|Ud_0| = x) = \frac{P(d_0 > x)}{E_P(d_0)}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{Z}_+.
$$

It then follows that under Q, the sequence (Y, ω) constitutes a two-component Markov chain with transition kernel depending only on the current value of the first component (but not of the second). More precisely, with probability one,

$$
Q(Y_{n+1} = y, \omega_{n+1} \in A | Y_n = x, \omega_n = u) = \mathbb{H}(x; y, A),
$$

where the stochastic kernel H on $\mathbb{Z}_+ \times (\mathbb{Z}_+ \times \Omega)$ is given by

$$
\mathbb{H}(x; y, A) = H(x, y) \cdot (\mathbf{1}_{\{\frac{1}{2} \in A\}} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{y=0\}} + P(\lambda_0 \in A) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{y > 0\}}), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}([0, 1]).
$$

Clearly, the reverse chain $(Y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is an irreducible Markov chain in the finite state space $\{0, 1, \ldots, M-1\}$. In view of the main results of [\[18\]](#page-19-16), in order to establish that the claims of Theorem [3.8](#page-8-1) and Proposition [3.9](#page-8-3) hold for the RWSRE X_n and the hitting times T_n , it suffices to verify the following set of conditions for the stationary Markov chain Y_n and the associated Markov hidden model $(Y_{-n}, \lambda_{-n}, d_{-n})_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$:

- (B1) $\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\log E_Q\left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\rho_{-i}^{\beta_1}\right)\geq 0$ and $\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\log E_Q\left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\rho_{-i}^{\beta_2}\right)< 0$ for some constants $\beta_1 > 0$ and $\beta_2 > 0$.
- (B2) The process $q_n = \log \rho_{-n}$ is non-arithmetic relative to (x_n) in the following sense: there do not exist a constant $\alpha > 0$ and a measurable function $\gamma : \mathcal{S} \to [0, \alpha)$ such that

$$
Q(q_0 \in \gamma(x_{-1}) - \gamma(x_0) + \alpha \cdot \mathbb{Z}) = 1.
$$

To this end, observe that condition (B1) holds (compare with [\[18\]](#page-19-16)) because the following holds true: By virtue of Theorem [2.1](#page-4-0)

$$
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log E_Q \left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \rho_{-i}^{\beta} \right) = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log E_Q \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \rho_i^{\beta} \right)
$$

$$
= \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log E_P \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \rho_i^{\beta} \right),
$$

the function $\Lambda(\beta) := \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log E\left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \rho_{-i}^{\beta}\right)$ is convex, $\Lambda(0) = 0, \Lambda'(0) = 0$ $E_p(\log \rho_0) < 0$, the distribution of ρ_0 is non-degenerate, and

$$
E_P\left(\prod_{i=1}^n \rho_i^{\kappa}\right) = E_P\left(\prod_{i=0}^{\eta_n} \xi_i^{\kappa}\right) = E_P\left(E_P\left(\prod_{i=0}^{\eta_n} \xi_i^{\kappa}|\eta_n\right)\right)
$$

$$
= E_P\left(\left(E_P(\xi_0^{\kappa})\right)^{\eta_n}\right) = E_P(1^{\eta_n}) = 1.
$$

EJP **21** [\(2016\), paper 72.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1214/16-EJP16)

Furthermore, (A6) of Assumption [3.7](#page-8-0) along with the fact that ξ_n are i.i.d. trivially implies (B2). Notice that the measure Q is absolutely continuous with respect to P , and therefore $P(q_0 \in \gamma(x_{-1}) - \gamma(x_0) + \alpha \cdot \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ guarantees $Q(q_0 \in \gamma(x_{-1}) - \gamma(x_0) + \alpha \cdot \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. The proof is complete.

4.4 Proof of Theorem [3.11](#page-10-0)

Let $D(\mathbb{R})$ denote the set of real-valued càdlàg functions on $[0,1]$ equipped with the Skorokhod J_1 -topology. We use the notation \Rightarrow to denote the weak convergence in $D(\mathbb{R})$. We have:

$$
U_n(t):=\frac{1}{r_n}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}d_k\text{ converges weakly to }G_\alpha(t),
$$

where $G_{\alpha}(t)$ is a totally asymmetric stable process with

$$
E\big(e^{i\theta G_{\alpha}(1)}\big) = \exp\Big\{-|\theta|^{\alpha}\Big(1 - i\,\operatorname{sign}(\theta)\tan\Big(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\Big)\Big)\Big\}, \qquad \theta \in \mathbb{R}.
$$

The key ingredient of the proof is the following (functional) limit theorem for a suitably defined random potential.

Lemma 4.5.

(a) Assume that condition [\(3.9\)](#page-9-1) holds with $\alpha \in (0,1)$. Then, as $n \to \infty$,

$$
\frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor u(\log n)t \rfloor} \log \rho_k \Rightarrow V_\alpha,
$$

for some sequence $u(n) \in \mathcal{R}_{2\alpha}$.

(b) If $E(d_1) < \infty$, then

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \log \rho_k \Rightarrow \mu \sigma_P W,
$$

where W is a standard Brownian motion and $V_{\alpha} = \sigma_P W(G_{\alpha}^{-1}).$

Proof of Lemma [1.3.](#page-2-1) **(a)** Let

$$
U_n(t) := \frac{1}{r_n} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} d_k = \frac{1}{r_n} a_{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \quad \text{and} \quad R_n(t) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \log \xi_k.
$$

It follows from the assumptions of the lemma that, as $n \to \infty$,

$$
U_n(t) \Rightarrow G_\alpha
$$
 and $R_n(t) \Rightarrow \sigma_P W$.

for some sequence $r_n \in \mathcal{R}_{\alpha+1}$. Let $U_n^{-1} = n^{-1} \cdot \eta(\lfloor tr_n \rfloor)$ and G_{α}^{-1} be the inverses in $D(\mathbb{R}_+,\mathbb{R})$ of U_n and G_α , respectively. Then the convergence of U_n and R_n , along with their independence of each other, imply (see, for instance, the derivation of the formula (2.29) in [\[14\]](#page-19-23)) that in $D(\mathbb{R}_{+}, \mathbb{R})$,

$$
\left(R_n(t),\frac{1}{n}\eta(\lfloor tr_n\rfloor)\right)\Rightarrow \left(\sigma_P W,G_\alpha^{-1}\right),\qquad \text{as }n\to\infty.
$$

EJP **21** [\(2016\), paper 72.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1214/16-EJP16)

Since the paths of the Brownian motion are continuous, it follows from a random change lemma in [\[2,](#page-18-11) p. 151] that $R_n\left(\frac{1}{n}\eta(\lfloor tr_n\rfloor)\right)\Rightarrow \sigma_P W(G_\alpha^{-1}(t))$ in $D(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R})$. That is,

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{\eta([r_n t])} \log \xi_k \Rightarrow \sigma_P W(G_\alpha^{-1}), \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,
$$

and, passing to the subsequence $n_k = \log^2 k, \, k \in \mathbb{N},$ we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{\log k} \sum_{i=1}^{\eta([r_{\log 2} k^t])} \log \xi_i = \frac{1}{\log k} \sum_{i=1}^{[r_{\log 2} k^t]} \log \rho_i \Rightarrow \sigma_P W(G_\alpha^{-1}), \quad \text{as } k \to \infty.
$$

To conclude the proof of part (a), notice that $r_n \in \mathcal{R}_{\alpha}$ implies that $r_{\text{loc}^2 n} = u(\log n)$ for some sequence $u(n) \in \mathcal{R}_{2\alpha}$, as desired.

(b) We now turn to the proof of part (b) of the theorem. Since $E_p(d_1) < \infty$, the renewal theorem implies

$$
\frac{\eta_n}{n} \to C = \frac{1}{E_p(d_1)}.
$$

Therefore (see, for instance, Theorem 14.4 in [\[2,](#page-18-11) p. 152]),

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{[nt]} \log \rho_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{\eta([nt])} \log \xi_k = \frac{\sqrt{\eta([nt])}}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\eta([nt])}} \sum_{k=1}^{\eta([nt])} \log \xi_k \Rightarrow \mu \sigma_P B(\cdot),
$$

where the convergence is the weak convergence in the Skorohod space $D(\mathbb{R}_+)$. \Box

Define the normalized random potential associated with the sparse environment as the following:

$$
\widehat{R}_n(t) = \text{sign}(t) \cdot \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^{[u(\log n)t]} \log \rho_k = \text{sign}(t) \cdot \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^{\eta[u(\log n)t]} \log \xi_k.
$$
 (4.8)

By Lemma [1.3,](#page-2-1) $\{\widehat{R}_n(t) : t \geq 0\}$ converges weakly in $D(\mathbb{R}_+, R)$ to the process V_α . One can now proceed as in [\[29\]](#page-19-0) in order to establish Theorem [3.11.](#page-10-0) In fact, the proof of the main result in [\[29\]](#page-19-0) is an adaptation of the original argument of Sinai [\[28\]](#page-19-4) to a situation where the random potential R_n in the form given by [\(4.8\)](#page-17-0) converges weakly to a non-degenerate process in $D(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R})$. We remark that a somewhat shorter derivation of Theorem [3.11](#page-10-0) can be obtained by an adaptation of a version of Sinai's argument given in [\[37,](#page-19-2) Section 2.5]. The version of the proof in this paper follows the approach of [\[12\]](#page-18-8) and is due to Dembo, Guionnet, and Zeitouni.

5 Appendix

5.1 Proof of Corollary [2.2](#page-5-2)

(a) By part (b) of Theorem [2.1,](#page-4-0)

$$
E_Q(d_0) = \int d_0 \cdot \frac{d_0}{E_P(d_0)} dP = \frac{E_P(d_0^2)}{E_P(d_0)},
$$

which is equivalent to the the first claim in the corollary. **(b)** By definition, $\tilde{a}_0 = |U d_0|$, where U is independent of d_0 under Q. We therefore have,

$$
E_Q(\widetilde{a}_0) = E_Q(E_Q(\widetilde{a}_0|d_0)) = E_Q\Big(\frac{1}{d_0}\sum_{k=0}^{d_0-1}j\Big) = \frac{1}{2}\big(E_Q(d_0)-1\big),
$$

which verifies the second claim in the corollary.

EJP **21** [\(2016\), paper 72.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1214/16-EJP16)

5.2 Proof of Proposition [3.4](#page-7-1)

Recall \overline{S} from [\(3.4\)](#page-6-5) and \widetilde{S} from [\(3.6\)](#page-7-2). First, notice that

$$
\widetilde{S} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} \widetilde{\rho}_{-j} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \prod_{j=0}^{i} \widetilde{\rho}_{-j} + 1 + \widetilde{\rho}_0 = 1 + 2 \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \prod_{j=0}^{i} \widetilde{\rho}_{-j}.
$$

Next, observe that the following version of the identity [\(4.4\)](#page-11-5) can be stated in terms of the "tilde environment" $(\widetilde{a}_n, \lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$:

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{\rho}_0 \widetilde{\rho}_1 \cdots \widetilde{\rho}_n = \widetilde{a}_0 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \xi_0 \xi_1 \cdots \xi_n \cdot d_{n+1}.
$$
\n(5.1)

Therefore, using the stationarity of the environment under Q along with the identity [\(5.1\)](#page-18-12) and part (b) of Corollary [2.2,](#page-5-2)

$$
E_Q(\widetilde{S}) = 1 + 2E_Q\Big(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \prod_{j=0}^{i} \widetilde{\rho}_i\Big) = E_Q(2\widetilde{a}_0 + 1) + E_P(d_0) \cdot [E_Q(\overline{S}) - 1]
$$

= $E_Q(d_0) + E_P(d_0) \cdot [E_Q(\overline{S}) - 1] = \frac{E_P(d_0^2)}{E_P(d_0)} + E_P(d_0) \cdot [E_Q(\overline{S}) - 1]$
= $\frac{\text{VAR}_P(d_0)}{E_P(d_0)} + E_P(d_0) \cdot E_P(\overline{S}),$

which together with Theorem [3.1](#page-5-1) imply that the asymptotic speed of the RWSRE on the event $\{\lim_{n\to\infty}X_n=+\infty\}$ is $1/E_Q(\widetilde{S})$, $\mathbb{P}-\text{a.s.}$, under the conditions of Theorem [3.3.](#page-6-2)

References

- [1] P. Andreoletti, On the concentration of Sinai's walk, Stoch. Proc. Appl. **116** (2006), 1377–1408. [MR-2260740](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2260740)
- [2] P. Billingsley, Convergence of Probability Measures, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1968. [MR-0233396](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0233396)
- [3] A. Bovier and A. Faggionato, Spectral analysis of Sinai's walk for small eigenvalues, Ann. Probab. **36** (2008), 198–254. [MR-2370603](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2370603)
- [4] M. Bramson, R. Durrett, and R. H. Schonmann, The contact processes in a random environment, Ann. Probab. **19** (1991), 960–983. [MR-1112403](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1112403)
- [5] J. Brémont, On some random walks on Z in random medium, Ann. Prob. **30** (2002), 1266–1312. [MR-1920108](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1920108)
- [6] J. Brémont, Random walks in random medium on Z and Lyapunov spectrum, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré B 40 (2004), 309-336. [MR-2060456](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2060456)
- [7] D. Cheliotis and B. Virág, Patterns in Sinai's walk, Ann. Probab. **41** (2013), 1900–1937. [MR-3098062](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3098062)
- [8] R. Durrett, Probability: Theory and Examples, 2nd ed., Duxbury Press, Belmont, CA, 1996. [MR-1609153](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1609153)
- [9] D. Dolgopyat and I. Goldsheid, Quenched limit theorems for nearest neighbor random walk in 1D random environment, Comm. Math. Phys. **315** (2012), 241–277. [MR-2966946](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2966946)
- [10] N. Enriquez, C. Sabot, and O. Zindy, Limit laws for transient random walks in random environment on Z, Annales de l'Institut Fourier **59** (2009), 2469–2508. [MR-2640927](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2640927)
- [11] A. Fribergh, N. Gantert, and S. Popov, On slowdown and speedup of transient random walks in random environment, Probab. Theory Related Fields **147** (2010), 43–88. [MR-2594347](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2594347)
- [12] A. O. Golosov, Limit distributions for random walks in random environment, Soviet Math. Dokl. **28** (1983), 18–22. [MR-0712545](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0712545)
- [13] A. O. Golosov, On limiting distributions for a random walk in a critical one-dimensional random environment, Russ. Math. Surv. **41** (1986), 199–200. [MR-0842171](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0842171)
- [14] L. Horváth and Q. M. Shao, Limit distributions of directionally reinforced random walks, Adv. Math. **134** (1998), 367–383. [MR-1617789](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1617789)
- [15] H. Kesten, The limit distribution of Sinai's random walk in random environment, Phys. A **138** (1986), 299–309 [MR-0865247](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0865247)
- [16] H. Kesten, M. V. Kozlov, and F. Spitzer, A limit law for random walk in a random environment, Compositio Math. **30** (1975), 145–168. [MR-0380998](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0380998)
- [17] S. M. Kozlov, The method of averaging and walks in inhomogeneous environments, Russ. Math. Surv. **40** (1985), 73–145. [MR-0786087](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0786087)
- [18] E. Mayer-Wolf, A. Roitershtein, and O. Zeitouni, Limit theorems for one-dimensional transient random walks in Markov environments, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist. **40** (2004), 635–659. [MR-2086017](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2086017)
- [19] M. V. Menshikov and A. R. Wade, Random walk in random environment with asymptotically zero perturbation, J. European Math. Society **8** (2006), 491–513. [MR-2250169](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2250169)
- [20] M. V. Menshikov and A. R. Wade, Logarithmic speeds for one-dimensional perturbed random walks in random environments, Stoch. Proc. Appl. **118** (2008), 389–416. [MR-2389051](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2389051)
- [21] J. Peterson and G. Samorodnitsky, Weak quenched limiting distributions for transient onedimensional random walk in a random environment, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.**49** (2013), 722–752. [MR-3112432](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3112432)
- [22] K. Petersen, Ergodic Theory, Cambridge University Press, 1983. [MR-0833286](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0833286)
- [23] E. B. Procaccia and R. Rosenthal, The need for speed: maximizing the speed of random walk in fixed environments, Electron. J. Probab. **17** (2012), 1–19. [MR-2892326](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2892326)
- [24] J. M. Ramirez, Multi-skewed Brownian motion and diffusion in layered media, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **139** (2011), 3739–3752. [MR-2813404](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2813404)
- [25] A. Roitershtein, Transient random walks on a strip in a random environment, Ann. Probab. **36** (2008), 2354–2387. [MR-2478686](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2478686)
- [26] C. Sabot, Ballistic random walks in random environment at low disorder, Ann. Probab. **32** (2004), 2996–3023. [MR-2094437](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2094437)
- [27] G. Samorodnitsky and M. S. Taqqu, Stable Non-Gaussian Random Processes, Chapman & Hall, 1994. [MR-1280932](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1280932)
- [28] Ya. G. Sinai, The limiting behavior of a one-dimensional random walk in a random medium, Theory Probab. Appl. **27** (1982), 256–268. [MR-0657919](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0657919)
- [29] I. S. Sineva, Limit behavior of a random walk in a one-dimensional random environment, Moscow Univ. Math. Bull. **39** (1984), 5–12. [MR-0759872](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0759872)
- [30] I. S. Sineva, Limit distributions for random walks in one-dimensional random environment, Russ. Math. Surv. **40** (1985), 241–242. [MR-0783628](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0783628)
- [31] H. E. Stanley and S. Havlin, Generalisation of the Sinai anomalous diffusion law, J. Phys. A. **20** (1987), L615–L618.
- [32] A. S. Sznitman, Topics in random walks in random environment, In: School and Conference on Probability Theory, ICTP Lecture Notes Series **17**, Trieste, 2004, pp. 203–266. [MR-2198849](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2198849)
- [33] A. S. Sznitman and M. P. W. Zerner, A law of large numbers for random walks in random environment, Annal. Probab. **27** (1999), 1851–1869. [MR-1742891](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1742891)
- [34] C. Takacs, More randomness of environment does not always slow down a random walk, J. Theor. Prob. **14** (2001), 699–715. [MR-1860519](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1860519)
- [35] H. Thorisson, Coupling, Stationarity, and Regeneration, Springer, New-York, 2000. [MR-](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1741181)[1741181](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1741181)
- [36] H. Thorisson, On stationary and cycle-stationary sequences, Fifth Brazilian School in Probability (Ubatuba, 2001). Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **33** (2002), 447–460. [MR-1978839](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1978839)
- [37] O. Zeitouni, Random Walks in Random Environment, XXXI Summer School in Probability, (St. Flour, 2001). Lecture Notes in Math. **1837**, Springer, 2004, pp. 193–312. [MR-2071631](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2071631)

Acknowledgments. The research of Anastasios Matzavinos is supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grants NSF CDS&E-MSS 1521266 and NSF CAREER 1552903. The research of Alexander Roitershtein is supported in part by the Simons Foundation under Collaboration Grant #359575. Alexander Roitershtein would also like to thank the Division of Applied Mathematics at Brown University for the warm hospitality during a visit, which was funded by a divisional IBM fund.